See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/362278684

Design of Energy-Neutral Smart Buildings: An Ontological Framework to Integrate LCA, BIM and PLM

Conference Paper · July 2022

CITATIONS 0	3	reads 177	
2 autho	rs:		
	Tarun Kumar Indian Institute of Science 13 PUBLICATIONS 68 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE		Monto Mani Indian Institute of Science 101 PUBLICATIONS 2,239 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE

Design of Energy-Neutral Smart Buildings: An Ontological Framework to Integrate LCA, BIM and PLM

Tarun Kumar – CPDM, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore – tarunkumar@iisc.ac.in Monto Mani – CST & CPDM, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore – monto@iisc.ac.in

Abstract

The smart built environment (SBE) exhibits a dynamic integration between the physical and digital environment, where the physical elements, such as spaces, walls, windows, doors, roof, and floor, interacts with the digital sensing elements, such as sensors, actuators, control systems, and networking systems. Energy neutrality is a concept dealing with the lifecycle energy performance of energy-saving sensing devices integrated into the SBE, such as the smart sensors-actuator system (SSAS). Ontology is a concept of representing and organising information (and their inter-relationships) about a specific domain with an intent to manage complexity, enhance understanding, and promote problem-solving skills. Employing semantic web technologies, a framework for designing and simulating energy-neutral, sensorembedded smart buildings is proposed that exhibits an ontological integration of Lifecycle Assessment (LCA), Building Information Modelling (BIM), and Product Lifecycle Management (PLM). А preliminary implementation of the proposed framework is demonstrated using OWL (Ontological Web Language) in the Protégé software. After that, a design interaction matrix between buildings (and their components), building designers, product designers, and lifecycle practitioners is developed to provide efficiency, optimisation, and sustainability in the design process. This integration framework would streamline the design process, providing a collaborative simulation platform for cross-field designers to enhance the environmental performance of the SBE. In the future, this framework could be employed to create a robust real-time integrated IoT-based platform for designing and modelling energyneutral smart buildings.

1. Introduction

The design and modelling of smart buildings is a complex process compared to conventional buildings (Kumar and Mani, 2019; Panteli, Kylili, and Fokaides, 2020). The smart built environment dynamically integrates the physical and digital environments, with physical elements-such as spaces, walls, windows, doors, roofs, floors, lights, HVAC and so on-interacting with digital sensing elements such as sensors, actuators, control systems, and networking systems (Dasgupta, 2018; Kumar, Srinivasan, and Mani, 2022). The challenges in this interactive relationship stem from the need for realtime information exchange between physical and digital environments, emphasising the importance of decisions made during the early stages of building design. For example, the luminaire technology (LED/CFL), integrated occupancy sensors, and real-time interactions (or feedback) are critical for occupant comfort, well-being, and energy efficiency in the lighting subsystem of smart buildings (Kumar et al., 2018; Nair et al., 2018; 2019; Khanna et al., 2019). Despite recent advances in information technology and computational architecture, intelligence, the engineering, construction, and operations (AECO) industry manifests a substantial digital divide in technology adoption (Ayinla and Adamu, 2018; Saka, Chan, and Mahamadu, 2022). The computing industry's technical know-how, such as semantic web technologies, could be leveraged in the AECO industry to bridge this burgeoning gap (Pauwels, Zhang, and Lee, 2017).

Smart building design (SBD) is a cross-functional domain involving multiple stakeholders, including

building designers (architects, civil engineers, structural engineers), computer and electronic engineers, sensor designers, control engineers, LCA practitioners, interaction designers and data scientists (Kumar and Mani, 2017a). As a result, incorporating smart sensors-actuator systems (SSAS) construction, information, into the operation, and control systems of smart buildings takes time and resources. The SBD requires constant knowledge exchange and information feedback from cross-functional domains to optimise performance. LCA, BIM, and PLM are domains involved in various SBD stages but are fragmented in process/data integration and stakeholder management, resulting in data redundancy, complexity, and inefficiency.



