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#### Abstract

Let $\boldsymbol{F}$ be a nonarchimedean local field of residual characteristic $\boldsymbol{p}>3$ and residue degree $f>1$. We study a certain type of diagram, called cyclic diagrams, and use them to show that the universal supersingular modules of $\mathbf{G L}_{2}(F)$ admit infinitely many nonisomorphic irreducible admissible quotients.
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## Introduction

Let $F$ be a nonarchimedean local field of residual characteristic $p$ and residue degree $f$. Fix a uniformizer $\varpi \in F$. The theory of smooth representations of reductive $F$-groups on $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$-vector spaces has its origins in the paper of Barthel and Livné [1994] in which they classify all smooth irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(F)$ with central characters except supersingular representations. The first examples of supersingular representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(F)$ were constructed by Paškūnas [2004] using equivariant coefficient systems on the Bruhat-Tits tree, or equivalently, using diagrams. Let $K, Z$ and $N$ denote, respectively, the standard maximal compact subgroup, the center and the normalizer of the standard Iwahori subgroup $I$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(F)$ so that the stabilizer of the standard vertex of the tree is $K Z$ and that of the standard edge is $N$. A diagram is a finite data of a smooth $K Z$-representation $D_{0}$, a smooth $N$-representation $D_{1}$ and an $I Z$-equivariant map $D_{1} \rightarrow D_{0}$. This data can be glued together (in a noncanonical way) to obtain smooth representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(F)$ inside some injective envelopes.

[^0]Breuil and Paškūnas [2012] developed the theory of diagrams further and constructed irreducible supersingular representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p^{f}}\right)$ with prescribed $K$-socles from certain indecomposable (but not irreducible) diagrams. Here, $\mathbb{Q}_{p} f$ is the degree $f$ unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. Their results, in particular, imply that $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p} f\right)$, with $f>1$, has infinitely many irreducible admissible supersingular representations on which $p$ acts trivially, unlike $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ which has only finitely many such representations. Since the diagrams considered by them are not irreducible, the irreducibility of the corresponding representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p^{f}}\right)$ depends on certain computations with Witt vectors which do not extend to a ramified $F$ or to an $F$ of positive characteristic. In this note, we focus on irreducible diagrams in order to construct irreducible supersingular representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(F)$ for all local fields $F$.

The complexity of supersingular representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(F)$ for $f>1$ can already be seen in the complexity of classifying irreducible diagrams for $f>1$. To this end, we consider a particular type of irreducible diagrams which are rigid enough. We call them cyclic diagrams. These are irreducible diagrams on direct sums of extensions of weights such that the action of $\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ \infty & 0\end{array}\right)$ permutes characters cyclically. We show that cyclic diagrams exist for all $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(F)$ and the $D_{0}$ of any cyclic diagram has more than 2 irreducible subquotients if $f>1$ (see Theorem 1.6 and Remark 1.2). As a result, when $f>1$, a family of cyclic diagrams parametrized by $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}^{\times}$gives rise to infinitely many nonisomorphic irreducible admissible supersingular representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(F)$ with trivial $\varpi$-action (see Theorem 3.2). This implies that, for all local fields $F$ with $f>1$, the universal supersingular modules of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(F)$ have infinitely many nonisomorphic irreducible admissible quotients (see Corollary 3.3). While Corollary 3.3 follows from the main results in [Breuil and Paškūnas 2012] for $F=\mathbb{Q}_{p^{f}}$, it is a new result, to our knowledge, for $F$ ramified over $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ and for $F$ of positive characteristic.

We conclude by mentioning a recent note by Wu [2021] in the similar spirit in which he gives a uniform proof of the fact that the universal supersingular modules of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(F)$ are not admissible for any $p$-adic field $F \neq \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ by showing that the supersingular representations are not of finite presentations.
Notation and convention. Let $p>3$ be a prime number. Let $F$ be a nonarchimedean local field of residual characteristic $p$ and residue degree $f$. Let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq F$ be the valuation ring with a uniformizer $\varpi$. Let $\bar{F}_{p}$ be the algebraic closure of the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{p f}$ of size $p^{f}$. Fix an embedding $\mathbb{F}_{p f} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$. Let $G=\mathrm{GL}_{2}(F), K=\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathcal{O})$, $\Gamma=\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p f}\right)$ and $Z$ be the center of $G$. Let $B$ and $U$ be the subgroups of $\Gamma$ consisting of the upper triangular matrices and the upper triangular unipotent matrices, respectively. Let $I$ and $I(1)$ be the preimages of $B$ and $U$, respectively, under the reduction modulo $\varpi$ map $K \rightarrow \Gamma$. The subgroups $I$ and $I(1)$ of $K$ are the Iwahori and the pro- $p$ Iwahori subgroup of $K$, respectively. The normalizer $N$ of $I$ in $G$ is a subgroup generated by $I$ and $\Pi=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ \sigma & 0\end{array}\right)$. Note that $N$ is also the
normalizer of $I(1)$ in $G$. Let $K(1)$ denote the kernel of the map $K \rightarrow \Gamma$, i.e., first principal congruence subgroup of $K$. Unless stated otherwise, all representations considered in this note are on $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$-vector spaces.

