nature communications

Article

An amide to thioamide substitution improves the permeability and bioavailability of macrocyclic peptides

Received: 26 October 2022

Accepted: 6 September 2023

Published online: 28 September 2023

Check for updates

Pritha Ghosh ¹, Nishant Raj ¹, Hitesh Verma¹, Monika Patel^{2,3}, Sohini Chakraborti¹, Bhavesh Khatri¹, Chandrashekar M. Doreswamy⁴, S. R. Anandakumar⁴, Srinivas Seekallu⁴, M. B. Dinesh⁵, Gajanan Jadhav⁶, Prem Narayan Yadav^{2,3} & Jayanta Chatterjee ¹

Solvent shielding of the amide hydrogen bond donor (NH groups) through chemical modification or conformational control has been successfully utilized to impart membrane permeability to macrocyclic peptides. We demonstrate that passive membrane permeability can also be conferred by masking the amide hydrogen bond acceptor (>C = O) through a thioamide substitution (>C = S). The membrane permeability is a consequence of the lower desolvation penalty of the macrocycle resulting from a concerted effect of conformational restriction, local desolvation of the thioamide bond, and solvent shielding of the amide NH groups. The enhanced permeability and metabolic stability on thioamidation improve the bioavailability of a macrocyclic peptide composed of hydrophobic amino acids when administered through the oral route in rats. Thioamidation of a bioactive macrocyclic peptide composed of polar amino acids results in analogs with longer duration of action in rats when delivered subcutaneously. These results highlight the potential of O to S substitution as a stable backbone modification in improving the pharmacological properties of peptide macrocycles.

The amide bond is one of the most prevalent functional groups in pharmaceuticals and bioactive compounds with unparallel utility in covalently linking multiple pharmacophore fragments and engaging in high-affinity target binding through hydrogen bonds¹. At the same time, the amide bond in peptides composed of canonical amino acids is susceptible to proteolysis by peptidases. The proteolytic susceptibility, coupled with the low permeability of amide bonds across the biological membrane, is a major deterrent in peptide drug discovery². Thus, macrocyclization has emerged as an attractive alternative to impart drug-like properties to peptides³. The unique structural features of macrocyclic peptides arising from restricted conformational freedom and local secondary structure motifs allow them to adopt bioactive conformations with remarkable potency and selectivity⁴⁻⁶. Tremendous progress in synthetic⁷ and display techniques over the last decade has simplified the discovery of functional macrocyclic peptides against any target⁸. However, strategies to improve the pharmacological properties like metabolic stability, membrane permeability, and oral bioavailability of generic peptides have been slow to emerge⁹.

Reduction in the number of H-bond donors (-NHs) to facilitate the passive permeability of peptides through conformational control^{10,11}, steric occlusion¹², and N-methylation¹³⁻¹⁵ of the amide bond have been the commonly sought-after methods. To date, N-methylation is the most dominant chemical modification to improve the

¹Molecular Biophysics Unit, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560012 Karnataka, India. ²Neuroscience & Ageing Biology, CSIR-CDRI, Lucknow 226031 Uttar Pradesh, India. ³Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research (AcSIR), Ghaziabad 201002, India. ⁴Department of Pre-clinical Research, Anthem Biosciences Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore 560099 Karnataka, India. ⁵Central Animal Facility, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560012 Karnataka, India. ⁶Eurofins Advinus Biopharma Services India Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore 560058 Karnataka, India. ^{Sc}entral Animal Facility, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560012 Karnataka, India.

pharmacological properties of macrocyclic peptides¹⁶⁻²¹. The success of N-methylation owes much to the favorable pharmacological properties of naturally occurring N-methylated macrocyclic peptide Cyclosporin A^{22,23}. From a physicochemical standpoint, N-methylation facilitates the passive permeability of peptides across the intestinal membrane by lowering the desolvation penalty of amide bonds and providing protease resistance by masking the amide protons²⁴. Nonetheless, N-methylation introduces conformational flexibility in the peptide backbone by a) removing the amide protons (HNs) that engage in intramolecular H-bonds and b) lowering the (O)C–N(CH₃) rotational barrier, which negatively impacts the bioactivity of macrocyclic peptides²⁵. Thus, alternative strategies to improve the pharmacological properties of peptide macrocycles while retaining their bioactive conformation are much needed.

A comprehensive analysis of hydrogen bonding in protein crystal structures by Baker and Hubbard reveals that a significantly large number of >C=O groups than NH groups make two or more hydrogen bonds²⁶. This emanates from the ability of carbonyl oxygen in amide bonds to utilize its two lone pairs as H-bond acceptors over a wide angular range. In contrast, amide nitrogen acts as a single hydrogen donor, where the H-bond acceptor is colinear with the N-H bond. This suggests that masking the H-bond acceptor, >C=O, in amide bonds should significantly reduce its interaction with solvent water molecules and impart lipophilicity to peptides. We recently found that the S atoms of >C=S are less solvated and weakly bound to the binding site water molecules than the O atoms of $>C=O^{27}$. Therefore, we sought to substitute a single H-bond acceptor, >C=O, in macrocyclic peptides with the isostere >C=S. Herein we show that the substitution of a single amide (-CONH-) with a thioamide (-CSNH-) in a macrocyclic peptide composed of nonpolar amino acid side chains, significantly improves the passive transcellular permeability and metabolic stability, consequently enhancing its plasma exposure post oral administration in rats. We also demonstrate the potential of this single-atom substitution to derive analogs of a bioactive macrocyclic peptide composed of polar amino acid side chains that show a significantly longer duration of action in vivo. Our study demonstrates that masking the amide hydrogen bond acceptors with >C=S is a viable and alternative strategy to N-methylation in improving the pharmacological properties of macrocyclic peptides.

Results

HBA-masking improves the lipophilicity of peptides

The H-bond acceptor (HBA), >C=O, unlike the H-bond donor (HBD), NH, in amide bonds has never been targeted to modulate the permeability of peptides. Thus, we adopted a bottom-up approach by initially estimating the lipophilicity of an isolated amide bond on N-methylation (HBD-masking) and thioamidation (HBA-masking). A preliminary assessment of lipophilicity derived by calculating the AlogP values, which is determined from a regression model based on the atomic lipophilicity of compounds²⁸, indicate that the thioamidated dipeptides (1a-7a) are more lipophilic than their respective amide (1-7) and N-methylated (1b-7b) analogs (Fig. 1a and Supplementary Fig. 1a). We next experimentally determined their retention in a hydrophobic column (C18) through HPLC (Supplementary Fig. 1b) and water-octanol distribution coefficient (logD7,4) (Fig. 1a). Irrespective of the polarity of dipeptides 1–7, we noted a significant increase in the C18 retention time and logD_{7.4} of **1a-7a** and **1b-7b** indicating their enhanced lipophilicity. This suggests that HBA and HBD masking in this model dipeptide have a comparable effect on lipophilicity. The amide bonds in macrocyclic peptides engage in intra- and intermolecular H-bonds; thus, we wondered if thioamidation of >C=O irrespective of its involvement in intra/intermolecular H-bond would increase the lipophilicity of macrocyclic peptides. Towards this goal, we synthesized the regioisomers of monothionated cyclo(-D-Ala-L-Ala₄-) $(8)^{29}$ and cyclo(-D-Ala-L-Ala₅-) (9) and determined their

lipophilicity. We observe that in both the polar cyclocpenta- and cyclohexapeptide scaffolds, a single O to S substitution significantly increase the lipophilicity of the parent macrocycle (Fig. 1b and Supplementary Fig. 2).

Impact of thioamidation on passive permeability of macrocyclic peptides

Since lipophilicity shows a strong positive correlation with cellular permeability of non-peptidic macrocycles³⁰, we sought to assess if this single atom substitution could improve the passive transcellular permeability of macrocyclic peptides. To test this, we chose three macrocyclic peptides cyclo(-D-Leu¹-Leu-Leu-D-Pro-Tyr-Leu⁶-)³¹ (6-mer) (10), cyclo(-Ile¹-Ala-Ala-Phe-Pro-Ile-Pro⁷-)¹² (7-mer) (11), and cyclo(-D-Leu¹-Leu-D-Pro-D-Leu-Leu-D-Ala-Pro-Leu⁸-)¹⁰ (8-mer) (12) with varying size, composition, and lipophilicity (AlogP) (Fig. 1c). We synthesized their monothionated regioisomers 10a-10f, 11a-11g, 12a-12h (Supplementary Table 1) and assessed the aqueous solubility, retention in the C18 column, and logD7.4 to evaluate the impact of thioamidation (Supplementary Fig. 3b, c and Fig. 1d). In all the three scaffolds, thioamidation led to reduced aqueous solubility and enhanced retention in the C18 column. Furthermore, these two parameters correlated well (Supplementary Fig. 3d-e) with the octanol-water distribution coefficient (logD7.4) indicating enhanced lipophilicity of the macrocyclic scaffolds on thioamidation, irrespective of their size and composition. Encouraged by this, the passive permeability of the analogs was evaluated using an artificial membrane (PAMPA), which measures permeability of compounds in the absence of transporters and efflux systems³². In all three scaffolds, thioamidation resulted in a significant increase in the permeability of monothioamidated analogs (Fig. 1e). Although the absolute permeabilities of 11a-11g are lower than 10a-10f and 12a-12h, the relative change in permeability of 11a-11g with respect to 11, is comparable to the other two scaffolds (Supplementary Table 2). This suggests that thioamidation can improve the passive permeability of macrocycles with varying lipophilicity. However, the absolute permeability of the thioamidated macrocycle scales with lipophilicity $(log D_{7.4})$ of the parent macrocycle, which is dictated by its composition and not the ring size (Fig. 1f). Nevertheless, a large increase in lipophilicity (e.g. 12c) can potentially limit the passive permeability of macrocyclic peptides, as observed before³³. It is also important to note that **12**, referred as D8.1 by Bhardwaj et al. displayed the highest permeability within the computationally designed 8-mer scaffolds due to the maximisation of intramolecular H-bond satisfaction¹⁰. However, the enhanced permeability of 12 through thioamidation (12d-12h) strongly justifies the potential of HBA masking to further navigate the permeability space beyond the currently available toolkit.