Fig. 1 – Design of energy-neutral smart buildings: conceptual structure of the research study integrating LCA, BIM and PLM

This paper proposes a framework for designing and modelling energy-neutral, sensor-embedded smart buildings using an ontological integration of LCA, BIM and PLM employing semantic web technologies. Figure 1 presents the conceptual structure of the study.

Integrating collaborative knowledge of various designers and domains-at the early design phase of a smart building-is critical for synchronising different design methodologies adopted by each stakeholder. Stakeholders (designers and modellers) use field-specific design and implementation methodologies their from respective domains at various stages of the design process. As a result, a comprehensive framework defining the criteria for combining data from multiple fields into a single collaborative platform that supports the inter-accessibility of data from all stakeholders involved in designing and modelling a smart building is needed. Such a framework reduces the limitation of disconnected data by creating an ontology-based linked data model for smart building design using semantic web technologies.

1.1 Energy Neutrality in Smart Buildings

Energy Neutrality is a concept dealing with energy payback associated with energy-saving sensing devices such as smart sensors-actuator systems (SSAS) over their lifecycle (Kumar and Mani, 2017b). Often, the energy involved over the lifecycle of an SSAS could be more than the energy-saving it yields, depending on the connected load (Kumar and Mani, 2017a). Smart buildings are those integrated with SSAS aimed at improving the productivity of the building occupants, energysaving, and its management. With smart buildings becoming increasingly complex, energy neutrality computations can provide an insight into the appropriate design and integration of smart sensoractuator systems. Earlier studies into energy neutrality have revealed that the design of the SSAS in its entirety (electronics, housing, fixtures, wiring) has a significant impact on its total embodied energy (Kumar and Mani, 2017a; 2017b). The SSAS could be viewed as products integrated into buildings, with their lifecycle design influencing their effective integration in buildings, which ultimately affects the sustainability performance of smart buildings.

1.2 Lifecycle Assessment

Lifecycle assessment (LCA) is a method to assess the environmental impacts of a product (such as SSAS) throughout its lifecycle, including extraction, manufacturing, use/operation, and end-of-life stages (ISO, 2006). The LCA methodology is one of the industry-accepted and scientific methods to assess the sustainability of a product (Jensen et al., 1997; Röck et al., 2018). It considers the inflow and outflow of mass, energy, and emissions through various product lifecycle stages. ISO 14040-14044 is the international standard that describes the framework and guidelines for conducting an LCA. The different phases according to ISO 14040:2006 are: 'Goal and Scope definition', 'Lifecycle inventory analysis (LCI)', 'Lifecycle impact assessment (LCIA)', 'Interpretation phase' and 'reporting and review phase' (ISO, 2006). The definition of 'system boundary' and 'functional units' are essential steps to conduct an LCA of any product. The databases used are Ecoinvent, GaBi, USDA, ELCD, Agri-footprint, etc. The prominent software used are Gabi, SimaPro, Umberto, and openLCA and so on.

1.3 Building Information Modelling

Widely used in the AECO industry, Building Information Modelling (BIM) is an integrated infrastructural data management process that shares and increases the transparency of building data in its designing, construction, and management (Ghaffarianhoseini et al., 2017; Volk, Stengel, and Schultmann, 2014). BIM is a threedimensional modelling process for developing a built environment as a digital representation of physical built elements and spaces (Ghaffarianhoseini et al., 2017). Moreover, BIM actively supports design and management decisionmaking at all phases of the building lifecycle, including planning, design, construction, and management stages, thus providing a collaborative platform for all the stakeholders by enhancing the information flow. The software tools for conducting BIM are Autodesk Revit, ArchiCAD, NavisWorks, Trimble Connect, and VectorWorks Architect.