A weight is an irreducible representation of $\Gamma$. Any weight is of the form

$$
\left(\bigotimes_{j=0}^{f-1} \operatorname{Sym}^{r_{j}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}^{2} \circ \Phi^{j}\right) \otimes \operatorname{det}^{m}
$$

for some integers $0 \leq r_{0}, \ldots, r_{f-1} \leq p-1$ and $0 \leq m \leq p^{f}-2$, where $\Phi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ is the automorphism induced by the Frobenius map $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^{p}$ on $\mathbb{F}_{p^{f}}$ and det: $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p^{f}}^{\times}$ is the determinant character. We denote such a weight by $\boldsymbol{r} \otimes \operatorname{det}^{m}$, where $\boldsymbol{r}$ is the $f$-tuple $\left(r_{0}, \ldots, r_{f-1}\right)$ of integers. Let $\sigma=\boldsymbol{r} \otimes \operatorname{det}^{m}$ be a weight; its subspace $\sigma^{U}$ of $U$-fixed vectors is 1-dimensional and stable under the action of $B$ because $B$ normalizes $U$. The resulting $B$-character, denoted by $\chi(\sigma)$, sends $\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ 0 & d\end{array}\right) \in B$ to $a^{r}(a d)^{m}$, where $r=\sum_{j=0}^{f-1} r_{j} p^{j}$. Any $B$-character valued in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}^{\times}$factors through the quotient $B / U$ which is identified with the subgroup of diagonal matrices in $B$ by the section $B / U \rightarrow B,\left(\begin{array}{cc}a & 0 \\ 0 & d\end{array}\right) U \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & 0 \\ 0 & d\end{array}\right)$. For a $B$-character $\chi$, let $\chi^{s}$ be the inflation to $B$ of the conjugation-by-s character $t \mapsto \chi\left(s t s^{-1}\right)$ on $B / U$, where $s=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$. We say that a weight is generic if it is not equal to $(0,0, \ldots, 0) \otimes \operatorname{det}^{m}$ or $(p-1, p-1, \ldots, p-1) \otimes \operatorname{det}^{m}$ for any $m$. The map $\sigma \mapsto \chi(\sigma)$ gives a bijection from the set of generic weights to the set of $B$-characters $\chi$ such that $\chi \neq \chi^{s}$. If $\sigma$ is a generic weight, let us denote by $\sigma^{[s]}$ the generic weight corresponding to the character $\chi(\sigma)^{s}$. For $\sigma=\boldsymbol{r} \otimes \operatorname{det}^{m}, \sigma^{[s]}=\left(p-1-r_{0}, \ldots, p-1-r_{f-1}\right) \otimes \operatorname{det}^{m+r}$. We refer the reader to [Barthel and Livné 1994, §1] for all nontrivial assertions in this paragraph.

Given two weights $\sigma$ and $\tau$, let $E(\sigma, \tau)$ be the unique nonsplit $\Gamma$-extension $0 \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow E(\sigma, \tau) \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow 0$ if it exists [Breuil and Paškūnas 2012, Corollary 5.6]. We also denote $E(\sigma, \tau)$ by $\sigma-\tau$. A finite-dimensional representation of $\Gamma$ is said to be multiplicity-free if its Jordan-Hölder factors are multiplicity-free. For any group $H$, the socle and the cosocle of an $H$-representation $\pi$ are denoted by $\operatorname{soc}_{H} \pi$ and $\operatorname{cosoc}_{H} \pi$, respectively.

Note that a weight is a smooth irreducible representation of $K$ (respectively, of $K Z$ ) and a $B$-character is a smooth $I$-character (respectively, IZ-character) via the map $K \rightarrow \Gamma$ (respectively, $K Z \rightarrow \Gamma$ ). In fact, the weights exhaust all smooth irreducible representations of $K$ (respectively, of $K Z$ such that $\varpi$ acts trivially).

## 1. Cyclic modules

We are interested in the following type of representations of $\Gamma$ :
Definition 1.1. A finite-dimensional representation $D_{0}$ of $\Gamma$ is called a cyclic module of $\Gamma$ if there exists a finite set $\left\{\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}\right\}$ of distinct generic weights
such that $E\left(\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{i-1}^{[s]}\right)$ exists for all $1 \leq i \leq n, D_{0}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} E\left(\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{i-1}^{[s]}\right)$ and $D_{0}^{U}=$ $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} E\left(\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{i-1}^{[s]}\right)^{U}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \chi\left(\sigma_{i}\right) \oplus \chi\left(\sigma_{i-1}\right)^{s}$ with the convention $\sigma_{0}=\sigma_{n}$.

If $D_{0}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} E\left(\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{i-1}^{[s]}\right)$ is a cyclic module of $\Gamma$, then, by Frobenius reciprocity, there is a nonzero map $\operatorname{Ind}_{B}^{\Gamma} \chi\left(\sigma_{i-1}\right)^{s} \rightarrow E\left(\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{i-1}^{[s]}\right)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since the principal series representation $\operatorname{Ind}_{B}^{\Gamma} \chi\left(\sigma_{i-1}\right)^{s}$ has cosocle $\sigma_{i-1}^{[s]}$, and $\sigma_{i} \neq \sigma_{i-1}^{[s]}$, the map $\operatorname{Ind}_{B}^{\Gamma} \chi\left(\sigma_{i-1}\right)^{s} \rightarrow E\left(\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{i-1}^{[s]}\right)$ is surjective, and hence $\sigma_{i}$ belongs to the first graded piece $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathrm{cosoc}}^{1}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{B}^{\Gamma} \chi\left(\sigma_{i-1}\right)^{s}\right)$ of the cosocle filtration of $\operatorname{Ind}_{B}^{\Gamma} \chi\left(\sigma_{i-1}\right)^{s}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Remark 1.2. If $D_{0}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} E\left(\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{i-1}^{[s]}\right)$ is a cyclic module of $\Gamma$ with $n=1$, i.e., $D_{0}=E\left(\sigma, \sigma^{[s]}\right)$, then the surjective map $\operatorname{Ind}_{B}^{\Gamma} \chi(\sigma)^{s} \rightarrow E\left(\sigma, \sigma^{[s]}\right)$ is actually an isomorphism: if the kernel is nonzero, then it has socle $\sigma$ because $\operatorname{soc}_{\Gamma} \operatorname{Ind}_{B}^{\Gamma} \chi(\sigma)^{s}=\sigma$. But $\sigma$ also occurs in the image as a subquotient, which contradicts the fact that a principal series is multiplicity-free [Breuil and Paškūnas 2012, Lemma 2.2]. Therefore $\operatorname{Ind}_{B}^{\Gamma} \chi(\sigma)^{s} \cong E\left(\sigma, \sigma^{[s]}\right)$, and this forces $\Gamma=\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ by [Breuil and Paškūnas 2012, Theorem 2.4]. In fact, any cyclic module of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ is a principal series representation. Indeed, if $\Gamma=\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ and $E(\sigma, \tau)$ is a nonsplit $\Gamma$-extension between generic weights $\sigma$ and $\tau$ such that $E(\sigma, \tau)^{U}=\chi(\sigma) \oplus \chi(\tau)$, then $\tau=\sigma^{[s]}$, and thus $E(\sigma, \tau)=\operatorname{Ind}_{B}^{\Gamma} \chi(\sigma)^{s}$ [Breuil and Paškūnas 2012, Corollary 5.6 (i) and Proposition 4.13 or Corollary 14.10].

