Signatures of Topological Superconductivity in Bulk-Insulating Topological Insulator BiSbTe$_{1.25}$Se$_{1.75}$ in Proximity with Superconducting NbSe$_2$
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ABSTRACT: The combination of superconductivity and spin-momentum locking at the interface between an s-wave superconductor and a three-dimensional topological insulator (3D-TI) is predicted to generate exotic p-wave topological superconducting phases that can host Majorana Fermions. However, large bulk conductivities of previously investigated 3D-TI samples and Fermi level mismatches between 3D bulk superconductors and 2D topological surface states have thwarted significant progress. Here, we employ bulk-insulating topological insulators in proximity with two-dimensional superconductor NbSe$_2$ assembled via van der Waals epitaxy. Experimentally measured differential conductance yields unusual features including a double-gap spectrum, an intrinsic asymmetry that vanishes with small in-plane magnetic fields, and differential conductance ripples at biases significantly larger than the superconducting gap. We explain our results on the basis of proximity-induced superconductivity of topological surface states, while also considering possibilities of topologically trivial superconductivity arising from Rashba-type surface states. Our work demonstrates the possibility of obtaining p-wave superconductors by proximity effects on bulk-insulating TIs.
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Proximity effects between topological insulators and superconductors have attracted significant attention as potential sources of unconventional superconductivity. Right after the discovery of three-dimensional topological insulators,$^{1-5}$ it became evident that inducing superconductivity into two-dimensional surface states of three-dimensional topological insulators (3D-TIs)$^{6-8}$ could lead to p-wave superconductivity,$^{9-12}$ where defects in the form of edges or vortices host Majorana Fermion states. In a different vein, it was also shown that inducing superconductivity into the "bulk" of topological insulators could lead to 3D topological superconductors whose surfaces could host surface Andreev bound states: essentially two-dimensional analogues of linearly dispersing Majorana Fermions.$^{13-17}$ Both these directions have been pursued vigorously with encouraging results, including purported demonstrations of surface Andreev bound states in Cu$_2$Bi$_2$Se$_3$,$^{13,14}$ and similar materials,$^{18}$ proximity effects on 3D-TI/superconductor interfaces,$^{19-26}$ Majorana zero modes in vortex cores of Bi$_2$Te$_3$/NbSe$_2$,$^{27,28}$ heterostructure, and chiral 1D Majorana modes in Nb/quantum anomalous Hall insulator heterostructures.$^{29}$ Yet, a lot of these results remain ambiguous and can also be interpreted as consequences of more trivial effects. Experimental platforms that can manifest clear indications of topological superconductivity or Majorana Fermions in TIs are therefore highly sought after.

One of the primary sources of such ambiguity is the large bulk conductivity in first generation topological insulators like Bi$_2$Se$_3$. Most experiments on topological proximity effects have been performed on bulk conducting TIs,$^{19-28}$ and it is not clear whether the reported effects have topological origin. To remove these ambiguities it is imperative to induce superconductivity into topological surface states of bulk-insulating TIs, for instance, of the Bi$_x$Sb$_{2-x}$Te$_y$Se$_{3-y}$ class. Such TIs achieve almost fully surface-state-dominated conduction and
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have sparked off a panoply of recent breakthroughs in condensed matter physics.3,5,50–53 However, proximity effect between a superconductor and a bulk-insulating topological insulator remains difficult to achieve. Reduced bulk carrier densities and large Fermi surface mismatches between bulk three-dimensional superconductors like Nb and intrinsically two-dimensional topological surface states lead to weakly induced superconducting correlations. The inherent lack of surface bonding sites because of the layered nature of these materials may prevent strong chemical bonding with the superconductor, further exacerbating the problem. This may explain recent results on Nb/BSTS interfaces where electron–electron correlations rather than superconducting correlations appeared to dominate electrical transport.33,34