Table	1 – A	brief	com	parison	of	I CA	BIM	and	Ы	м
rabic	1 //	DITCI	com	panson	U,	LO/ (,	DIIVI	ana		

	LCA	BIM	PLM
Industry	Sustainability assessment	AECO industry	Manufacturing industry
Goal	Interested in Environmental Impacts	Building design construction and Management	Product lifecycle management
Model & data	Lifecycle Model & database	3D virtual Models & BIM data	3D Product Model & CAD data
Stakehol ders	LCA practitioners, compliance authorities, designers & researchers	Shared repository between architects, engineers, and managers	Designers, Engineers, Manufacturers, researchers

1.4 Product Lifecycle Management

Product Lifecycle Management (PLM) is an extensive data management system for managing the entire life of a product. It is a collaborative activity that integrates product management and stakeholders across its lifecycle (Lämmer and Theiss, 2015). The product's data flows through initial design conception to detail engineering design, manufacturing, packaging, distribution, usage, service (maintenance), and end-of-life phases. It is critical to centralise a product's information throughout its lifecycle to facilitate cross-domain knowledge exchange. The approach adopted in PLM primarily focuses on improving product efficiency in its design, economic value, environmental impact, and social outreach to promote the decision-making process, especially during early design decisions. Table 1 compares LCA, BIM, and PLM and lays the conceptual foundation for their integration.

1.5 Ontology and Semantics

is a machine-readable (formal) Ontology specification of conceptualisation for representing and organising information in a specific domain to manage complexity, improve understanding, and promote problem-solving ability (W3C, 2004). By converting complex systems into simple processes, ontology creates a shared and collaborative platform in the information sciences, allowing knowledge to be used in widespread cross-domain functionality that can be searched and queried via the internet. It also explicitly manages knowledge bases by systematically organising their specification-in terms of concepts, classes, properties, relations, definition, function, constraints, axiom, rules, and categories-and displaying logical reasoning and web semantics in data description and structural layout (for example knowledge graph).

The family of ontologies (formal knowledge representation languages) are created on Web Ontology Language (OWL), based on the Semantic Web domain (Antoniou and Van Harmelen, 2004; W3C, 2004). The design of OWL is a build-up version of the Resource Description Framework (RDF). Semantic web technologies (OWL and RDF) promote mapping and analysis of data to create meaningful knowledge-base and promote information interoperability across domains. In general terms, the primary function of semantic web technologies is the creation of an interlinkage (of language with different formats) that integrates different frameworks adopted from crossfunctional fields and data collected from multiple sources in varied (non-standardised) protocols. Hence, ontologies authored in formal languages (such as OWL) are the connecting bridges across multiple data formats to extract common (and unambiguous) meaningful information characterising the knowledge in class, objects, and their inter-relativity, functions, attributes, and hierarchies. From the semiotic perspective, any language (machine-readable or English) consists of three distinct fields: a) Syntactics (objective: the set of rules and grammatical structure), b) semantics (subjective: the arrangement of vocabulary in a structured format to generate its meaning and and c) pragmatics (Contextual expression), implicature: inference and implication, contextdependent). Similarly, semantic web technologies have triples for knowledge management and modelling semantic data. The semantic triples consist of three entities which are as follow: (a) subject (entity); (b) predicate (attribute); and (c) object (value-model) to compose a common machine-interpretable statement about semantic database. Therefore, this makes ontological languages (e.g., OWL) an integration layer to standardise the cross-functional knowledge-base. For the effective design of smart buildings, integration of LCA, BIM, and PLM is necessitated. The 'smart building design' domain could benefit immensely by leveraging the power of ontology and semantics to integrate SSAS into buildings, thereby making them more sustainable. Hence, Ontology and semantic web technologies provide an appropriate collaborative platform for such a crossdomain integration.