In order to construct cyclic modules of $\Gamma=\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ for $f>1$, we take a closer look at the weights appearing in the first graded pieces of cosocle filtrations of principal series. Let $x$ be a formal variable, and let $\mathbb{Z} \pm x:=\{n \pm x: n \in$ $\mathbb{Z}\}$ denote the set of linear polynomials in $x$ having integral coefficients with leading coefficient $\pm 1$. Let $(\mathbb{Z} \pm x)^{f}$ be the set of $f$-tuples of polynomials in $\mathbb{Z} \pm x$. For $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{0}(x), \ldots, \lambda_{f-1}(x)\right) \in(\mathbb{Z} \pm x)^{f}$ and $\boldsymbol{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^{f}$, let $\lambda(\boldsymbol{r}):=$ $\left(\lambda_{0}\left(r_{0}\right), \lambda_{1}\left(r_{1}\right), \ldots, \lambda_{f-1}\left(r_{f-1}\right)\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{f}$. Recall the polynomial $e(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=0}^{f-1} \mathbb{Z} x_{j}$ associated to $\lambda \in(\mathbb{Z} \pm x)^{f}$ in [Breuil and Paškūnas 2012, §2]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e(\lambda)\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{f-1}\right) \\
& := \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{f-1} p^{j}\left(x_{j}-\lambda_{j}\left(x_{j}\right)\right)\right), & \text { if } \lambda_{f-1}\left(x_{f-1}\right) \in\left\{x_{f-1}, x_{f-1}-1\right\}, \\
\frac{1}{2}\left(p^{f}-1+\sum_{j=0}^{f-1} p^{j}\left(x_{j}-\lambda_{j}\left(x_{j}\right)\right)\right), & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

For each $f>1$, let $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in(\mathbb{Z} \pm x)^{f}$ be the $f$-tuple of polynomials defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{0}(x):=x-1 \\
& \mu_{1}(x):=p-2-x  \tag{1.3}\\
& \mu_{j}(x):=p-1-x, \quad \text { for } 2 \leq j \leq f-1
\end{align*}
$$

Let $g \in S_{f}$ be the cyclic permutation of an $f$-tuple mapping its $j$-th entry to the $(j+1)$-th entry and the last entry to the first one. If $\sigma=\lambda(\boldsymbol{r}) \otimes \eta$ is a generic weight of $\Gamma=\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ for some determinant-power character $\eta$ and $f>1$, then $\operatorname{gr}_{\text {cosoc }}^{1}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{B}^{\Gamma} \chi(\sigma)^{s}\right)$ consists of $f$ number of weights which can be described by the set

$$
\left\{\left(g^{i} \boldsymbol{\mu}\right)(\lambda(\boldsymbol{r})) \otimes \operatorname{det}^{e\left(g^{i} \boldsymbol{\mu}\right)(\lambda(\boldsymbol{r}))} \eta: 0 \leq i \leq f-1\right\},
$$

see [Breuil and Paškūnas 2012, Theorem 2.4].

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { For } \lambda=\left(\lambda_{0}(x), \ldots, \lambda_{f-1}(x)\right) \text { and } \lambda^{\prime}=\left(\lambda_{0}^{\prime}(x), \ldots, \lambda_{f-1}^{\prime}(x)\right) \in(\mathbb{Z} \pm x)^{f}, \text { let } \\
\lambda \circ \lambda^{\prime}:=\left(\lambda_{0}\left(\lambda_{0}^{\prime}(x)\right), \ldots, \lambda_{f-1}\left(\lambda_{f-1}^{\prime}(x)\right)\right) \in(\mathbb{Z} \pm x)^{f} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Define an integer $l$ to be equal to $f$ (respectively, $2 f$ ) if $f$ is odd (respectively, even). Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(0)}:=(x, x, \ldots, x), \\
& \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(k)}:=g^{k-1} \boldsymbol{\mu} \circ g^{k-2} \boldsymbol{\mu} \circ \cdots \circ g \boldsymbol{\mu} \circ \boldsymbol{\mu}, \quad \text { for all } 1 \leq k \leq l .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\boldsymbol{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^{f}$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{0}(\boldsymbol{r}):=0, \\
& e_{k}(\boldsymbol{r}):=\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} e\left(g^{j} \boldsymbol{\mu}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(j)}(\boldsymbol{r})\right) \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \text { for all } 1 \leq k \leq l .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 1.4. (1) We have $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(l)}=\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(0)}=(x, x, \ldots, x)$ in $(\mathbb{Z} \pm x)^{f}$.
(2) The $f$-tuples $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(2)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(l)}$ are all distinct.
(3) The integer $e_{l}(\boldsymbol{r})$ is independent of $\boldsymbol{r}$ and is 0 modulo $p^{f}-1$.