Several things must therefore conspire for topological superconductivity to occur in bulk-insulating TIs: (i) The host TI should be able to support large surface carrier density while maintaining negligible bulk carrier density. (ii) The chemical identities of the TI and SC must be similar to create a homogeneous interface that allows strong wave function overlap on either side. (iii) The Fermi surfaces on the SC and TI side should be well-matched to allow momentum conserved tunneling of quasiparticles. To this end, we fabricate van der Waals junctions between bulk-insulating topological insulator BiSbTe1.25Se1.75 (BSTS) and two-dimensional s-wave superconductor NbSe2 and study their electrical transport properties. The chemical similarity between NbSe2 and BiSbTe1.25Se1.75, the intrinsic two-dimensional Fermi surfaces of both materials and highly bulk-insulating nature of BiSbTe1.25Se1.75 all work in our favor. We unveil several signatures that are consistent with proximity induced superconductivity of the topological surface states including a differential conductance spectrum featuring two superconducting gaps, an intrinsic conductance asymmetry that vanishes when the induced superconductivity is quenched by an in-plane magnetic field and perhaps most strikingly, ripple-like features in the differential conductance spectra at biases considerably larger than the superconducting gap that vanish when bulk superconductivity is killed.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Device Fabrication and Zero Bias Electrical Transport. BiSbTe1.25Se1.75 samples are obtained from the same crystal that has been used in our previous works to demonstrate large surface state transport, vanishing bulk conductivity, and quantum Hall effects.35,36 NbSe2 flakes are obtained from NbSe2 single crystals with bulk \( T_c = 7.1 \) K. Heterostructures of NbSe2/BSTS are prepared using an all-dry van der Waals transfer method. Subsequently, e-beam lithography followed by e-beam evaporation of Cr/Au 10 nm/100 nm is used to define electrical contacts to the sample. Details of device fabrication and single-crystal characterization can be found in Supporting Information sections A and B. Electrical transport experiments are performed using a low-frequency AC lock in method. The 10–20 nA AC bias currents are used throughout the experiments. For differential conductance measurements, the DC bias is generated using a 16-bit digital-to-analog converter and is added to the AC bias within a home-built setup. All measurements reported here use a current biased scheme.

Figure 1a depicts the optical micrograph of a typical device. Of the five total electrical contact lines, two contact the NbSe2 layer and one large contact pad connects with the BiSbTe1.25Se1.75 layer. An extra pair of contact lines connect an adjoining NbSe2 layer that is electrically isolated from the heterojunction device but can be used to calibrate the device temperature by measuring its superconducting transition. A large area of overlap is maintained between the BSTS and NbSe2 layers. Resistance versus temperature (\( R-T \)) measurement (Figure 1b) at zero magnetic field shows characteristics of a typical bulk-insulating topological insulator. For \( T > 80 \) K, \( R-T \) shows an insulating behavior originating from the gapped bulk states. The low-temperature range (5.6 K < \( T < 80 \) K) shows metallic transport arising from the topological surface
states. For $T < 5.6 \text{ K}$, we observe a sharp nonsaturating increase in sample resistance, indicating an onset of superconductivity. The rise in resistance indicates that our samples are tuned into the so-called tunneling regime where Andreev reflection is suppressed due to the high bulk resistivity of our samples. This is essential to our experiments as the tunneling regime allows an accurate measurement of the density of states at the NbSe$_2$/TI interface.