2. Integrated Ontological Framework

An Integrated ontological framework is proposed in figure 2 to integrate LCA, BIM and PLM at various

levels, i.e., L1-data integration layer, L2-data exchange layer, L3-software implementation layer, L4-design implementation layer, L5-stakeholder integration layer, and L6-domain integration layer. An ontological knowledge integration framework across these layers (L1-L6) provides a consolidated framework for the collaborative design of energyneutral smart buildings. The three vertices of the triangular layers represent the LCA (sustainability domain), the BIM (building domain), and the PLM (product design domain), respectively. In the L1 layer, LCA data is integrated with product and building data. In layer L2, LCA, BIM and PLM exchange formats are consolidated to form a machine-interpretable, formal, and explicit data layer. Then, these data are fed into an integrated software environment that combines the LCA, BIM and PLM capabilities (L3).



Fig. 2 – A model framework for the Ontological Integration of BIM, PLM and LCA for the design of energy-neutral smart buildings

After that, a design implementation layer (L4) is envisaged with data processing and knowledge integration capabilities. The L4 layer leads to a collaborative design platform(L5) comprising subject experts, i.e., LCA practitioners, architects and product designers. A single cross-functional design team may replace these designers in the future. Finally, the domain level integration of sustainability, building design, and product design is completed at layer L6, resulting in an interdisciplinary domain for smart building design.



Fig. 3 – Preliminary implementation of the Model LCA-BIM-PLM framework for the design of smart buildings in Protégé Software

Implementation in Protégé

This preliminary framework for LCA-BIM-PLM integration is modelled on the Protégé software using the ontological web language (OWL). The XML version used for the data integration is XML version 1.0. The data modelling vocabulary used is RDFS (Resource Description Framework Schema). The model framework structure comprises: -'Entity', 'Classes', 'Object properties', 'Annotation properties', 'Data properties' and 'Individual by Class'. 'Design_Smart_Buildings' is the main class in 'Owl: Things', and 'LCA', 'BIM', and 'PLM' are subclasses, as shown in figure 3. This framework schema can be saved in RDF/XML syntax, Turtle OWL/XML OBO syntax, syntax, syntax, Manchester/OWL syntax, OWL functional syntax, and LaTeX JSON-LD syntax. The 'reasoner' used for querying is ELK 0.4.3 in the web ontology. 'OWLviz' is used for visualisation, while 'OntoGraf' is used for creating the graph. DL query and SPARQL 1.1 semantic query language are used to access, retrieve, and manipulate data in RDFS. A Java code is generated to interface, translate, and bridge the sematic web ontology with the description logic programming domain.

Each class/subclass can have the object, data, and annotation properties connected by relationships. Each instance in this framework can be designed as a standalone smart building with all the abovementioned characteristics. These unique instances of smart buildings could be accessed via the web and would form a smart building database known as the 'Internet of Buildings' (IoB).

4. Discussions

As shown in Table 2, column V1 represents the lifecycle of data through 'data', 'information', 'knowledge', 'insight and wisdom' and 'design and optimisation' stages. The rows represent the integration between LCA, BIM and PLM domains. It should be noted that appropriate transformations are applied to each stage. H1 represents the data processing and application of syntax for data integration. Existing data in the LCA, BIM and PLM industries are fragmented.

	1/1	1/2	1/2	VA	V5	
	VI	V2	22			
			LCA	BIM	PLM	
H1	> Data		ILCD	IFC	JT	
	P	ocessing and	LCA	IFC	PLM	
	4	Apply Syntax	XML	XML	XML	
H2	Information		Integro	ate Data	Format	
	A 1 1	Meaning and		Ontologica		
		Semantics	Inter	gration by	OWL	
НЗ	Knowledge	⊶↓→	Standardise Meaning			
	eed	Cross Domain	LCA	BIM	PLM	
	Jack	Integration	K _n 1	K _n 2	K_3	
H4	Insight and		Knowledge Integration			
		w Knowledge		Shared		
	10	Generation	Con	ceptualisa	tion	
H5	Design and		Creat	tive Inno	vations	
	Optimisatic	on L	Resource Efficient and			
Sn	nart Building	s 🔹 🕨 🔰	Energy-Neutral Design			