Proof. (1) It follows from the definition of $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(k)}$ that $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(k)}=g^{k-1} \boldsymbol{\mu} \circ \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(k-1)}$ for all $1 \leq k \leq l$. Hence, for $1 \leq k \leq l$,

$$
\mu_{j}^{(k)}(x)= \begin{cases}\mu_{j}^{(k-1)}(x)-1 & \text { if } j \equiv 1-k \bmod f  \tag{1.5}\\ p-2-\mu_{j}^{(k-1)}(x) & \text { if } j \equiv 2-k \bmod f \\ p-1-\mu_{j}^{(k-1)}(x) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

It is now easy to check using (1.5) that for each $j, \mu_{j}^{(l)}(x)=x$.
(2) Let us assign to $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(k)}$ an element $\boldsymbol{m}^{(k)} \in(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{f}$ by the rule that its $j$-th entry $m_{j}^{(k)}$ is 0 if and only if the sign of $x$ in $\mu_{j}^{(k)}(x)$ is +. Here, $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{f}$ is the direct sum of $f$ copies of the group $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ of order 2 and has a natural action of $\langle g\rangle$ by group automorphisms. We show that the elements $\boldsymbol{m}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{m}^{(2)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{m}^{(l)}$ are all distinct in $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{f}$, which then implies part (2). We have $\boldsymbol{m}^{(1)}=(0,1,1, \ldots, 1)$
and $\boldsymbol{m}^{(k)}=g^{k-1} \boldsymbol{m}^{(1)}+\boldsymbol{m}^{(k-1)}$ for $k>1$, because $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(k)}=g^{k-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(1)} \circ \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(k-1)}$. Suppose $\boldsymbol{m}^{\left(k_{1}\right)}=\boldsymbol{m}^{\left(k_{2}\right)}$ for some $1 \leq k_{1}<k_{2} \leq l$. Then

$$
\boldsymbol{m}^{\left(k_{1}\right)}=\boldsymbol{m}^{\left(k_{2}\right)}=g^{k_{2}-1} \boldsymbol{m}^{(1)}+g^{k_{2}-2} \boldsymbol{m}^{(1)}+\cdots+g^{k_{1}} \boldsymbol{m}^{(1)}+\boldsymbol{m}^{\left(k_{1}\right)}
$$

This gives that

$$
g^{k_{1}+\left(k_{2}-k_{1}-1\right)} \boldsymbol{m}^{(1)}+g^{k_{1}+\left(k_{2}-k_{1}-2\right)} \boldsymbol{m}^{(1)}+\cdots+g^{k_{1}} \boldsymbol{m}^{(1)}=(0,0, \ldots, 0)
$$

The action of $g^{-k_{1}}$ on both sides then gives

$$
g^{k_{2}-k_{1}-1} \boldsymbol{m}^{(1)}+g^{k_{2}-k_{1}-2} \boldsymbol{m}^{(1)}+\cdots+\boldsymbol{m}^{(1)}=(0,0, \ldots, 0),
$$

i.e., $\boldsymbol{m}^{\left(k_{2}-k_{1}\right)}=(0,0, \ldots, 0)$. This is a contradiction because $k_{2}-k_{1}<l$ and for any $l^{\prime}<l, \boldsymbol{m}^{\left(l^{\prime}\right)} \neq(0,0, \ldots, 0)$. The latter fact can be easily checked by looking at $m_{0}^{\left(l^{\prime}\right)}$ and $m_{1}^{\left(l^{\prime}\right)}$. One has $m_{0}^{\left(l^{\prime}\right)} \neq m_{1}^{\left(l^{\prime}\right)}$ for $l^{\prime}<l$ except when $l=2 f$ and $l^{\prime}=f$, in which case $m_{0}^{\left(l^{\prime}\right)}=m_{1}^{\left(l^{\prime}\right)}=1$.
(3) Let us first consider $f$ to be odd (so $l=f$ ). Expanding the expression for $e_{l}(\boldsymbol{r})$ and rearranging the terms, one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{l}(\boldsymbol{r})=c+\sum_{k=1}^{f-1} \mu_{0}^{(k)}\left(r_{0}\right)+p \sum_{k=0}^{f-2} \mu_{1}^{(k)}\left(r_{1}\right)+p^{2} \sum_{k=-1}^{f-3} \mu_{2}^{(k)}\left(r_{2}\right) \\
&+\cdots+p^{f-1} \sum_{k=-(f-2)}^{0} \mu_{f-1}^{(k)}\left(r_{f-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c$ is the constant term of the polynomial

$$
e\left(g^{f-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}\right)+e\left(g^{f-2} \boldsymbol{\mu}\right)+\cdots+e(g \boldsymbol{\mu})+e(\boldsymbol{\mu})
$$

and $k=-n$ for positive $n$ means $k=f-n$ in the summation $\sum_{k}$. Using (1.5), one checks that each summand $\sum_{k} \mu_{j}^{(k)}\left(r_{j}\right)$ above (with appropriate lower and upper limit) is independent of $r_{j}$ and equals $\frac{1}{2}(f-1)(p-1)-1$. We leave it to the reader to check that $c \equiv\left(p^{f}-1\right) /(p-1) \bmod p^{f}-1$. Therefore,

$$
e_{l}(\boldsymbol{r})=\frac{p^{f}-1}{p-1}\left(\frac{f-1}{2}(p-1)\right) \equiv 0 \bmod p^{f}-1
$$