We next sought to investigate the origin of permeability on thioamidation of 10, a scaffold that has been extensively investigated. As noted in the previous reports, 10 showed a moderate permeability $(2.45 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm/s})$, which significantly improved on thioamidation at D-Leu1 (10a), Tyr5 (10e), and Leu6 (10f) with marginal improvement at the other sites (10b-10d) (Fig. 1e). What was striking is the marked improvement in permeability of **10a** (10.75×10^{-6} cm/s) by this single atom substitution against PC (15.76 \times 10⁻⁶ cm/s), derived by altering the amino acid chirality at 3 sites³¹ (Fig. 1e). As hydrogen bonding and solvation of NH groups play an important role in the permeability of macrocycles, we determined the solvent accessibility of individual amide protons in 10 and 10a-10f by hydrogen-deuterium exchange (HDX) through NMR spectroscopy (Figs. 2a-c). Since the cyclic peptides have moderate solubility in water (Supplementary Fig. 3c), we used DMSO- d_6 :D₂O (8:2) to mimic the aqueous polar environment and CDCl₃:CD₃OD (8:2) as a membrane mimic, where D₂O and CD₃OD act as the deuterium donor, respectively. We note that thioamidation at D-Leu1 (10a), Tyr5 (10e), and Leu6 (10f) results in slow exchange of the amide protons with respect to 10, indicative of enhanced solvent



Fig. 1 | Effect of O to S substitution on the lipophilicity and permeability of peptides. a Octanol-water partition coefficient (logD₇₄) of oxo (grey), thioamidated (yellow), and N-methylated (blue) dipeptides with the common sequence FX. The "X" residues are mentioned at the bottom of the bars. **b** logD_{7.4} of the cyclic pentaalanine (8) and hexaalanine (9) peptides with their respective thioamidated analogs indicated by small letters, which denote the site of thioamidation. c AlogP of cyclo(-D-Leu¹-Leu-Leu-D-Pro-Tyr-Leu⁶-)(10), cyclo(-Ile¹-Ala-Ala-Phe-Pro-Ile-Pro⁷-) (11), and cyclo(-D-Leu¹-Leu-D-Pro-D-Leu-Leu-D-Ala-Pro-Leu⁸-)(12). The small letters denote the site of thioamidation in the individual scaffolds. d logD_{7.4} of 10, 11, 12 and their respective thioamidated analogs. e Membrane permeability of the macrocyclic peptides determined by the PAMPA (Pe). Propranolol and PC (cyclo(-D-Leu¹-Leu-D-Leu-Pro-Tyr-Leu⁶))³¹ were used as the markers for transcellular transport. f The plot of logD7.4 vs. PAMPA permeability of all the 24 macrocyclic peptides. The blue shaded region highlights the lipophilicity zone of macrocycles with permeability > 2.5 ×10⁻⁶ cm/s; for clarity only the mean values are plotted. $n = 3 \pm$ SEM. Each bar represents mean values of three biological replicates: dots are

individual data points. Statistical significance of the analogs was measured against the (all-amide) parent molecule by a one-tailed unpaired *t*-test. p < 0.05, p < 0.01, ****p* < 0.001, *****p* < 0.0001, ns (non-significant) > 0.05. *p* (1 vs 1a, 1b) = 0.0339, 0.0045; p(2 vs 2a, 2b) = 0.0003, 0.002; p(3 vs 3a, 3b) = 0.0213, 0.0257; p(4 vs 4a, 3b) = 0.0213, 0.0257; p(4 vs 4a, 3b) = 0.0013, 0.0257; p(4 vs 4a, 3b) = 0.0013, 0.0257; p(4 vs 4a, 3b) = 0.0013, 0.002; p(3 vs 3a, 3b) = 0.0013, 0.002; p(3 vs 3a, 3b) = 0.0013, 0.002; p(4 vs 4a, 3b) = 0.0013, 0.002; p(3 vs 3a, 3b) = 0.0013, 0.002; p(4 vs 4a, 3b) = 0.0013, 0.002; p(3 vs 3a, 3b) = 0.0013, 0.002; p(4 vs 4a, 3b) = 0.0013, 0.002; p(3 vs 3a, 3b) = 0.0013, 0.002; p(4 vs 4a, 3b) = 0.0013, 0.002; p(3 vs 3a, 3b) = 0.0013, 0.002; p(4 vs 4a, 3b) = 0.0013, 0.002; p(3 vs 3a, 3b) = 0.0013, 0.002; p(4 vs 4a, 3b) = 0.0013, 0.002; p(3 vs 3a, 3b) = 0.0013, 0.002; p(4 vs 4a, 3b) = 0.002; p(4 vs 4a, 3b) =**4b**) = 0.001, 0.0603; *p* (**5** vs **5a**, **5b**) = 0.1513, 0.0716; *p* (**6** vs **6a**, **6b**) = 0.0084, 0.0418; p (7 vs 7a, 7b) = 0.021, 0.0105; p (8 vs 8a, 8b 8c, 8d, 8e) = 0.002, <0.0001, 0.0001, 0.0006, 0.0005; p (9 vs 9a, 9b 9c, 9d, 9e, 9f) = 0.0308, 0.0310, 0.0267, 0.0308, 0.0237, 0.0154; p (10 vs 10a, 10b, 10c, 10d, 10e, 10f) = 0.0011, 0.0003, 0.0014, 0.0009, 0.0003, 0.0005; p (11 vs 11a, 11b, 11c, 11d, 11e, 11f, 11g) = <0.0001, 0.0050, <0.0001, 0.0016, <0.0001, <0.0001, 0.0027; p (12 vs 12a, 12b, 12c, 12d, 12e, 12f, 12g, 12h) = 0.0002, <0.0001, 0.0007, <0.0001, <0.0001, 0.0022, 0.0014, <0.0001 for logD_{7,4}. p (10 vs 10a, 10b, 10c, 10d, 10e, 10f) = 0.0019, 0.0239, 0.0503, 0.0815, 0.0005, 0.016; p (11 vs 11a, 11b, 11c, 11d, 11e, 11f, 11g) = 0.0019, 0.4776, 0.0114, 0.4596, 0.0299, 0.0207, 0.3486; p (12 vs 12a, 12b, 12c, 12d, 12e, 12f, 12g, 12h) = 0.0839, 0.3126, 0.0344, 0.0017, 0.0130, 0.0199, 0.0123 for Pe by PAMPA. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

shielding. In comparison, a fast exchange indicating solvent exposure of the amide protons is observed for **10b**, **10c**, and **10d** in DMSO- d_6 (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Fig. 4). In CDCl₃, the amide protons exchange at a slower rate than in DMSO- d_6 , suggesting their solventshielded nature. Most amide protons in **10a** are solvent-shielded in the nonpolar environment, followed by **10d**, **10e**, and **10f**, while **10b** and **10c** show faster exchange than **10** (Fig. 2c and Supplementary Fig. 5).

These results indicate that **10a**, **10e**, and **10f** have a higher tendency than **10** to transfer from a polar to a nonpolar environment. Once in a nonpolar environment, the adoption of a membranophilic conformation wherein the amide protons are solvent-shielded facilitates the translocation of the macrocyclic peptide. On the contrary, the high solvent exposure of amide protons in **10b**, **10c**, and **10d** as compared to **10** in DMSO- d_6 suggests a lower tendency of these three analogs to transfer into a nonpolar environment. Moreover, the high solvent exposure of **10b** and **10c** in CDCl₃ is suggestive of a polar conformation within a nonpolar environment, which would be disfavored. Thus, thioamide substitution increases the passive permeability of **10a**, **10e**, and **10f** by reducing the solvent exposure of amide protons and facilitating their transfer from the polar exterior to the nonpolar interior of the membrane with the adoption of a membranophilic conformation.