Table 2 – OWL-based ontological integration framework for LCA, BIM and PLM to design energy-neutral smart buildings

Recently, work on standardising data formats within the domains has started, e.g., ILCD format in LCA, IFC data format in BIM, and JT format in PLM. The inter-operability of such cross-domain datasets is low due to multiple formats, multiple software platforms and different protocols. The eXtended Markup language (XML) can solve this problem by applying user-defined specific rule sets (Syntax) to make it machine-interpretable and independent of software and hardware platforms. In this framework, the LCA, BIM and PLM data are converted to XML format, ready to be processed and queried.

Once the cross-domain data is syntactic and formal (Machine-interpretable), the question arises of data semantics (meaning of such data) and whether it can be understood to draw inferences. In the H2 stage, the ontological web language (OWL) is used to semantically integrate this data and reduce ambiguity in its meaning. The processing of crossfunctional data with semantic web tools would transform the data into chunks of useful information which is still away from a consolidated knowledge stage. In the H3 stage, when these chunks of information are integrated vertically in their respective domain, it becomes knowledge (e.g., Kn1 in LCA, Kn2 in BIM, Kn3 in PLM). In the next stage (H4), these knowledge sets are integrated through an ontological knowledge-integration framework to form a consolidated design knowledge set that provides valuable insights into smart building design and modelling. This acquired wisdom backed by empirical and integrated data constitutes the breeding ground for 'shared conceptualisation' and 'new' knowledge. The designer (of smart buildings) would use this 'new knowledge' to improve designs, create innovations and provide optimisations for energy-neutrality in the H5 stage. Moreover, the design and optimisation (H5) stage would provide a feedback loop for the data stage (H1), further improving the data requirements, new data collection, data filtering and data integration processes. The capability of the H5 stage to provide a reinforcement feedback loop is not limited to only the H1 stage, but it can also give feedback to H2, H3, H4 and even the H5 stage.

This framework facilitates multi-variate assessment of smart building performance in terms of energy, functionality, and operability before finalising the design by creating a 'design schema' as a transitional framework between the building's physical and digital (geo-spatial and energyrelated) information. Additionally, this metaframework comprehensively characterises a smart built environment integrated with a sensing system, allowing designers (and stakeholders) to evaluate the design using a hybrid simulation platform, and then make necessary early-design decisions regarding building performance, sensor-actuator integration, energy efficiency, and human-building interactions (HBI).

4.1 Design Implications

This ontological integration framework provides for the interactions (and feedback) between various designers, researchers and stakeholders involved in designing energy-neutral smart buildings. A design interaction matrix captures the interactions between buildings (and their functional components), and designers (of building, products, and sustainability) are captured by a design interaction matrix, as shown in Table 3. B(i) and B(j) represent smart buildings. B(i) is the superset containing elements from individual building components to the whole building system.

$B(i) \rightarrow B(j)$ $\forall B(i) \subseteq B(j)$	B(j)	D(B)	D(P)	S(L)
B(j)	B(j) → B(j)	$B(j) \rightarrow D(B)$	$B(j) \rightarrow D(P)$	$B(j) \rightarrow S(L)$
D(B)	$D(B) \rightarrow B(j)$	$D(B) \rightarrow D(B)$	$D(B) \rightarrow D(P)$	$D(P) \rightarrow S(L)$
D(P)	$D(P) \rightarrow B(j)$	$D(P) \rightarrow D(B)$	$D(P) \rightarrow D(P)$	$D(P) \rightarrow S(L)$
S(L)	$S(L) \rightarrow B(j)$	$S(L) \rightarrow D(B)$	$S(L) \rightarrow D(P)$	$S(L) \rightarrow S(L)$