The proof for even $f$ is similar. In this case, $c \equiv 2\left(\left(p^{f}-1\right) /(p-1)\right) \bmod p^{f}-1$, and $\sum_{k} \mu_{j}^{(k)}\left(r_{j}\right)=2\left(\frac{1}{2}(f-1)(p-1)-1\right)$ for all $j$. Therefore, $e_{l}(\boldsymbol{r})$ is again 0 modulo $p^{f}-1$.
Theorem 1.6. The group $\Gamma$ admits a multiplicity-free cyclic module $D_{0}$.
Proof. The case $f=1$ is treated in Remark 1.2. Let $f>1$. The proof is constructive. Start with a weight $\sigma_{0}:=\boldsymbol{r} \otimes \eta$ of $\Gamma$ for some $1 \leq r_{0}, \ldots, r_{f-1} \leq p-3$ and for some determinant-power character $\eta$. Observe that $\sigma_{0}:=\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{r}) \otimes \operatorname{det}^{e_{0}(\boldsymbol{r})} \eta$. Let

$$
\sigma_{k}:=\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{r}) \otimes \operatorname{det}^{e_{k}(\boldsymbol{r})} \eta
$$

for all $1 \leq k \leq l$. We claim that the set $\left\{\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{l}\right\}$ is the required set to construct a cyclic module. Using (1.5), one checks that

$$
\mu_{j}^{(k)}(x) \in\{x, x-1, x+1, p-2-x, p-3-x, p-1-x\}
$$

for all $1 \leq k \leq l$ and $0 \leq j \leq f-1$. Since $p>3$, this means that the weights $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{l}$ are well defined. Further, by Lemma 1.4 and its proof, one sees that the weights $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{l}$ are all distinct generic weights and $\sigma_{l}=\sigma_{0}$. Now let $1 \leq k \leq l$. We know that the weights appearing in $\operatorname{gr}_{\mathrm{cosoc}}^{1}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{B}^{\Gamma} \chi\left(\sigma_{k-1}\right)^{s}\right)$ are

$$
\left\{\left(g^{i} \boldsymbol{\mu}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(k-1)}(\boldsymbol{r})\right) \otimes \operatorname{det}^{e\left(g^{i} \boldsymbol{\mu}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(k-1)}(\boldsymbol{r})\right)} \operatorname{det}^{e_{k-1}(\boldsymbol{r})} \eta: 0 \leq i \leq f-1\right\} .
$$

In particular, $\operatorname{gr}_{\text {cosoc }}^{1}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{B}^{\Gamma} \chi\left(\sigma_{k-1}\right)^{s}\right)$ contains the weight

$$
\left(g^{k-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(k-1)}(\boldsymbol{r})\right) \otimes \operatorname{det}^{e\left(g^{k-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(k-1)}(\boldsymbol{r})\right)} \operatorname{det}^{e_{k-1}(\boldsymbol{r})} \eta=\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{r}) \otimes \operatorname{det}^{e_{k}(\boldsymbol{r})} \eta=\sigma_{k}
$$

Since $\operatorname{gr}_{\text {cosoc }}^{0}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{B}^{\Gamma} \chi\left(\sigma_{k-1}\right)^{s}\right)=\operatorname{cosoc}_{\Gamma} \operatorname{Ind}_{B}^{\Gamma} \chi\left(\sigma_{k-1}\right)^{s}=\sigma_{k-1}^{[s]}$ is simple, $E\left(\sigma_{k}, \sigma_{k-1}^{[s]}\right)$ exists and is equal to the unique quotient of $\operatorname{Ind}_{B}^{\Gamma} \chi\left(\sigma_{k-1}\right)^{s}$ with socle $\sigma_{k}$. Since $\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{B}^{\Gamma} \chi\left(\sigma_{k-1}\right)^{s}\right)^{U}=\chi\left(\sigma_{k-1}\right) \oplus \chi\left(\sigma_{k-1}\right)^{s}$, we have $E\left(\sigma_{k}, \sigma_{k-1}^{[s]}\right)^{U}=\chi\left(\sigma_{k}\right) \oplus \chi\left(\sigma_{k-1}\right)^{s}$. Therefore, it follows that $D_{0}:=\bigoplus_{k=1}^{l} E\left(\sigma_{k}, \sigma_{k-1}^{[s]}\right)$ is a cyclic module of $\Gamma$ and has socle of length $l$.

It remains to show that $D_{0}$ is multiplicity-free. By definition, $\operatorname{soc}_{\Gamma} D_{0}$ is multiplicity-free. Thus also $\sigma_{k}^{[s]} \neq \sigma_{k_{2}}^{[s]}$ for any $k_{1} \neq k_{2}, 1 \leq k_{1}, k_{2} \leq l$, because $\left(\sigma^{[s]}\right)^{[s]}=\sigma$. Now, if $\sigma_{k_{1}}=\sigma_{k_{2}-1}^{[s]}$ for some $1 \leq k_{1}, k_{2} \leq l$, then there is a nonsplit $\Gamma$-extension between $\sigma_{k_{1}}$ and $\sigma_{k_{2}}$. Consider the elements $\boldsymbol{m}^{\left(k_{1}\right)}$ and $\boldsymbol{m}^{\left(k_{2}\right)}$ of $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{f}$ assigned to $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\left(k_{1}\right)}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\left(k_{2}\right)}$, respectively, in the proof of Lemma 1.4. By [Breuil and Paškūnas 2012, Lemma 5.6 (i)], the number of 1 s in $\boldsymbol{m}^{\left(k_{1}\right)}$ and $\boldsymbol{m}^{\left(k_{2}\right)}$ have different parity. However, if $f$ is odd, then one checks that the number of 1 s in $\boldsymbol{m}^{(k)}$ is always even for all $1 \leq k \leq l$ implying that $\sigma_{k_{1}} \neq \sigma_{k_{2}-1}^{[s]}$ for any $1 \leq k_{1}, k_{2} \leq l$. If $f$ is even, then it is not true that the number of 1 s in $\boldsymbol{m}^{(k)}$ is always either even or odd, and it is a priori possible that $\sigma_{k}=\sigma_{k+f}^{[s]}$, because $\boldsymbol{m}^{(k)}+\boldsymbol{m}^{(k+f)}=(1,1, \ldots, 1)$. However, using (1.5), one explicitly checks that $\sigma_{k} \neq \sigma_{k+f}^{[s]}$ for any $1 \leq k \leq l$.
Remark 1.7. When $f$ is odd, the argument given in the proof of Theorem 1.6 shows that any cyclic module of $\Gamma$ is multiplicity-free. This is not true when $f$ is even (see the next remark). We further point out that the definition of the $f$-tuple $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is not canonical. Any other cyclic permutation of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ also gives rise to a cyclic module of $\Gamma$ by the same construction as above. We expect that all multiplicity-free cyclic modules of $\Gamma$ are obtained in this way, and thus any multiplicity-free cyclic module of $\Gamma$ has socle of length $l$.