Fig. 2 | **Structural impact of thioamidation on macrocyclic peptides. a** Chemical structure of **10**, with each amide proton color coded. The small letters denote the site of thioamidation. **b** Dot plots representing the half-lives ($t_{1/2}$) of the individual amide protons of **10**, **10a**–**f** deduced from the HDX experiment in 20% D₂O in DMSO- $d_{6^{+}}$ and **c** in 20% CD₃OD in CDCl₃. The slow exchanging amide protons whose $t_{1/2}$ could not be determined have been represented as % remaining after 24 hours. The average solution structure determined by 200 ns fMD simulation of (**d**) **10**, (**e**)

10a, (**f**) **10e**, and (**g**) **10f** in DMSO-*d₆*. The amino acid residue numbers are shown only in **10**. The backbone superimposed average structures obtained from the 200 ns rMD (iron) and fMD (aqua) simulation of (**h**) **10**, (**i**) **10a**, (**j**) **10e**, and (**k**) **10f**. The structures are generated using ChimeraX. The Ramachandran plot shows the deviation in torsion angles (ϕ , ψ) of the residues in the average structures obtained from the rMD and fMD simulation by the broken arrows. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

Structural impact of thioamidation

To understand the conformational impact of thioamide substitution, the solution structures of **10**, **10a**, **10e**, and **10f** in DMSO- d_6 was determined through restrained molecular dynamics (rMD) simulation by using the interproton distances derived from the ROESY spectrum (Supplementary Fig. 6). An unrestrained MD (fMD) simulation was also performed to assess the similarity of the structures (Fig. 2d–g) to the ones derived from experimental restraints. Thioamide substitution either at an externally oriented (**10a**, **10e**) or internally oriented C=O (**10f**) did not introduce a cis-peptide bond, unlike N-methylation³⁴. The

RMSD calculated over C α atoms within **10** and **10a** (0.71 Å), **10e** (0.85 Å), **10f** (0.41 Å) suggests that there are no major overall structural change on thioamidation (Supplementary Figs. 7, 8). However, we note that thioamide modulates the local conformation within the macrocyclic peptide through steric effects.

10 shows a structurally rigid type II' β turn about D-Pro4^{*i*+1}-Tyr5^{*i*+2} (Fig. 2d) that is stabilized by Leu3CO---+HNLeu6 H-bond, resulting in slow exchange of Leu6NH (Fig. 2b). On the contrary, the β -turn about D-Leu1^{*i*+1}-Leu2^{*i*+2} is distorted due to the outward flip of Leu6CO, perhaps to pack the side chains of Leu6 and Leu2. This results in the lack of

Leu6CO---HNLeu3 H-bond causing rapid exchange of Leu3NH (Fig. 2b). The thioamide substitution at D-Leu1 (10a) results in an upward flip of the C=S to avoid the steric clash between S and D-Leu1C^{β} (Fig. 2e). Consequently, we note an alteration in the backbone torsion angles (ϕ, ψ) of D-Leu1 and Leu2 that are associated with the thioamide bond (Supplementary Table 3)³⁵. The C=S orientation also alters the χ_1 of Leu2 to a *trans* conformation (as opposed to gauche⁺ in 10) to avoid steric clash between S and the isopropyl group. This ultimately creates a hydrophobic patch formed by Leu2 and Leu3 side chains around Leu3NH (Fig. 2e), resulting in its slow exchange (Fig. 2b). The thioamide incorporation at Tyr5 in 10e, forces the Leu6CO inwards to avoid the steric clash between C(S) and Leu6C(O) resulting in a type II' β -turn about D-Leu1^{*i*+1}-Leu2^{*i*+2} (Fig. 2f and Supplementary Table 3). However, the rapid exchange of Leu3NH suggests the absence of an intramolecular H-bond stabilising the β -turn, in contrast to the β -turn at D-Pro4^{*i*+1}-Tyr5^{*i*+2} stabilized by Leu3CO•••HNLeu6 H-bond (Fig. 2b). Additionally, the χ_1 of Tyr5 is in a *trans* conformation instead of gauche⁺ in **10**, perhaps to optimize the hydrophobic packing within the aromatic ring and S. Thioamidation at Leu6 (10f), results in a structure with maximal resemblance to 10 (Fig. 2g). The local desolvation at the C(S) and its hydrophobic interaction²⁷ with Leu2 side chain results in solvent shielding of Leu2NH (Fig. 2b). In addition, the unusually slow exchange of Tyr5NH presumably results from the formation of an intramolecular H-bond with Leu3CO resulting in a y-turn.

To further assess the influence of thioamidation on the conformational flexibility of **10**, the average structures resulting from the restrained and free MD simulations were compared. We note large alterations in the backbone torsion angles of **10** within the two structures, including the residues at the type II' β -turn (D-Pro4 and Tyr5) (Fig. 2h). However, **10a**, **10e**, and **10f** shows low variation in the torsion angles of Leu3, D-Pro4, and Tyr5 as compared to **10** (Fig. 2i–k). This result suggests that thioamide substitution can induce segmental rigidity into macrocyclic peptides. The reduced conformational flexibility on thioamidation was also indicated by a reduction in the spread of the dihedral angles ϕ and ψ during the free MD simulation in both polar and nonpolar solvents (Supplementary Figs. 9–11). Therefore, the restricted conformational freedom resulting in enhanced solvent shielding of the amide protons contribute to the improved passive permeability of the macrocyclic peptide on thioamidation³⁶.

Desolvation induced by thioamidation drives membrane permeability

Since reduced solvation of S also contributes to the lipophilicity of the thioamidated peptides, we sought to estimate the global desolvation of the macrocyclic peptides, which is affected by solvation of both N(H) and C(S). Utilizing a series of N-methylated peptides, Burton and colleagues previously showed that the primary determinant of cellular permeability is the desolvation potential of the polar functional groups in the peptide, followed by its lipophilicity³⁷. Thus, we evaluated the desolvation potential of the cyclic peptides by determining the distribution coefficients in heptane-ethylene glycol (logD_{h/e}), a solvent system that provides an experimental estimate of desolvation or hydrogen bond potential³⁸. Gratifyingly, the most permeable cyclic peptides 10a, 10e, and 10f showed higher distribution coefficients indicating lower desolvation penalty than the rest (Fig. 3a). Consequently, an improved correlation was observed between PAMPA permeability and desolvation penalty (Fig. 3b) over lipophilicity (Supplementary Fig. 12). This supports the hypothesis that reduced desolvation of the amide bonds directly induced by thioamidation and indirectly through increased solvent shielding of the amide protons is the major driver of passive transcellular permeability.

We next determined the permeability of the peptides across confluent monolayers of human adenocarcinoma cells (Caco-2) as a model of the intestinal epithelium. **10** showed low permeability (0.76×10^{-6} cm/s) in the apical to basolateral (A-B) direction and high

permeability (12.4×10^{-6} cm/s) in the basolateral to apical (B-A) direction, suggesting the involvement of efflux pumps in lowering the permeability of **10** (Fig. 3c). **10a**, **10e**, and **10f** also showed high efflux ratio (Supplementary Table 4), however, they displayed enhanced permeability than **10** except **10c**, which showed rapid exchange of amide protons (Fig. 2b). When the efflux pump was inhibited³⁹ (confirmed by an efflux ratio of <2), a significant improvement in the permeability of the peptides was observed that correlated with the values obtained from the PAMPA (Fig. 3d)⁴⁰. These results suggest that thioamide substitution improves the passive permeability of cyclic peptides across the lipid bilayer in live cells. It is also worth noting that **10a**, **10e**, and **10f** show higher permeability than the orally bioavailable atenolol in the absence of efflux pump inhibitor (Fig. 3c).

Comparison of thioamidation and N-methylation

To evaluate the performance of thioamidation in improving the permeability of macrocyclic peptides against the most dominant modification, N-methylation, we synthesized the N-methylated analogs of **10** (**10g–10k**) (Supplementary Table 1). N-methylation enhanced the lipophilicity of **10**, as observed from the increased C18 retention time, reduced solubility, and increased logD_{7.4} of **10g–10k** (Fig. 4a and Supplementary Fig. 13a, b). Except for the amide bond 1 A, thioamidation resulted in a more pronounced effect than N-methylation at all other sites. Subsequently, the N-methylated analogs showed comparable passive permeability to their thioamidated counterparts, except at amide bond 6 A, where the thioamidated analog showed better permeability (Fig. 4b). Curiously, either thioamidation or N-methylation of amide bond 1 A followed by 5 A resulted in the most permeable analogs within the series.

Macrocyclic peptides are endowed with proteolytic stability associated with their restricted conformational freedom; however, we were keen to evaluate their stability under the harsh proteolytic condition in the gastrointestinal tract⁴¹. Despite the presence of two D-amino acid residues (D-Leu1 and D-Pro4) that are usually considered to confer proteolytic resistance to peptides, 10 underwent rapid degradation in Simulated Gastric Fluid (SGF) ($t_{1/2}$ 12 min), consisting of only pepsin at pH 1.2⁴² (Fig. 4d and Supplementary Fig. 14). Chemical modification of an amide bond targeted by a protease increases its half-life^{43,44}. Thus, a significant increase in the half-life of the peptides by both thioamidation and N-methylation at the amide bonds 1 A and 5 A indicate that 1 A and 5 A are the direct cleavage sites of pepsin on the macrocycle, with 5 A (flanked by Tyr5 and Leu6) being the primary cleavage site. Curiously, the increased half-life on thioamidation but not N-methylation at 6 A suggests that it is not the direct cleavage site of pepsin. However, thioamidation at 6 A perhaps slows down the cleavage at the preceding amide bond 5 A and the following amide bond 1 A, as observed earlier for linear peptides treated against serine proteases⁴³.