Table 3 – Design interaction matrix for the ontological integration of BIM, PLM and LCA for energy-neutral smart buildings

Building designers set D(B) consists of architects, civil engineers, structural engineers, and various consultants involved in the BIM process. Whereas D(P) represents the product designer set of smart sensor-actuator systems and energy-saving appliances. To add the sustainability layer to the smart building design, S(L) means the LCA practitioners who perform the sustainability assessment of the system. The diagonal of this matrix is the self-interactions in the B(j), D(B), D(P)and S(L). The first cell represents the interaction between buildings B(i) and B(j), which opens the possibilities of inter-building communication and smart city integration. This matrix is skewsymmetric as the $D(B) \rightarrow B(j)$ interaction is not the same as $B(j) \rightarrow D(B)$ interaction, but rather is opposite in direction.

The ontological integration framework can assist these interactions between stakeholders in designing better smart buildings. In future, these interactions can be automated, and the D(B), D(P) and S(L) designers can be integrated to form one single set of designers known as 'Smart building Designers'. Such cross-platform designers would further improve the design framework, resulting in smart buildings that are both energy- and resourceefficient.

5. Conclusions

Based on the ontological integration of the three participating domains, this LCA-BIM-PLM integrated framework provides a plausible solution for designing an energy-neutral smart building system. A preliminary implementation of this ontological framework is demonstrated on Protégé software with the help of OWL. Furthermore, a design interaction matrix is created between buildings (and their components), building designers, product designers, and lifecycle practitioners, allowing for increased efficiency, optimisation, and sustainability in the building design and simulation process, which is further enhanced by reinforcing feedback loops.

The proposed framework would improve the environmental performance of smart buildings by streamlining the design and simulation process, providing a collaborative platform for cross-field designers. In the future, a robust real-time platform for designing and modelling energy-neutral smart built environments could be developed using this framework as the foundation.

References

- Antoniou, Grigoris, and Frank Van Harmelen. 2004. "Web Ontology Language: Owl." In *Handbook* on Ontologies, 67–92. Springer.
- Ayinla, Kudirat Olabisi, and Zulfikar Adamu. 2018. "Bridging the Digital Divide Gap in BIM Technology Adoption." Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management 25 (10): 1398–1416. https://doi.org/10.1108/ECAM-05-2017-0091.
- Dasgupta, Archi. 2018. "Towards a Unified Framework for Smart Built Environment Design: An Architectural Perspective." Virginia Tech.
- Ghaffarianhoseini, Ali, John Tookey, Amirhosein Ghaffarianhoseini, Nicola Naismith, Salman Azhar, Olia Efimova, and Kaamran Raahemifar. 2017. "Building Information Modelling (BIM) Uptake: Clear Benefits, Understanding Its Implementation, Risks and Challenges." *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2016.11.083.

- ISO. 2006. "14040: Environmental Management–Life Cycle Assessment–Principles and Framework." International Organization for Standardization.
- Jensen, A A, J Elkington, K Christiansen, L Hoffmann, B T Moller, and A Schmidt. 1997. "Life-Cycle Assessment (LCA)-a Guide to Approaches." *European Communities*. https://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/GH-07-97-595-EN-C/Issue-report-No-6.pdf.
- Khanna, Anirudh, Shivam Arora, Anshuman

Chhabra, Kartik Krishna Bhardwaj, and "IoT Deepak Kumar Sharma. 2019. Architecture for Preventive Energy Conservation of Smart Buildings BT - Energy Conservation for IoT Devices: Concepts, Paradigms and Solutions." edited by Mamta Mittal, Sudeep Tanwar, Basant Agarwal, and Lalit Mohan Goyal, 179-208. Singapore: Springer Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-7399-2_8.