Example 1.8. The construction in the proof of Theorem 1.6 produces following multiplicity-free cyclic modules for $f=2,3$. The weights are written without their
twists by determinant-power characters.

$$
\begin{aligned}
f=2: \quad & D_{0}= \\
& \left(r_{0}-1, p-2-r_{1}\right)-\left(p-1-r_{0}, p-1-r_{1}\right) \\
& \oplus\left(p-1-r_{0}, p-3-r_{1}\right)-\left(p-r_{0}, r_{1}+1\right) \\
& \oplus\left(p-2-r_{0}, r_{1}+1\right)-\left(r_{0}, r_{1}+2\right) \\
& \oplus\left(r_{0}, r_{1}\right)-\left(r_{0}+1, p-2-r_{1}\right) . \\
f=3: \quad D_{0}= & \left(r_{0}-1, p-2-r_{1}, p-1-r_{2}\right)-\left(p-1-r_{0}, p-1-r_{1}, p-1-r_{2}\right) \\
& \oplus\left(p-1-r_{0}, r_{1}+1, p-2-r_{2}\right)-\left(p-r_{0}, r_{1}+1, r_{2}\right) \\
& \oplus\left(r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}\right)-\left(r_{0}, p-2-r_{1}, r_{2}+1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 1.9. Let $\mathbb{Q}_{p^{f}}$ denote the degree $f$ unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. The multiplicity-free cyclic module of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}\right)$ (respectively, $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{3}}\right)$ ) in Example 1.8 occurs as a submodule of $D_{0}(\rho)$ of a Diamond diagram associated to an irreducible (respectively, reducible split) generic Galois representation $\rho$ of $\mathbb{Q}_{p^{2}}$ (respectively, $\mathbb{Q}_{p^{3}}$ ) (see [Breuil and Paškūnas 2012, §14]).

Schein [2022] constructed irreducible supersingular representations of $G=$ $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(F)$ with $K$-socles compatible with Serre's weight conjecture for a ramified $p$-adic field $F$ of residue degree 2 . His construction is based on constructing cyclic modules of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}\right)$ with prescribed socles. The involved cyclic modules of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}\right)$ have socles of lengths $>l$ and are not multiplicity-free (see [Schein 2022, Example 3.9]).

## 2. Cyclic diagrams

We recall the definition of a diagram from [Breuil and Paškūnas 2012, §9]. A diagram (of $G$ ) is a data ( $D_{0}, D_{1}, r$ ) consisting of a smooth $K Z$-representation $D_{0}$, a smooth $N$-representation $D_{1}$ and an $I Z$-equivariant map $r: D_{1} \rightarrow D_{0}$. A diagram ( $D_{0}, D_{1}, r$ ) is called a basic 0 -diagram if $\omega$ acts trivially on $D_{0}$ and $D_{1}$, and the map $r$ induces an isomorphism $D_{1} \cong D_{0}^{I(1)}$ of IZ-representations. Now, let $D_{0}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} E\left(\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{i-1}^{[s]}\right)$ be a multiplicity-free cyclic module of $\Gamma$. Viewing $D_{0}$ as a smooth $K Z$-representation via $K Z \rightarrow \Gamma$ with trivial $\varpi$-action, $D_{1}:=D_{0}^{I(1)}=D_{0}^{U}$ can be equipped with a smooth $N$-action by defining the action of $\Pi: \chi\left(\sigma_{i}\right) \rightarrow \chi\left(\sigma_{i}\right)^{s}$ to be multiplication by a scalar $t_{i} \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}^{\times}$for all $i$ after choosing bases. This defines a unique $N$-action on $D_{1}$ such that $\varpi$-acts trivially and gives a basic 0-diagram ( $D_{0}, D_{1}$, can) where can : $D_{1} \hookrightarrow D_{0}$ is the canonical inclusion.

Definition 2.1. A basic 0-diagram ( $D_{0}, D_{1}$, can) on a multiplicity-free cyclic module $D_{0}$ is called a cyclic diagram.

Note that a cyclic diagram exists for all $G$ by Theorem 1.6.

Lemma 2.2. Let ( $D_{0}, D_{1}$, can) be a cyclic diagram on a cyclic module $D_{0}=$ $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} E\left(\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{i-1}^{[s]}\right)$, and let $\Pi: \chi\left(\sigma_{i}\right) \rightarrow \chi\left(\sigma_{i}\right)^{s}$ be given by multiplication by a scalar $t_{i} \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}^{\times}$for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then
(1) ( $D_{0}, D_{1}$, can) is irreducible, and
(2) the isomorphism class of ( $D_{0}, D_{1}$, can) is determined by the product $t_{1} \cdots t_{n} \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}^{\times}$.