We next assessed the stability of the macrocyclic peptides in Simulated Intestinal Fluid (SIF)⁴², comprising of pancreatin, which is a mixture of several proteases produced by the exocrine cells of the porcine pancreas. While the parent peptide 10 showed low proteolytic stability ($t_{1/2}$ 16 min), the maximum protection was achieved by thioamidation at D-Leu1 (10a) ($t_{1/2}$ 147 min), Tyr5 (10e) ($t_{1/2}$ 241 min), and Leu6 (10f) ($t_{1/2}$ 126 min) (Fig. 4e and Supplementary Fig. 15), as observed in SGF. In addition to 1A and 5A, either chemical modification at 2A protects the peptide from rapid degradation, highlighting the direct cleavage sites in SIF. In contrast, the increase in half-life only by thioamidation at 4A and 6A points at the indirect protection offered by thionating the preceding and following residues of 5 A. Nonetheless, the protection offered by thioamidation outweigh the effect of N-methylation in these type of macrocyclic peptides. The combined results of SGF and SIF highlight the benefit of thioamidating hydrophobic amino acids to protect macrocyclic peptides against proteolysis.

Human liver is the primary site for drug metabolism, decreasing the amount of drug entering the systemic circulation when



Fig. 3 | **Influence of desolvation on the permeability of macrocyclic peptides. a** Partition coefficient of **10** and **10a–10f** in heptane-ethylene glycol (h/e) solvent system. $n = 3 \pm \text{SEM}$. **b** Linear correlation plot of P_{e(PAMPA)} vs. logD_(h/e). **c** Bidirectional Caco-2 permeability in the presence and absence of P-gp efflux pump inhibitor elacridar, represented in bars as P_{app}. Propranolol and Atenolol were used markers of high and low permeability, respectively. Digoxin was used as the control substrate of P-gp efflux pumps. n = 2. Since n < 3, error bars and

administered through the oral route. Thus, we assessed the in vitro stability of the macrocyclic peptides against the drug-metabolizing cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzymes present in the human liver microsomes to determine the clearance by the liver (Supplementary Figs. 16 and 13c)¹⁴. The parent macrocycle, **10**, underwent fast degradation resulting in its rapid clearance (CL_{int} 225 µL/min/mg), whereas, both thioamidation and N-methylation prevented the macrocycle from degradation and lowered its clearance with varying efficacy (Fig. 4f). We finally assessed the ex vivo stability of the macrocycles in human blood plasma (Supplementary Fig. 17), which showed two folds or more enhanced half-life of **10a**-f compared to **10** ($t_{1/2}$ 8 h). The N-methylated analogs also showed comparable half-lives to the thioamidated analogs except 10j and 10k (Supplementary Fig. 13d). This led us to assess their binding to plasma proteins (Fig. 4c), since plasma protein binding is beneficial for extending the circulation halflife of peptides. The thioamidated analogs 10d-10f along with the N-methylated analog 10j showed significantly higher plasma protein binding than 10. This result indicates that compound lipophilicity induced by thioamidation results in amplified plasma protein binding.

In vivo pharmacokinetic (PK) assessment of the thio-peptides

The improved in vitro pharmacokinetic properties of the thioamidated analogs led us to evaluate the absorption of the macrocyclic peptides into the systemic circulation post oral administration in male Wistar rats. **10a, 10e**, and **10f**, which showed enhanced permeability (Fig. 4b) and improved metabolic stability than the parent macrocycle **10** (Fig. 4d–f), were chosen for the evaluation (Fig. 5a). In addition, we also

associated statistics has not been derived. **d** Linear correlation plot of $P_{e(PAMPA)}$ vs. (AB + Elacridar) $P_{app(Caco-2)}$. Each bar represents mean values of three biological replicates: dots are individual data points. Statistical significance was measured against the (all-amide) parent molecule by a one-tailed unpaired *t*-test. **p* < 0.05, ***p* < 0.01, ****p* < 0.001, ****p* < 0.0001, ns (non-significant) > 0.05. *p* (**10** vs **10a**, **10b**, **10c**, **10d**, **10e**, **10f**) = 0.0028, 0.0511, 0.0007, 0.0019, 0.0003, 0.0088 for logD_(h/e). Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

selected 10h and 10k to compare the effect of N-methylation versus thioamidation at the amide bonds 1 A and 5 A (Fig. 5b). Since we sought to evaluate the sole effect of the chemical modification on the in vivo PK properties of the macrocyclic peptides, we conducted the i.v. (intravenous) and p.o. (per oral) studies without using any microemulsion formulation that are known to improve the oral bioavailability of peptides⁴⁵. The low in vivo permeability and metabolic stability of 10 was evident from its maximum concentration in the blood (C_{max}: 3.5 ng ml⁻¹) after a 10 mg/kg oral administration (Table 1). Furthermore, its plasma exposure rapidly declined, reaching an undetectable limit by 1 h. On the contrary, 10a, 10e, and 10f achieved a C_{max} of 15 ng ml⁻¹, 47.2 ng ml⁻¹, and 61.7 ng ml⁻¹, respectively, post oral administration and could be detected till 8 h or more (Fig. 5a). The stark improvement in the plasma exposure of **10a** (26.9 ng h ml⁻¹; ~ 30 folds), **10e** (359.5 ng h ml⁻¹; ~ 400 folds), and **10f** (120.8 ng h ml⁻¹; ~ 130 folds) with respect to 10 (0.9 ng h ml⁻¹) till the time of the last measurable concentration (AUC_{last}) emphasize the contribution of this single atom substitution in improving the bioavailability of macrocyclic peptides (Table 1). Remarkably, the N-methylated analogs 10h and 10k show comparable C_{max} and plasma exposure (vital parameters for determining the drug exposure) to their respective thioamidated analogs post oral administration (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 5). Although, we note a higher fraction of **10k** reaching the systemic circulation than 10e by the oral route (represented by %F), the comparable enhancement in their plasma exposure post oral administration with respect to 10, suggest the potential benefit of thioamidation in improving the in vivo PK of macrocyclic peptides.



Fig. 4 | **Comparison of HBA and HBD-masking on the in vitro PK properties.** 1A-6A refer to the amide bonds in **10**. The yellow and the blue bars in the individual panels represent the thioamidated (**10a–10f**) and the N-methylated (**10g–10k**) counterparts, respectively, at a specific amide bond. **a** Octanol-water partition coefficient (logD_{7.4}). **b** Membrane permeability (P_e) of the macrocyclic peptides determined by the PAMPA. **c** Extent of the peptides to bind non-specifically to plasma proteins determined through Rapid Equilibrium Dialysis (RED) represented in percentage (%). Half-lives ($t_{1/2}$) of the peptides in **d** simulated gastric fluid (SGF), and **e** simulated intestinal fluid (SIF). **f** Intrinsic clearance (CL_{int}) of the peptides in human liver microsomes deduced from their $t_{1/2}$ values. Cyclosporin A (CsA) was taken as a control (CL_{int}: $40 \pm 5.5 \ \mu L \ min^{-1} \ mg^{-1}$). $n = 3 \pm$ SEM. Each bar represents mean values of three biological replicates: dots are individual data points. Statistical significance was measured against the (all-amide) parent molecule by a one-tailed unpaired *t*-test. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ***p < 0.0001, ns (non-

Extension of hypothesis on bioactive macrocycle

Encouraged by the significant improvement in plasma concentration of the thioamidated model macrocyclic peptides post oral administration, we sought to evaluate the therapeutic benefit of this single atom substitution. The macrocyclic Somatostatin agonist cyclo(-D-Trp¹-Lys-Thr-Phe-Pro-Phe⁶-) (**13**) was chosen to investigate the impact of thioamidation on the bioactivity of the macrocyclic peptide in vivo (Fig. 6a)⁴⁶. The fundamental difference between the parent macrocycles **10** and **13** is their lipophilicity. As opposed to **10** with a logD_{7.4} of 0.31, the highly solvated structure of **13** (logD_{7.4} = -1.2) is evident from the rapid exchange of individual amide protons during the HDX experiment ($t_{1/2}$ for all H^Ns are < 5 min) (Supplementary Fig. 18).