- Kumar, Tarun, and Monto Mani. 2017a. "An Energy-Neutrality Based Evaluation into the Effectiveness of Occupancy Sensors in Buildings: An Integrated Life-Cycle Study." In *Design to Thrive Proceedings PLEA 2017*, 2:2579–86. NCEUB 2017.
- Kumar, Tarun, and Monto Mani. 2017b. "Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) to Assess Energy Neutrality in Occupancy Sensors." In *Research into Design for Communities*, 105–16. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-3521-0_9.
- Kumar, Tarun, and Monto Mani. 2019. "Discerning Occupant Psychosocial Behaviour in Smart Built Environment and Its Design." In Proceedings of the 1st ACM International Workshop on Urban Building Energy Sensing, Controls, Big Data Analysis, and Visualization -UrbSys'19, 69–76. New York, New York, USA: ACM Press.

https://doi.org/10.1145/3363459.3363534.

- Kumar, Tarun, Roshan R Rao, Praveen C Ramamurthy, and Monto Mani. 2018. "Safety of Light Emitting Diode (LED) Based Domestic Lighting in Rural Context." In 2018 15th IEEE India Council International Conference (INDICON), 1–5. IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/INDICON45594.2018. 8987093.
- Kumar, Tarun, Ravi Srinivasan, and Monto Mani. 2022. "An Emergy-Based Approach to Evaluate the Effectiveness of Integrating IoT-Based Sensing Systems into Smart Buildings." *Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments* 52: 102225. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seta.2 022.102225.
- Lämmer, Lutz, and Mirko Theiss. 2015. "Product Lifecycle Management." In Concurrent Engineering in the 21st Century: Foundations, Developments and Challenges. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-13776-6_16.
- Nair, Siddharth, Roshan Rao, Tarun Kumar, G. Guru Prasad, Manish Kumar, P. Khadeeja Henna, Aysha Saifudeen, and Monto Mani. 2019. "Design of a Do-It-Yourself (DIY) Based

Solar-Powered LED Lighting System for Training and Empowering Rural Youth." In *ICoRD 2019 (Accepted)*, 451–60. Springer Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-5974-3_39.

Nair, Siddharth, Roshan R Rao, Tarun Kumar, G Guru Prasad, Manish Kumar, P Khadeeia Henna, Aysha Saifudeen, and Monto Mani. 2018. "'Roshini' - Developing a DIY Rural Solar Light: Utilizing Products at End-of-Life (EoL) Stage." In 2018 IEEE Global Humanitarian Technology Conference (GHTC), 1–6. IEEE.

https://doi.org/10.1109/GHTC.2018.8601891.

- Panteli, Christiana, Angeliki Kylili, and Paris A Fokaides. 2020. "Building Information Modelling Applications in Smart Buildings: From Design to Commissioning and beyond A Critical Review." Journal of Cleaner Production 265: 121766. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepr o.2020.121766.
- Pauwels, Pieter, Sijie Zhang, and Yong-Cheol Lee. 2017. "Semantic Web Technologies in AEC Industry: A Literature Overview." Automation in Construction 73: 145–65. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autco n.2016.10.003.
- Röck, Martin, Alexander Hollberg, Guillaume Habert, and Alexander Passer. 2018. "LCA and BIM: Visualization of Environmental Potentials in Building Construction at Early Design Stages." *Building and Environment* 140: 153–61.

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.build env.2018.05.006.

- Saka, Abdullahi B, Daniel W M Chan, and Abdul-Majeed Mahamadu. 2022. "Rethinking the Digital Divide of BIM Adoption in the AEC Industry." Journal of Management in Engineering 38 (2): 4021092.
- Volk, Rebekka, Julian Stengel, and Frank Schultmann. 2014. "Building Information Modeling (BIM) for Existing Buildings— Literature Review and Future Needs." *Automation in Construction* 38: 109–27.
- W3C. 2004. "OWL Web Ontology Language Semantics and Abstract Syntax." In , edited by Peter Patel-Schneider, Patrick Hayes, and Ian Horrocks. W3C. https://www.w3.org/TR/owlsemantics/semantics-all.html.