Magnetoresistance measurements, depicted in Figure 1c, show a large zero-field resistance peak at $T = 2 \text{ K}$. With an increase in magnetic field, the resistance peak is suppressed, showing negative magnetoresistance. This suppression continues until $B \sim 3.5 \text{ T}$, after which positive magnetoresistance arising from weak antilocalization (WAL) of topological surface states takes over. With an increase in sample temperature, the negative MR peak gets suppressed, and a positive MR dip due to WAL becomes dominant and persists until very large temperatures ($T \approx 30 \text{ K}$). The suppression of the zero-field peak is clearly visible in the 2D resistance map shown in Figure 1d. Figure 1e shows a sequence of $R$–$T$ measurements at different magnetic fields. The zero-field measurement depicts a strong resistance upturn for $T < 5.6 \text{ K}$. Note that this temperature is lower than the transition temperature of bulk NbSe$_2$ ($T_c = 7.1 \text{ K}$). At larger magnetic fields, the resistance upturn is suppressed, while the kink in $R$–$T$ curves (at $T = 5.6 \text{ K}$ at $B = 0 \text{ T}$) shifts toward lower temperatures and becomes invisible at $B \approx 3 \text{ T}$. The field scale of $B = 3 \text{ T}$ roughly corresponds with the upper critical field $H_{c2} = 3.5 \text{ T}$ of NbSe$_2$.

**Differential Conductance Measurements.** To probe the presence of proximity effects, we perform two-terminal differential conductance measurements employing a two-probe measurement scheme in a current biased configuration as depicted in Figure 1a. The DC current biased differential conductance data are converted to the DC voltage biased differential conductance data, by taking into account the resistance of the unproximitized BSTS layer not by NbSe$_2$, which appears in series with the BSTS/NbSe$_2$ junction resistance. The large resistivity of our bulk-insulating samples ($3–7 \text{ k} \Omega/\text{sq}$) makes this lead resistance an important parameter that must be accurately estimated. Note that using a four-probe geometry cannot alleviate this problem as such a configuration would only remove the Au-BSTS and Au-NbSe$_2$ contact resistances ($\sim 200–500 \Omega$), which are much smaller than the lead resistance provided by the unproximitized BSTS layer. We therefore resort to Blonder–Tinkham–Klapwijk (BTK) theory$^{37}$ using the total lead resistance as a parameter to fit our experimental differential conductance data. We relegate the details of BTK fitting to Supporting Information section C. This produces a lead resistance of $R_l \approx 4 \text{ k} \Omega$ and the superconducting gap $2\Delta_{\Delta A} \approx 2.4 \text{ mV}$, which agrees well with the superconducting gap of bulk NbSe$_2$, $2\Delta_{\Delta} \approx 2.2 \text{ mV}$. The DC voltage biased differential conductance data are obtained from the DC current biased differential conductance data (provided in Supporting Information section I) by subtracting the voltage drop across the contact resistance $R_l$ and also removing the contribution of $R_l$ to the measured differential conductance. We note that an error in estimation of the lead resistance $R_l$ could, in principle, lead to an apparent shift in both the bias voltage and amplitude of various differential conductance features, resulting in possibly erroneous conclusions. However, our BTK fitting procedure determines the amplitude and temperature dependence of the superconducting gap $\Delta_s$ of bulk NbSe$_2$ with a high degree of accuracy (see Supporting Information Figure S3k), indicating reasonable internal self-consistency. Furthermore, the saturation of BiSbTe$_{1.25}$Se$_{1.75}$ resistivity at low temperatures ($T < 20 \text{ K}$) as observed clearly in the nonsuperconducting region of the $R$ versus $T$ data depicted in Figure 1b,e and in our previous works$^{35}$ indicates that the lead resistance does not vary significantly in the temperature range of our interest (2–7 K), thereby minimizing the possibility of error in the estimation of $R_l$. Nonetheless, as the estimation of $R_l$ is a subtle issue, to aid the reader, we have provided the raw differential conductance data as a function of the DC voltage bias, without correcting for $R_l$ in Supporting Information section I.

The differential conductance data provide striking signatures of superconducting proximity effect as depicted in the 2D color plot of Figure 2a, where a strong zero bias conductance dip and series of peak/dip features at finite biases are observed. To rule out nonsuperconducting features, we follow the standard practice of normalizing the differential conductance data with the spectra obtained at $T = 10 \text{ K}$ just above the superconducting transition temperature of NbSe$_2$, as depicted in Figure 2b. We draw attention to several important features in the differential conductance spectra, before discussing each of these aspects in detail.