Since polar substitution on macrocyclic peptides is known to tremendously impede their passive permeability⁴⁷, we thus sought to evaluate the lipophilicity (Supplementary Fig. 19) and membrane significant) > 0.05. *p* (10 vs 10a, 10b, 10c, 10d, 10e, 10f) = 0.0013, 0.0002, 0.0007, 0.0005, 0.0002, 0.0003; *p* (10 vs 10g, 10h, 10i, 10j, 10k) = 0.0003, <0.0001, 0.0004, 0.0005, 0.0008 for logD_{7.4}. *p* (10 vs 10a, 10b, 10c, 10d, 10e, 10f) = 0.0024, 0.0449, 0.1704, 0.1228, 0.0015, 0.0194; *p* (10 vs 10g, 10h, 10i, 10j, 10k) = 0.3501, 0.0065, 0.1232, 0.0102, 0.0021 for P_e by PAMPA. *p* (10 vs 10a, 10b, 10c, 10d, 10e, 10f) = 0.1022, 0.0802, 0.0418, 0.0032, 0.0026, 0.0026; *p* (10 vs 10g, 10h, 10i, 10j, 10k) = 0.3501, 0.0065, 0.1232, 0.0102, 0.0021 for P_e by PAMPA. *p* (10 vs 10a, 10b, 10c, 10d, 10e, 10f) = 0.0022, 0.0802, 0.0418, 0.0032, 0.0026, 0.0026; *p* (10 vs 10g, 10h, 10i, 10j, 10k) = 0.0012, 0.1085, 0.168, 0.107, 0.0008, <0.0001; *p* (10 vs 10a, 10b, 10c, 10d, 10e, 10f) = 0.0002, 0.0023, 0.0509, <0.0001, 0.0007, 0.0080; *p* (10 vs 10g, 10h, 10i, 10j, 10k) = 0.2716, 0.0081, 0.0001, 0.324, 0.0095 for $t_{1/2}$ in SIF. *p* (10 vs 10g, 10h, 10i, 10j, 10k) = 0.2716, 0.0081, 0.0008, 0.0246, 0.0046, 0.0056, 0.0035; *p* (10 vs 10g, 10h, 10i, 10j, 10k) = 0.104, 10e, 10f) = 0.0003, 0.0080, 0.0246, 0.0046, 0.0056, 0.0035; *p* (10 vs 10g, 10h, 10i, 10j, 10k) = 0.2716, 0.0081, 0.0001, 0.324, 0.0095 for $t_{1/2}$ in SIF. *p* (10 vs 10g, 10h, 10i, 10j, 10k) = 0.0013, 0.0080, 0.0246, 0.0046, 0.0056, 0.0035; *p* (10 vs 10g, 10h, 10i, 10j, 10k) = 0.0033, 0.0080, 0.0246, 0.0046, 0.0056, 0.0035; *p* (10 vs 10g, 10h, 10i, 10j, 10k) = 0.0100, 0.0048, 0.0241, 0.0048, 0.0801 for CL_{int}. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

passage on thioamidating **13**. On thioamidation, a lower desolvation penalty was observed for several analogs (Fig. 6b) that resulted in a modest permeability enhancement of **13a**, **13b**, and **13d** in the PAMPA (Fig. 6c), where the O to S substitutions were present at the solvent-exposed C=O (Fig. 6a). However, the most permeable thioamidated analog, **13b**, showed comparable permeability to the lipophilic macrocycle **10**. Consequently, **13** and **13a–13f** could not permeate the Caco-2 monolayer (Supplementary Table 6). This emphasizes the challenge of achieving passive transcellular permeability of macrocyclic peptides with polar amino acid side chains, on monothioamidation.

Prolonged plasma exposure of thio-peptides following s.c. injection

With the failed attempt to improve the intestinal permeability of the polar macrocycle **13** by single atom substitution, we focused on



Fig. 5 | Plasma exposure of thioamidated and N-methylated macrocyclic peptides post oral administration. a Plasma concentration vs time plot of **10**, **10a**, **10e**, and **10f** after a single dose oral administration (10 mg/Kg) in male Wistar rats. $n = 3 \pm$ SEM. b Comparison of the plasma concentration with respect to time of the macrocycles obtained by thioamidation and N-methylation of amide bonds 1 A

(**10a**, **10h**) and 5 A (**10e**, **10k**), following a single dose oral administration (10 mg/ Kg) in male Wistar rats. $n = 3 \pm \text{SEM}$. Each bar represents mean values of three biological replicates: dots are individual data points. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

Peptides		p.o				i.v					
		C _{max} (ng ml⁻¹)	MRT _{last} (h)	AUC _{last} (ng h ml⁻¹)	Fold Change	C _{max} (ng ml⁻¹)	MRT _{last} (h)	V _{ss} (l kg⁻¹)	CL _{obs} (ml min⁻¹ kg⁻¹)	AUC _{last} (ng h ml ⁻¹)	%F
10		3.5	0.2	0.9	-	1046.7	1.6	4.1	28.4	548.1	0
1A	10a	15.0	2.0	26.9	31	48.1	2.8	28.6	91.1	146.4	1.8
	10h	13.1	1.3	25.9	29	434.6	0.4	2.3	79.5	212.2	1.2
5 A	10e	47.2	5.9	359.5	409	2660.9	1.5	0.7	7.5	2278.4	1.6
	10k	55.9	9.0	344.9	393	1165.5	0.4	0.8	33.3	509.5	6.8
10f		61.7	2.1	120.8	138	580	1.1	3.2	42.2	389.7	3.1

Table 1 | In vivo pharmacokinetics in male Wistar rats

n = 3. For clarity, only the mean is presented; $n = 3 \pm$ SEM is given in Supplementary Table 5. Each value represents mean of three biological replicates. Statistical significance was measured by a one-tailed unpaired t-test. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ****p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001. %F = (AUC_{last(j.v.)}/AUC_{last(j.v.)}) $_{X}$ (100/x), x = p.o./i.v. dose). p (**10** vs **10a**, **10h**, **10e**, **10k**, **10f**) = * (0.0276), * (0.0382), ** (0.0071), *** (0.0048), * (0.0371) for AUC_{last} (p.o.).

subcutaneous (s.c.) injection, which is the most common route of therapeutic peptide administration in the development pipeline⁴⁸. Wherein the major challenge that needs to be addressed is their rapid clearance from plasma owing to fast enzymatic degradation and renal clearance attributing to short half-lives⁴⁹. Thus, we tested the stability of the thioamidated macrocycles **13a**–**13f** in plasma (Fig. 7a). As observed for the hydrophobic macrocycle **10** (Supplementary Fig. 17), a single amide to thioamide substitution in most cases increased the half-life of **13** ($t_{1/2}$ 15 h) by two folds or more. Likewise, we observe a significant increase in the binding to plasma proteins by **13a**–**13f** (Fig. 7b), which correlates with their increased lipophilicity (logD_{7.4}) (Supplementary Fig. 19c). Subsequently, we selected **13a**, **13b**, **13d**, and **13e** for assessing their plasma exposure post single dose subcutaneous injection into male Sprague Dawley rats. The

parent macrocycle **13** was efficiently absorbed into plasma; however, its concentration rapidly dropped within 2 h (8 ng ml⁻¹). On the contrary, **13a** (40 ng ml⁻¹), **13b** (29 ng ml⁻¹), **13d** (17 ng ml⁻¹), and **13e** (39 ng ml⁻¹) showed higher plasma concentration at 2 h and were slowly absorbed into the plasma than **13** (Fig. 7c and Supplementary Table 7). Thus, the enhanced lipophilicity of the macrocyclic peptides by thioamidation result in higher binding to the plasma membrane and/or with proteins like albumin at the site of injection. This leads to their reduced diffusion rate and longer retention at the site of injection.

In vitro efficacy and docking with SSTR2

Next, we sought to assess the in vivo efficacy of the thioamidated somatostatin analogs post s.c. administration. Somatostatin, first



Fig. 6 | **Impact of thioamide on hydrophilic macrocyclic peptide. a** The sequence of the cyclic hexapeptide analog of Somatostatin (**13**) and its monothioamidated regioisomers (**13a–13f**). **b** Partition coefficient of **13**, **13a–13f** in heptane-ethylene glycol (h/e) solvent system indicating their desolvation penalty. **c** Membrane permeability of the macrocyclic peptides determined by the PAMPA (P_e). Propranolol was used as the marker for transcellular transport. *n* = 3 ± SEM. Each bar represents mean values of three biological replicates: dots are individual

known to inhibit the release of growth hormone, induces its biological effects by interacting with five of its G-protein-coupled receptor subtypes SSTR1-SSTR5⁵⁰. Out of which, the SSTR2 and SSTR5 have gained significant attention due to their role in mediating the inhibition of growth hormone and antiproliferative effects of somatostatin on tumor growth. The lack of therapeutic effectiveness of native somatostatin lies in its short plasma half-life (~3 min), and unselective binding to all the receptor subtypes SSTR1-5. We, thus, assessed the selectivity of 13 and the thioamidated analogs against SSTR2 and SSTR5. 13, 13b, and 13e were found to be selective against SSTR2 over SSTR5, while 13d showed exquisite specificity against SSTR2 (Fig. 7d and Supplementary Fig. 20). A complete loss in binding against SSTR2 and SSTR5 was observed for 13a, 13c, and 13f. To understand the structural basis for receptor binding, we determined the solution structure of 13 and 13a-13f using interproton distances derived from 2D NMR (Supplementary Fig. 21). These NMRderived structures of the peptides were then docked into the recently determined structure of SSTR2 bound to the FDA-approved drug Octreotide (SRIF-14; PDB code: 7T11)⁵¹ using rigid receptor docking approach.