Proof. (1) Let $V \subseteq D_{0}$ be a nonzero $K Z$-subrepresentation such that $V^{I(1)}$ is stable under the action of $N$. Then, for some $1 \leq i \leq n, V$ contains $\sigma_{i}$ and thus also contains $\chi\left(\sigma_{i}\right)$. Since $\Pi\left(\chi\left(\sigma_{i}\right)\right)=\chi\left(\sigma_{i}\right)^{s}, V$ contains $\chi\left(\sigma_{i}\right)^{s}$. By Frobenius reciprocity, there is a nonzero map $\operatorname{Ind}_{B}^{\Gamma} \chi\left(\sigma_{i}\right)^{s} \rightarrow V$ whose image is $E\left(\sigma_{i+1}, \sigma_{i}^{[s]}\right)$. Thus $E\left(\sigma_{i+1}, \sigma_{i}^{[s]}\right) \subseteq V$. Continuing in this way, we get that $D_{0}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} E\left(\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{i-1}^{[s]}\right) \subseteq V$. Hence $V=D_{0}$.
(2) Let $D=\left(D_{0}, D_{1}\right.$, can), and let $D^{\prime}$ be a diagram isomorphic to $D$. Then $D^{\prime}$ is also a cyclic diagram on the cyclic module $D_{0}$. Let $\Pi: \chi\left(\sigma_{i}\right) \rightarrow \chi\left(\sigma_{i}\right)^{s}$ in $D^{\prime}$ be given by multiplication by a scalar $t_{i}^{\prime} \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}^{\times}$for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. As the diagrams $D$ and $D^{\prime}$ are isomorphic, there is an isomorphism $\varphi: D_{0} \rightarrow D_{0}$ of $K Z$-representations such that $\varphi(\Pi v)=\Pi \varphi(v)$ for all $v \in D_{1}$. Since $D_{0}$ is multiplicity-free, an easy application of Schur's lemma gives

$$
\operatorname{End}_{K Z}\left(D_{0}\right)=\operatorname{End}_{\Gamma}\left(D_{0}\right) \cong \operatorname{End}_{\Gamma}\left(E\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{n}^{[s]}\right)\right) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{End}_{\Gamma}\left(E\left(\sigma_{n}, \sigma_{n-1}^{[s]}\right)\right) \cong \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}^{n}
$$

So, if the isomorphism $\varphi$ corresponds to $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}^{\times}\right)^{n}$, then $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ satisfies $a_{i}=a_{i-1} t_{i-1}^{\prime}\left(t_{i-1}\right)^{-1}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. This implies that $t_{1} t_{2} \cdots t_{n}=$ $t_{1}^{\prime} t_{2}^{\prime} \cdots t_{n}^{\prime}$. On the other hand, if $t_{1} t_{2} \cdots t_{n}=t_{1}^{\prime} t_{2}^{\prime} \cdots t_{n}^{\prime}$, then the scalar multiplications by $a_{i}=\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} t_{j}^{\prime} t_{j}^{-1}$ on $E\left(\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{i-1}^{[s]}\right)$ with $a_{1}=1$ give an isomorphism of cyclic diagrams on $D_{0}$. See also [Dotto and Le 2021, Proposition 4.4].

For a cyclic diagram $D=\left(D_{0}, D_{1}\right.$, can $)$, we introduce the notation $t(D)=$ $t_{1} t_{2} \cdots t_{n}$ for later use. With this notation, Lemma 2.2 (2) says that the map $D \mapsto t(D)$ gives a bijection between the set of isomorphism classes of cyclic diagrams on $D_{0}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}^{\times}$.

## 3. Supersingular representations

We now use cyclic diagrams to show that $G=\mathrm{GL}_{2}(F)$ admits infinitely many smooth admissible irreducible supersingular representations when $F$ has residue degree $f>1$. It uses the following key theorem of Breuil and Paškūnas:
Theorem 3.1. Let $\left(D_{0}, D_{1}, r\right)$ be a basic 0-diagram such that $D_{0}^{K(1)}$ is finitedimensional. Then there exists a smooth admissible representation $\pi$ of $G$ on which $\varpi$ acts trivially, and such that:
(1) one has the inclusion $\left(D_{0}, D_{1}, r\right) \subseteq\left(\left.\pi\right|_{K Z},\left.\pi\right|_{N}\right.$, id) of diagrams,
(2) $\pi$ is generated as a $G$-representation by $D_{0}$ and
(3) $\operatorname{soc}_{\Gamma} D_{0}=\operatorname{soc}_{K} D_{0}=\operatorname{soc}_{K} \pi$.

Moreover, if $\left(D_{0}, D_{1}, r\right)$ is irreducible, then any such $G$-representation $\pi$ is irreducible.

Proof. The first part is essentially proved in [Breuil and Paškūnas 2012, Theorem 9.8]. See also the proof of [Breuil and Paškūnas 2012, Theorem 19.8 (i)]. The proof of the irreducibility of $\pi$ is given in unpublished lecture notes of Breuil [Breuil 2007, Proposition 5.11]. We reproduce it here: let $\pi^{\prime} \subseteq \pi$ be a nonzero $G$-subrepresentation. Then $\pi^{\prime} \cap D_{0}$ is a nonzero $K Z$-subrepresentation of $D_{0}$ by (3), and $\left(\pi^{\prime} \cap D_{0}\right)^{I(1)}=\pi^{\prime} \cap D_{1}$ is stable under the action of $\Pi$. Hence, ( $\pi^{\prime} \cap D_{0},\left(\pi^{\prime} \cap D_{0}\right)^{I(1)}$, can) is a nonzero subdiagram of $\left(D_{0}, D_{1}, r\right)$. By the irreducibility of ( $\left.D_{0}, D_{1}, r\right)$, we get that $\pi^{\prime} \cap D_{0}=D_{0}$. Hence, $\pi^{\prime}=\pi$ using (2).

When $F$ has residue degree 1 , the cyclic diagrams are the basic 0 -diagrams on principal series representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ (Theorem 1.6), and thus Theorem 3.1 applied to cyclic diagrams gives rise to irreducible (ramified) principal series representations of $G$ (see [Breuil and Paškūnas 2012, §10]). In contrast, when $F$ has residue degree $f>1$, Theorem 3.1 applied to cyclic diagrams gives rise to irreducible supersingular representations of $G$ as we shall see now. Recall from [Barthel and Livné 1994] that a smooth irreducible representation $\pi$ of $G$ with central character is a quotient of $\pi(\sigma, \lambda, \chi):=\left(\operatorname{ind}_{K Z}^{G} \sigma /(T-\lambda)\right) \otimes(\chi \circ \operatorname{det})$ for some weight $\sigma$, some $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}^{\times}$and some smooth character $\chi: F^{\times} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}^{\times}$. Here, $\operatorname{ind}_{K Z}^{G} \sigma$ is the compactly induced representation with $\varpi$ acting trivially on $\sigma$ and $T \in \operatorname{End}_{G}\left(\operatorname{ind}_{K Z}^{G} \sigma\right)$ is the distinguished Hecke operator. By definition, $\pi$ is supersingular if it is a quotient of some $\pi(\sigma, 0, \chi)$. The representations $\pi(\sigma, 0, \chi)$ are called universal supersingular modules.