(i) First, the strong differential conductance dip at zero bias flanked by two sets of peaks (A1, A2 and B1, B2) indicative of two superconducting gaps. Such double-gap features have been observed previously in several proximity effect studies.$^{23,24}$ While the larger gap (B1 and B2) corresponds to electron tunneling into the unproximitized part of the superconductor away from the TI/SC interface, the smaller gap is usually
attributed to the inverse proximity effect, wherein the region of the SC in contact with the TI has reduced superconducting correlations. Equivalently, this gap can also be associated with electron tunneling into the topological surface state, which has now acquired a superconducting gap via the proximity effect. With increasing sample temperature, the peak positions of A1(A2) and B1(B2) show opposite behavior. While the gap at B1(B2) decreases, the gap at A1(A2) expands as plotted in Figure 2d, while the intensities of both peaks reduce. In fact, for $T > 4.8$ K, A1(A2) completely vanishes and appears to merge with B1(B2). This further supports the proximity-induced origin of A1, in that it disappears well before B1 that corresponds to the parent superconductor.

(ii) Second, the d$I$−d$V$ measurements show an intrinsic conductance asymmetry with respect to the sign of the DC bias voltage. The normalized conductance peak at A1 is considerably larger than its positive bias counterpart at A2. However, this asymmetry is less evident for peaks B1 and B2. In fact, the asymmetry disappears with increasing temperature and is roughly coincident with the merger of peaks A1(A2) and B1(B2). For $T > 4.4$ K, the asymmetry is completely absent.

(iii) Third, we observe several differential conductance ripples comprising consecutive dips and peaks at biases larger than the gap voltages. First, to ascertain whether these features have a superconducting origin or not, we analyze the temperature dependencies of the position of a pair of these dips with the strongest signature: $\Delta_1$ and its positive bias counterpart $\Delta_1^*$ appearing at $V_{SD} \approx \pm 4$−$5$ meV. These appear as the striking dip-like features marked as white dashed lines in for Figure 2a and shown as $\Delta_1$ and $\Delta_2$ in Figure 2c. With increasing temperature, the dip feature collapses (Figure 2e) and completely disappears at $T \approx 6$ K, indicating its superconducting origin. Such ripples have been previously reported in superconductors showing multiphonon effects like PbS58 and correlated superconductors with magnonic excitations. However, since the parent superconductor NbSe$_2$ shows no such effects, we can rule out such explanations because superconductivity in our samples is proximity induced. We point out that similar supergap features were recently observed in junctions of NbSe$_2$ and bulk conducting Bi$_2$Se$_3$.55 However, the authors did not focus on this effect and provided no explanations for its origin.

**Differential Conductance under In-Plane Magnetic Fields.** We now explore how these features evolve upon the application of magnetic fields oriented parallel to the plane of the sample. As depicted in Figure 3a, the superconducting gap persists up to 8 T, the largest magnetic field attainable in our system. However, an examination of the normalized differential conductance $G_{2K}/G_{10K}$ reveals that asymmetry between peaks A1 and A2 that is observed at low magnetic fields is completely extinguished for $B_{||} \approx 5$ T. In fact, notice how the entire d$I$−d$V$ spectra that is highly asymmetric at $B_{||} = 0$ T becomes highly symmetric at $B_{||} = 8$ T. Additionally, the supergap ripples, indicated as $\Delta_1$ and $\Delta_2$ in Figure 3b, also vanish with in-plane magnetic field and are completely suppressed at 5 T, as shown in Figure 3d for $\Delta_1$. A critical value of $B_{||} \approx 5$ T also appears in the evolution of the zero bias conductance ($G_{zb}$) plotted in Figure 3c. With increasing magnetic field, $G_{zb}$ rises sharply until around 5 T and then almost saturates.