The parent peptide 13 is predicted to bind to SSTR2 at the bottom of the ligand binding pocket with a pose comparable to Octreotide, where several key interactions between the pharmacophore of 13 (-Phe-D-Trp-Lys-Thr-) and SSTR2 retain the ligand in its position^{51,52}. In particular, the indole ring of D-Trp1 inserts within a hydrophobic pocket and engages in an edge-to-face π -stacking interaction with Phe208^{5.38}. Additionally, the carbonyl oxygen of D-Trp forms a H-bond with the side chain of Asn276^{6.55}. The ϵ NH₂ of Lys2 engages in a salt bridge with Asp122^{3.32}, H-bond with Gln126^{3.36}, and a cation- π interaction with Tyr302^{7.43}. Lastly, the Phe6 interacts with Tyr205^{5.35} via a π - π stacking interaction and Thr3 OH forms a H-bond with Gln102^{2.63} (Supplementary Fig. 22). All these interactions were retained in 13b, 13d, and 13e (Supplementary Fig. 23). In contrast, a complete loss of these interactions was observed in the inactive analogs 13c and 13f, which showed significant alteration in their native structure with respect to 13 due to an O to S substitution at an internally oriented carbonyl group (Supplementary Fig. 24b, c). However, despite the comparable solution structure of 13 with 13a having a solvent-exposed D-Trp1 C=S, the loss of its binding to SSTR2 demonstrates the crucial role of a single H-bond (D-Trp1CO-Asn276NH₂^{δ}) in ligand binding which is abrogated on thioamidation (Supplementary Fig. 24a).



molecule by a one-tailed unpaired *t*-test. p < 0.05, p < 0.01, p < 0.001,

****p < 0.0001, ns (non-significant) > 0.05. p (**13** vs **13a**, **13b**, **13c**, **13d**, **13e**, **13f**) = 0.0002, <0.0001, 0.0052, 0.0002, 0.0020, 0.0005 for $\log D_{(h/e)}$, p (**13** vs **13a**, **13b**,

13c, 13d, 13e, 13f) = 0.0347, 0.0183, 0.2371, 0.0472, 0.2610, 0.3540 for P_e by

PAMPA. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

which is related to its longer retention in the plasma (Fig. 7c) due to its high plasma stability (Fig. 7a) than the other thioamidated analogs. The rapid drop in plasma concentration of **13** is responsible for its least in vivo efficacy. In comparison, the increased metabolic stability and lipophilicity of the macrocyclic peptide on thioamidation resulted in long-acting analogs that slowly diffused into plasma from the site of injection.

Discussion

Desolvation of peptide bonds by targeting the hydrogen bond donor (NH groups) through conformational control, steric occlusion, and N-methylation remain the state-of-the-art method to improve the membrane permeability of macrocyclic peptides. In the pursuit of an alternative chemical strategy to desolvate the peptide bond with minimal perturbation to the macrocycle conformation, we explored thioamidation. Our results show that masking the hydrogen bond acceptor >C=O with >C=S is effective in improving the passive membrane permeability of macrocyclic peptides composed of hydrophobic amino acid side chains. We note that lipophilicity of the parent macrocycle on which the thio-scan is performed, contributes largely to the absolute permeability of the thioamidated analogs (Fig. 1f). Furthermore, despite identical AlogP of the thioamidated regioisomers **10a-10f, 11a-11g**, and **12a-12h**, they differ in passive permeability across the membrane. The improved permeability of the thioamidated



Fig. 7 | **Effect of thioamide on the PK and in vivo efficacy of somatostatin analogs. a** Half-lives ($t_{1/2}$) of **13, 13a–13f** determined in human blood plasma. **b** % protein binding of the peptides in plasma, as measured through RED. **c** Plasma concentration vs time plot of **13, 13a, 13b, 13d**, and **13e** after a single dose (250 µg/Kg) s.c. administration in male Sprague-Dawley rats. The rapid drop in the concentration of **13** as opposed to its four thio analogs in plasma at later time points (2-8 h) are shown in the inset. **d** The IC₅₀ values of the peptides determined from dose-dependent inhibition of forskolin-induced cAMP production in HEK293T cells expressing SSTR2 and SSTR5. $n = 3 \pm$ SEM. **e** Inhibition of rat growth hormone (rGH) levels over 48 hours post single dose (250 µg/Kg) peptide (**13, 13a, 13b, 13d, 13e**) and PBS (Untreated) administration via the s.c. route. **f** Bar plots represent the area under the concentration-time curve (AUCs) of rGH for (**f**) 0–48 h, (**g**) 0–24 h, and

(h) 24-48 h. $n = 3 \pm$ SEM (a to d); $n = 3 \pm$ SEM (e); $n = 3 \pm$ SEM (f to h), Each bar represents mean values of three biological replicates: dots are individual data points. Statistical significance was measured against the (all-amide) parent molecule by a one-tailed unpaired *t*-test. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ***p < 0.0001, ns (non-significant) > 0.05. *p* (13 vs 13a, 13b, 13c, 13d, 13e, 13f) = 0.0110, 0.0332, 0.0037, 0.0005, 0.0083, 0.1674 for $t_{1/2}$ in human blood plasma. *p* (13 vs 13a, 13b, 13c, 13d, 13e, 13f) = 0.0110, 0.0332, 0.0022, 0.0015, 0.00051, 0.0109, 0.2305, 0.0124, 0.0050, 0.0016 for plasma-protein binding. For AUC_{0h-48h}, *p* (PBS vs 13, 13a, 13b, 13d, 13e) = 0.0189, 0.3960, 0.0022, 0.0015, 0.0007, 0.0005. For AUC_{0h-48h}, *p* (PBS vs 13, 13a, 13b, 13d, 13e) = 0.0010, 0.2861, 0.0007, 0.0007, 0.0005. For AUC_{24h-48h}, *p* (PBS vs 13, 13a, 13b, 13d, 13e) = 0.02010, 0.2861, 0.0552, 0.0113, 0.0018, 0.0041. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

macrocycles through artificial (PAMPA) and biological (Caco-2 cells) membrane arises from a concerted effect of conformational restriction, formation of intramolecular H-bonds, and local desolvation of the thioamide bond.

The comparative study of mono-thioamidation versus mono-Nmethylation on the macrocycle **10** suggests that both HBA and HBD masking is beneficial in improving the passive membrane permeability of macrocyclic peptides. Our results also show that thioamidation provides metabolic stability to macrocyclic peptides against degradation by the harsh enzymes present in the GI tract. We note that thioamidation at the proteolytically labile peptide bond or at the preceding/following residues confer protection against proteolysis (Fig. 4d, e). This was further verified by performing the metabolite analysis of **13** post SIF treatment, where we identified -Lys2-Thr3peptide bond as the primary cleavage site resulting in $t_{1/2}$ of 1.8 h (Supplementary Fig. 25). Thioamidation at the cleavage (P1) site (Lys², **13b**) and the preceding (P2) site (D-Trp1, **13a**) prevented the degradation of the macrocycle over 72 h, while thioamidation at the P1' site (Thr³, **13c**) did not significantly increase the half-life of **13c** ($t_{1/2}$ 2.5 h) (Supplementary Fig. 25).

The marked improvement in permeability and metabolic stability of **10a**, **10e**, and **10f** over **10** led us to perform the complete in vivo PK profiling along with their N-methylated counterparts **10h** and **10k** for comparison. **10a** and **10h**, despite being the most permeable thioamidated and N-methylated analogs, respectively, showed the least plasma exposure post oral administration. Whereas, **10e** and **10k** with moderate permeability and higher metabolic stability (than **10a** and **10h**) against the proteases in the GI tract showed high plasma exposure post oral administration. **10f** with lower stability than **10e** but with comparable membrane permeability displayed lower plasma exposure than **10e**. This highlights the importance of achieving high proteolytic stability of macrocyclic peptides in the GI tract addition to passive transcellular permeability, to obtain improved plasma exposure post oral administration.

Peptide macrocycles that show high solvent exposure of the backbone amide bonds due to the presence of polar side chains (**13**) failed to attain Caco-2 permeability on single O to S substitution. Nevertheless, the desolvation of the macrocycle backbone by thioa-midation increased the interaction with the plasma membrane, enhanced binding to plasma proteins, and showed higher proteolytic stability. Thus, despite the comparable in vitro potency of the soma-tostatin analog **13** and its thioamidated analogs **13b**, **13d**, and **13e**; the slow diffusion of the thioamidated analogs into the systemic circulation post subcutaneous injection results in a substantially longer duration of action. A property that is typically introduced into peptides by substitution with non-canonical amino acids⁵³ and by conjugation with molecules that bind to serum proteins⁵⁴.

In conclusion, we present an unexplored strategy to desolvate amide bonds that could be applied to improve the in vivo pharmacokinetic properties of macrocyclic peptides. This single-atom substitution allows to derive multiple (bioactive) macrocyclic analogs with varying pharmacological properties. An O to S substitution at the solvent-exposed carbonyl group did not alter the backbone conformation of the macrocyclic peptide. However, it introduces conformational restriction, a feature that is in stark contrast to N-methylation, which introduces conformational flexibility by lowering the cis/trans rotational barrier. Furthermore, the chemical stability of the thioamide linkage in the gastric and intestinal fluids, coupled with the lack of acute toxicity (Supplementary Fig. 26), warrants its use in peptide therapeutics. Although not explicitly addressed in this work, we believe that thioamide modification could be coupled with N-methylation to have better control over the bioactive conformation and efficiently desolvate the polypeptide backbone to obtain membrane-permeable and metabolically stable macrocyclic peptides. We also envision multiple thioamidation as the way forward to improve the in vivo PK of polar bioactive peptides.