Theorem 3.2. Let $F$ be a nonarchimedean local field of residue degree $f>1$. Then the group $G$ admits infinitely many nonisomorphic smooth admissible irreducible supersingular representations on which $\varpi$ acts trivially. Further, all these representations have the same $K$-socle.

Proof. We use the existence of multiplicity-free cyclic modules from Theorem 1.6 to construct a family of cyclic diagrams of $G$. Let $D_{0}$ be a multiplicity-free cyclic module constructed in Theorem 1.6 and for each $t \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}^{\times}$, let $D(t)=\left(D_{0}, D_{1}\right.$, can $)$ be a cyclic diagram on $D_{0}$ such that $t(D(t))=t$. By Theorem 3.1, there is a smooth admissible representation $\pi(t)$ (fix one for each $D(t))$ of $G$ with trivial action of $\varpi$ such that $D(t) \subseteq\left(\left.\pi(t)\right|_{K Z},\left.\pi(t)\right|_{N}\right.$, can $), D_{0}$ generates $\pi(t)$ as a $G$-representation and $\operatorname{soc}_{K} D_{0}=\operatorname{soc}_{K} \pi(t)$. We claim that $\{\pi(t)\}_{t \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}^{\times}}$is the desired family of representations of $G$. Indeed, by Lemma $2.2(1)$ and Theorem 3.1, each $\pi(t)$ is an irreducible $G$-representation.

Suppose there is an isomorphism $\varphi: \pi(t) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi\left(t^{\prime}\right)$ of $G$-representations for $t \neq t^{\prime}$. It restricts to an isomorphism $\varphi: D_{0} \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{0}$ of $K Z$-representations, because $\operatorname{soc}_{K} D_{0}=\operatorname{soc}_{K} \pi(t)=\operatorname{soc}_{K} \pi\left(t^{\prime}\right)$ and because $D_{0}$ is multiplicity-free. This gives rise to an isomorphism $D(t) \cong D\left(t^{\prime}\right)$ of cyclic diagrams which contradicts Lemma 2.2 (2). Thus $\pi(t)$ and $\pi\left(t^{\prime}\right)$ are not isomorphic for $t \neq t^{\prime}$.

It remains to show that each $\pi(t)$ is supersingular. Let $\sigma \in \operatorname{soc}_{K} \pi(t)$. Then $\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\operatorname{ind}_{K Z}^{G} \sigma, \pi(t)\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{K}\left(\sigma, \pi(t)^{K(1)}\right)$ is a nonzero finite-dimensional $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p^{-}}$ vector space, because $\pi(t)$ is admissible. Hence, $\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\operatorname{ind}_{K Z}^{G} \sigma, \pi(t)\right)$ contains a nonzero eigenvector for the action of Hecke operator $T$ with eigenvalue, let's say, $\lambda$. As $\pi(t)$ is irreducible, it follows that $\pi(t)$ is a quotient of $\pi(\sigma, \lambda, 1)$. If $\lambda \neq 0$, then by [Barthel and Livné 1994, Lemma 28 and Theorem 33], we have $\operatorname{dim}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}} \pi(t)^{I(1)} \leq 2$. However, as $f>1$, $\operatorname{soc}_{K} D_{0}$ is not irreducible, and thus $\operatorname{dim}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}} D_{0}^{I(1)}=\operatorname{dim}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}} D_{1} \geq 4$ (in fact, $\operatorname{dim}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}} D_{1}=2 l$ ). But this implies that $\operatorname{dim}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}} \pi(t)^{I(1)}>2$, because $\pi(t)$ contains $D_{0}$. So we get a contradiction. Thus, $\lambda=0$ and $\pi(t)$ is supersingular.

Recall from [Barthel and Livné 1994, Corollary 31] that $\pi(\sigma, \lambda, \chi)$ has a unique (admissible) irreducible quotient for $\lambda \neq 0$. However, for $\lambda=0$, we have the following result as an immediate corollary of Theorem 3.2:

Corollary 3.3. Let $F$ be a nonarchimedean local field of residue degree $f>1$. Then the universal supersingular module $\pi(\sigma, 0, \chi)$ of $G$ has infinitely many nonisomorphic admissible irreducible quotients for any given weight $\sigma=\boldsymbol{r} \otimes \eta$, with $1 \leq r_{0}, \ldots, r_{f-1} \leq p-3$ and any smooth character $\chi$.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 3.2, consider a family $\{D(t)\}_{t \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}^{\times}}$of cyclic diagrams on a cyclic module $D_{0}$ from Theorem 1.6 whose socle contains the given weight $\sigma$, and let $\{\pi(t)\}_{t \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}^{\times}}$be a corresponding family of smooth admissible irreducible supersingular $G$-representations. By the proof of Theorem 3.2, each $\pi(t)$ occurs as a quotient of $\pi(\sigma, 0,1)$. So the corollary holds for $\pi(\sigma, 0,1)$, and hence also for its smooth twist $\pi(\sigma, 0, \chi)$.

Remark 3.4. If $F=\mathbb{Q}_{p^{f}}$ with $f>1$, then the recent works of Le [2019] and Ghate and Sheth [2020] show that the universal supersingular modules of $G$ also admit infinitely many nonisomorphic nonadmissible irreducible quotients.
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