We interpret this evolution as arising from two different superconducting orders: the low-field differential conductance corresponds to a combination of tunneling into the proximity induced topological surface state and the bulk superconductor NbSe$_2$. In general, increasing in-plane magnetic fields causes depairing of Cooper pairs due to orbital motion, thereby suppressing superconductivity. However, this effect is presumably stronger for the proximitized topological surface states, compared to two-dimensional NbSe$_2$, where it is known that Ising pairing between Cooper pairs causes superconductivity to survive at in-plane fields much larger than that dictated by the Pauli susceptibility limit. Therefore, while proximity-induced
superconductivity and its consequent features including differential conductance asymmetry and supergap ripples are suppressed completely by $B_0 = 5$ T, the superconductivity in the Ising paired NbSe$_2$ survives and produces a symmetric differential conductance spectrum as expected for an s-wave superconductor.

The asymmetry in $\Delta I - \Delta V$ therefore appears to be associated with the proximity-induced superconducting order, rather than superconductivity of bulk NbSe$_2$. The asymmetric spectrum has a natural explanation as a consequence of the linear density of states of the Dirac spectrum of topological surface states $D(E) \propto E$. When a superconducting gap is induced into the two-dimensional TSS, it retains its linear shape as the in-gap spectra must continuously match with the normal state spectra outside the gap. This is in contrast to bulk-derived 2D Rashba-type surface states that have finite mass and feature a constant density of states. The coherence peaks (A1 and A2) arising from the proximitisation of the TSS therefore retain an intrinsic asymmetry that vanishes when the sample temperature or magnetic field becomes large enough to close the TSS gap. Beyond such a temperature or magnetic field, only symmetric features originating from NbSe$_2$ are visible.

**Differential Conductance under Perpendicular Magnetic Fields.** Now we investigate differential conductance measurements in the presence of perpendicular magnetic fields, as shown in Figure 4a. We observe that superconductivity is suppressed at $B \approx 3.5$ T, detected as a change in the variation of the zero bias conductance dip with magnetic field, as seen in Figure 4a (see also Figure 1c). For $0 < B < 3.5$ T, zero bias conductance is enhanced, indicating destruction of superconducting order. Beyond this field, zero bias conductance gets suppressed as the sample enters into a regime where transport is dominated by a competition between a negative correction to conductance arising from electron–electron (e–e) interactions and a positive correction arising from WAL. The WAL effect is verified independently using magnetoresistance measurements presented in Figure 1c,d. In topological insulators, these two corrections almost cancel each other out in zero magnetic field. In a finite magnetic field, the positive correction due to WAL is suppressed due to broken time-reversal symmetry, thereby unmasking the negative conductance correction from e–e interactions. The applied voltage bias acts like an effective temperature that weakens the negative correction from e–e interactions, thereby leading to positive differential conductance as seen in Figure 4a for $B > 3.5$ T. With increasing magnetic field, the zero bias conductance decreases as corrections from e–e interactions become more and more dominant. This distinction between superconductivity induced and e–e interaction induced differential conductance spectra is notable, particularly because previous experiments on superconducting proximity effects on bulk-insulating TIs have been mired by strong differential conductance signals arising from e–e interactions rather than superconducting correlations.

We now discuss the low-field measurements presented in Figure 4b. Specifically, we notice several differential conductance ripples above the superconducting gap that persist and even appear to get enhanced with small magnetic fields $B < 0.2$ T. For larger fields, the ripples become nonuniform and completely disappear before reaching $B \approx 2$ T. As we discussed before, these ripples cannot be explained as a consequence of multiphoton effects or strong correlations, none of which have been observed in extensive studies of NbSe$_2$. Extensive experiments on the BSTS material class have also never revealed any signatures of magnetic ordering or correlations. A more reasonable explanation could be offered by considering the effects of low critical currents, as observed previously in point-contact spectroscopic measurements. It is possible that superconductivity induced into the topological surface states is rather weak, with critical currents as small as $I_c \approx 1–3 \ \mu$A. Such a scenario would lead to a differential conductance dip when the current through the device exceeded the critical current, likely at a bias larger than the superconducting gap. However, this cannot explain the observation of multiple dips in our data. Additionally, such small critical currents are not consistent with disproportionately large magnetic fields ($B \approx 2$ T) until which the ripples survive.