Methods

Peptide synthesis and purification

All the peptides were synthesized following standard solid-phase Fmoc-based chemistry on 2-chlorotrityl chloride resin. The peptides were cleaved off from the resin with a mild treatment of acetic acid / 2,2,2-trifluoroethane (TFE) solution in dichloromethane (DCM) (3:1:6). The head-to-tail cyclization was performed with diphenylphosphorylacid azide (DPPA) (3eq), applying the solid base method using NaHCO₃ (5 eq) in DMF at a concentration of 0.1 mM. Before purification, the protecting groups were removed in solution by treating the peptides with 50% TFA in DCM and 2% TIPS. Peptides were purified by RP-HPLC, and purity was assessed by ESI-MS and analytical HPLC. Detailed procedure for the synthesis of thioamidated building blocks⁵⁵ and on resin N-methylation⁵⁶ is provided in Supplementary Information.

NMR experiments

Spectra were recorded on a Bruker AV 500 MHz spectrometer at 25 °C. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm) from tetramethylsilane (TMS) ($\delta = 0$) and were measured relative to the solvent in which the sample was analyzed (DMSO- d_6 : δ 2.49 ppm, CDCl₃: δ 7.26 ppm). A detailed description of the pulse programs, structure calculation methods, and HDX protocol are provided in Supplementary Information.

Docking studies

All the coordinates of the SSTR2 in complex with octreotide were fetched from the PDB entry 7T11. The structure of SSTR2 was prepared at pH 7.4 using Protein Preparation Wizard in Schrödinger Suite (Schrödinger Release 2020-2). The coordinates of the average solution structures of the peptides (**13**, **13a**–**f**) were used for the docking studies. The peptides were docked into the SSTR2 binding pocket using the Standard Precision (SP)-peptide and flexible ligand sampling settings available in the Glide module of Schrödinger suite. The receptor-ligand interactions were visualized in Maestro GUI (version 12.04.072). Details in Supplementary Information.

Assessment of lipophilicity

A detailed protocol of the initial lipophilicity assessment of the peptides by HPLC retention time analysis, AlogP calculation, and partition coefficient studies are provided in Supplementary Information.

PAMPA and Caco-2 permeability

96-well BD Corning[®] pre-coated PAMPA plates were used following the supplier's protocol, details in Supplementary Information. The Caco-2 cell (HTB-37TM from ATCC, procured from NCCS, Pune) monolayer was washed and pre-incubated at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ for 30 min with HBSS-HEPES buffer containing 1% DMSO prior to experimentation. The assay was performed 21 days post-seeding of cells. TEER values were measured before starting and after the completion of the assay. Acceptor and donor well concentrations of the peptides and controls were assessed through LC-MS/MS. Further experimental details are provided in Supplementary Information.

Assessment of in vitro proteolytic stability

A stability assessment of the peptides was done in simulated gastric (SGF) and intestinal (SIF) fluids and human liver microsomes. The degradation kinetics of the aliquoted samples at desired time points were analyzed through RP-HPLC. Details in Supplementary Information.

Stability and protein binding in blood plasma

Blood was freshly drawn from three healthy adults, two male and one female of 26–28 year old. All participants gave written informed consent before contributing blood samples. The plasma was separated by centrifugation. The study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Human Ethics Committee (IHEC) of the Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore. (Approval number: IHEC: 4-14032018). For checking metabolic stability, the required volume of plasma was pre-incubated at 37 °C prior to the experiment for 2 min, followed by spiking with the peptides. At different time points, plasma was aliquoted. The supernatant was separated and used for RP-HPLC quantification. Rapid Equilibrium Dialysis (RED) assay was performed to assess the plasma protein binding of the peptides following the supplier's protocol. Experimental details in Supplementary Information.

GloSensor Assay

HEK-293T cells (CRL-3216 from ATCC, procured from NCCS, Pune) were co-transfected with human somatostatin receptor subtypes 2 & 5, plasmid cDNA, and cAMP biosensor (pGloSensorTM-22F plasmid; Promega Corp.) using PEImax. Ligand incubation was followed by the addition and incubation of forskolin (FSK) to the plate. Dose-dependent inhibition of forskolin-induced cAMP production by the peptide ligands was measured using a luminescence plate reader. An elaborate description of the assay setup has been provided in Supplementary Information.

In vivo pharmacokinetics in rats

Animal care and in vivo procedures were conducted according to guidelines and protocols from the IEAC and CPCSEA. The work plans were reviewed and approved by the Institutional animal ethics committee of Anthem Biosciences Pvt. Ltd. and the Indian Institute of Science, Institute Animal Ethical Committee (IAEC). (Approval number: IAEC: CAF/Ethics/678/2019). All animals were housed individually in the animal facility at Anthem Biosciences Pvt. Ltd and were acclimatized for 3 days. All animals were fasted overnight before dosing, whereas access to water was provided ad libitum. Peptides were administered orally and via s.c. route and blood samples were collected at different time points through a jugular vein catheter. Dosing, sample collection, quantification, and other details as described in Supplementary Information.

In vivo efficacy and toxicity in rats

Animal housing and acclimatization were done at Central Animal Facility, IISc Bangalore, as mentioned in the previous section, following protocols from the IEAC and CPCSEA. Peptides were administered subcutaneously, and blood samples were collected at different time points from the orbital sinus for efficacy studies. Samples were processed as discussed in Supplementary Information, and sandwich ELISA was performed to measure the growth hormone level in blood plasma. For acute toxicity, after peptide injection (s.c.), blood samples were collected on the 14th day for liver and kidney function tests. Dosing and other details as described in Supplementary Information.

Statistical analysis

All the data in this manuscript are described as mean ± SEM unless otherwise stated. The *P* values for statistical significance were analyzed by a one-tailed unpaired *t*-test using the GraphPad Prism software (v.8.0.1). **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, ****P* < 0.001, *****P* < 0.0001, ns (non-significant) > 0.05.

Reporting summary

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Portfolio Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

All other data are available in the supplementary information files. CryoEM structure of somatostatin receptor 2 in complex with Octreotide (7T11) used for the docking study is available in the Protein Data Bank. Source data are provided with this paper.

References

- 1. Pattabiraman, V. R. & Bode, J. W. Rethinking amide bond synthesis. *Nature* **480**, 471–479 (2011).
- Muttenthaler, M., King, G. E., Adams, D. J. & Alewood, P. E. Trends in peptide drug discovery. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 20, 309–325 (2021).
- 3. White, A. M. & Craik, D. J. Discovery and optimization of peptide macrocycles. *Expert Opin. Drug Discov.* **11**, 1151–1163 (2016).
- Yudin, A. K. Macrocycles: lessons from the distant past, recent developments, and future directions. *Chem. Sci.* 6, 30–49 (2015).
- Hosseinzadeh, P. et al. Anchor extension: a structure-guided approach to design cyclic peptides targeting enzyme active sites. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 3384 (2021).
- Driggers, E. M., Hale, S. P., Lee, J. & Terrett, N. K. The exploration of macrocycles for drug discovery - an underexploited structural class. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 7, 608–624 (2008).
- Kale, S. S. et al. Cyclization of peptides with two chemical bridges affords large scaffold diversities. *Nat. Chem.* 10, 715–723 (2018).
- Sohrabi, C., Foster, A. & Tavassoli, A. Methods for generating and screening libraries of genetically encoded cyclic peptides in drug discovery. *Nat. Rev. Chem.* 4, 90–101 (2020).

- 9. Vinogradov, A. A., Yin, Y. Z. & Suga, H. Macrocyclic peptides as drug candidates: recent progress and remaining challenges. *J. Am. Chem.* Soc. **141**, 4167–4181 (2019).
- 10. Bhardwaj, G. et al. Accurate de novo design of membranetraversing macrocycles. *Cell* **185**, 3520–3532 (2022).
- 11. Frost, J. R., Scully, C. C. G. & Yudin, A. K. Oxadiazole grafts in peptide macrocycles. *Nat. Chem.* **8**, 1105–1111 (2016).
- 12. Nielsen, D. S. et al. Improving on nature: making a cyclic heptapeptide orally bioavailable. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **53**, 12059–12063 (2014).
- Biron, E. et al. Improving oral bioavailability of peptides by multiple N-methylation: somatostatin analogues. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 47, 2595–2599 (2008).
- White, T. R. et al. On-resin N-methylation of cyclic peptides for discovery of orally bioavailable scaffolds. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 7, 810–817 (2011).
- Wang, C. K. et al. Rational design and synthesis of an orally bioavailable peptide guided by NMR amide temperature coefficients. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **111**, 17504–17509 (2014).
- Buckton, L. K., Rahimi, M. N. & McAlpine, S. R. Cyclic peptides as drugs for intracellular targets: the next frontier in peptide therapeutic development. *Chem. Eur. J.* 27, 1487–1513 (2021).
- Chatterjee, J., Gilon, C., Hoffman, A. & Kessler, H. N-methylation of peptides: a new perspective in medicinal chemistry. Acc. Chem. Res. 41, 1331–1342 (2008).
- Comeau, C. et al. Modulation of the passive permeability of semipeptidic macrocycles: N- and C-methylations fine-tune conformation and properties. J. Med. Chem. 64, 5365–5383 (2021).
- 19. Kelly, C. N. et al. Geometrically diverse lariat peptide scaffolds reveal an untapped chemical space of high membrane permeability. *J. Am. Chem.* Soc. **143**, 705–714 (2021).
- Liras, S. & Mcclure, K. F. Permeability of cyclic peptide macrocycles and cyclotides and their potential as therapeutics. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 10, 1026–1032 (2019).
- Rader, A. F. B., Reichart, F., Weinmuller, M. & Kessler, H. Improving oral bioavailability of cyclic peptides by N-methylation. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 26, 2766–2773 (2018).
- 22. Loosli, H. R. et al. Peptide Conformations .31. The Conformation of Cyclosporin-a in the Crystal and in Solution. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **68**, 682–704 (1985).
- 23. Wang, C. K., Swedberg, J. E., Harvey, P. J., Kaas, Q. & Craik, D. J. Conformational flexibility is a determinant of permeability for cyclosporin. *J. Phy. Chem. B* **122**, 2261–2276 (2018).
- 24. Dougherty, P. G., Sahni, A. & Pei, D. H. Understanding cell penetration of cyclic peptides. *Chem. Rev.* **119**, 10241–10287 (2019).
- Chatterjee, J., Rechenmacher, F. & Kessler, H. N-methylation of peptides and proteins: an important element for modulating biological functions. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 52, 254–269 (2013).
- Baker, E. N. & Hubbard, R. E. Hydrogen-bonding in globularproteins. Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol. 44, 97–179 (1984).
- Khatri, B. et al. Desolvation of peptide bond by O to S substitution impacts protein stability. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 60, 24870–24874 (2021).
- Ghose, A. K., Viswanadhan, V. N. & Wendoloski, J. J. Prediction of hydrophobic (lipophilic) properties of small organic molecules using fragmental methods: an analysis of ALOGP and CLOGP methods. J. Phys. Chem. A **102**, 3762–3772 (1998).
- 29. Verma, H., Khatri, B., Chakraborti, S. & Chatterjee, J. Increasing the bioactive space of peptide macrocycles by thioamide substitution. *Chem. Sci.* **9**, 2443–2451 (2018).
- Over, B. et al. Structural and conformational determinants of macrocycle cell permeability. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 12, 1065–1074 (2016).

- Rezai, T., Yu, B., Millhauser, G. L., Jacobson, M. P. & Lokey, R. S. Testing the conformational hypothesis of passive membrane permeability using synthetic cyclic peptide diastereomers. *J. Am. Chem.* Soc. **128**, 2510–2511 (2006).
- Chen, X. X., Murawski, A., Patel, K., Crespi, C. L. & Balimane, P. V. A novel design of artificial membrane for improving the PAMPA model. *Pharm. Res.* 25, 1511–1520 (2008).
- Wang, C. K. et al. Exploring experimental and computational markers of cyclic peptides: charting islands of permeability. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 97, 202–213 (2015).
- Beck, J. G. et al. Intestinal permeability of cyclic peptides: common key backbone motifs identified. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 134, 12125–12133 (2012).
- Artis, D. R. & Lipton, M. A. Conformations of thioamide-containing dipeptides: a computational study. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 120, 12200–12206 (1998).
- Fouche, M. et al. Design and development of a cyclic decapeptide scaffold with suitable properties for bioavailability and oral exposure. *ChemMedChem* 11, 1048–1059 (2016).
- Conradi, R. A., Hilgers, A. R., Ho, N. F. H. & Burton, P. S. The Influence of Peptide Structure on Transport across Caco-2 Cells .2. Peptide-Bond Modification Which Results in Improved Permeability. *Pharm. Res.* 9, 435–439 (1992).
- Chikhale, E. G., Ng, K. Y., Burton, P. S. & Borchardt, R. T. Hydrogenbonding potential as a determinant of the in-vitro and in-situ bloodbrain-barrier permeability of peptides. *Pharm. Res.* 11, 412–419 (1994).
- Ouyang, H. et al. A comparison of the effects of P-glycoprotein inhibitors on the blood-brain barrier permeation of cyclic prodrugs of an opioid peptide (DADLE). J. Pharm. Sci. 98, 2227–2236 (2009).
- Furukawa, A. et al. Passive membrane permeability in cyclic peptomer scaffolds is robust to extensive variation in side chain functionality and backbone geometry. J. Med. Chem. 59, 9503–9512 (2016).
- 41. Kremsmayr, T. et al. On the utility of chemical strategies to improve peptide gut stability. *J. Med. Chem.* **65**, 6191–6206 (2022).
- Wang, J., Yadav, V., Smart, A. L., Tajiri, S. & Basit, A. W. Toward oral delivery of biopharmaceuticals: an assessment of the gastrointestinal stability of 17 peptide drugs. *Mol. Pharm.* 12, 966–973 (2015).
- Barrett, T. M. et al. Studies of thioamide effects on serine protease activity enable two-site stabilization of cancer imaging peptides. ACS Chem. Biol. 15, 774–779 (2020).
- Werner, H. M., Cabalteja, C. C. & Horne, W. S. Peptide backbone composition and protease susceptibility: impact of modification type, position, and tandem substitution. *ChemBioChem* 17, 712–718 (2016).
- Mahmood, A. & Bernkop-Schnurch, A. SEDDS: a game changing approach for the oral administration of hydrophilic macromolecular drugs. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 142, 91–101 (2019).
- Veber, D. F. et al. A potent cyclic hexapeptide analog of somatostatin. *Nature* 292, 55–58 (1981).
- Rand, A. C. et al. Optimizing PK properties of cyclic peptides: the effect of side chain substitutions on permeability and clearance. *MedChemComm* 3, 1282–1289 (2012).
- Zou, P. et al. Impact of injection sites on clinical pharmacokinetics of subcutaneously administered peptides and proteins. J. Control. Rel. 336, 310–321 (2021).
- Strohl, W. R. Fusion proteins for half-life extension of biologics as a strategy to make biobetters. *Biodrugs* 29, 215–239 (2015).
- Weckbecker, G. et al. Opportunities in somatostatin research: biological, chemical and therapeutic aspects. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 2, 999–1017 (2003).

- Robertson, M. J., Meyerowitz, J. G., Panova, O., Borrelli, K. & Skiniotis, G. Plasticity in ligand recognition at somatostatin receptors. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **29**, 210–217 (2022).
- 52. Bo, Q. et al. Structural insights into the activation of somatostatin receptor 2 by cyclic SST analogues. *Cell Discov.* **8**, 47 (2022).
- Cheloha, R. W., Maeda, A., Dean, T., Gardella, T. J. & Gellman, S. H. Backbone modification of a polypeptide drug alters duration of action in vivo. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **32**, 653–655 (2014).
- 54. Penchala, S. C. et al. A biomimetic approach for enhancing the in vivo half-life of peptides. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **11**, 793–798 (2015).
- Mukherjee, S., Verma, H. & Chatterjee, J. Efficient site-specific incorporation of thioamides into peptides on a solid support. *Org. Lett.* 17, 3150–3153 (2015).
- 56. Chatterjee, J., Laufer, B. & Kessler, H. Synthesis of N-methylated cyclic peptides. *Nat. Protocols* **7**, 432–444 (2012).

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge Sunita Prakash at the MS facility, and staff of the NMR facility, IISc for support. We are grateful to Prof. R. Sowdhamini for her generous support in providing access to the Schrödinger suite of programs. We thank Prof. Kartik Sunagar and Muralidhar Nayak for access to the Triple Quadrupole LC-MS/MS. We thank Dr. Ritwik Kavathekar from Biovia Dassault Systemes for his continued support with Discovery Studio. J.C. acknowledge DST-SERB (CRG/2021/ 000753) and DBT-IISc partnership program for funding the research. We thank DST-FIST, UGC-CAS, DBT-IISc partnership program, and MHRD for infrastructural support. P.G. thanks MHRD for research fellowship. N.R. acknowledges DBT for research fellowships (J.R.F. and S.R.F.). M.P. acknowledges AcSIR and CSIR for research fellowships (J.R.F.).

Author contributions

P.G., N.R., H.V., and J.C. designed research. P.G., N.R., H.V., M.P., S.C., B.K., C.M.D., A.S.R., D.M.B., and G.J. performed experiments and collected data. P.G., N.R., and H.V. designed and synthesized the peptides, performed in vitro PK experiments. P.G. acquired NMR and performed NMR based studies. M.P. and P.N.Y. contributed to the in vitro SSTR studies. S.C. performed the docking. G.J. performed the Caco-2 studies. C.M.D., A.S.R., and S.S. performed the in vivo PK studies. P.G. and D.M.B. performed the in vivo efficacy studies. P.G. and J.C. wrote the paper with inputs from all the authors.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-41748-y.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Jayanta Chatterjee.

Peer review information *Nature Communications* thanks Steven Ballet and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work. A peer review file is available.

Reprints and permissions information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023