An interesting explanation for this effect is obtained by considering multiband Cooper pairing between different states residing on the TI surface. As shown schematically in Figure 4c, the topological surface states of a TI are usually accompanied by several closely spaced bands. Such bands may arise due to defect induced resonances, bulk 2D sub-bands coexisting with the surface states at the chemical potential or band-bending induced spatial confinement of bulk states. In the presence of induced superconducting order, multiple gaps may open up at finite energies away from the superconducting gap due to electron–hole mixing between the topological surface state and additional surface bands. To illustrate this effect we first evaluate the spectrum of Bogoliubov quasiparticles in a thin TI slab consisting of the topological surface state and a finite number of two-dimensional bulk bands, with the four possible types of

---

**Figure 4.** (a) 2D color plot of differential conductance as a function of out-of-plane magnetic field from 0 to 8 T. (b) Low field ($0–2$ T) evolution of differential conductance spectrum showing several ripples at supergap biases marked by vertical solid lines. (c) (Top) $E$–$k$ band diagram of two surface confined states (SS1 and SS2) closely spaced in energy. (Bottom) Electron–hole excitation spectrum (no superconducting gap) showing band-crossings at the Fermi level and also at higher energies, indicative of interband Cooper pairing. (d) Spectral function evaluated for a multiband topological superconductivity in a thin slab of a TI. (e) Corresponding density of states showing mini-gaps at biases larger than the superconducting gap.
To aid clarity, we first choose theoretically convenient parameters, before describing a realistic simulation of a BSTS/NbSe2 junction. In Figure 4d, we depict the spectral function $A(E,k)$ for an odd-parity $\Delta_1$-type superconducting order, showing the Majorana Fermion butterfly spectrum at zero energy. These in-gap states are the so-called surface Andreev bound states and essentially represent two-dimensional analogues of Majorana Fermions. Accompanying this, we observe several finite-energy gaps corresponding to crossings of the topological surface states with the 2D bulk bands. The corresponding density of states is plotted in Figure 4e and shows several dips (marked by solid vertical lines) beyond the superconducting gap ($\Delta \approx 0.1$ eV). Most spectacularly, it can be shown that the finite bias gaps appear only for those superconducting order symmetries that also lead to a Majorana Fermion mode at zero energy. This can be understood intuitively as a redistribution of spectral weight: level repulsion at higher energies pushes states into the gap, leading to the zero energy Majorana modes. Therefore, Majorana zero modes in such systems are always accompanied by mini-gaps at biases much larger than the primary superconducting gap. Additionally, the superconducting origin of the peak-dip features associated with these finite energy ripples may be gauged by evaluating their dependence on sample temperature. With increasing sample temperature, not only is the depth of the peak-dip structure reduced due to a reduction of the mini-gap amplitude, but also the voltage bias positions associated with these features are drawn closer to zero energy. This happens because the 2D sub-band levels, which were originally pushed away to larger energies by level repulsion due to the primary superconducting gap, are now drawn closer toward zero energy as the primary gap collapses. This is demonstrated by detailed simulations of the peak-dip structure of the ripples with decreasing values of superconducting gap amplitude provided in Supporting Information section F. This effect is exactly what is observed experimentally in Figure 2c,e, where the dip feature $\Delta_1$ moves toward zero energy by $\approx 0.1$ meV, which matches very well with the primary superconducting gap amplitude $\Delta_1 \approx 1.1$ meV due to NbSe2.

Further, as shown in Figure 4e, at zero energy the density of states shows a large superconducting gap, and a small dip exactly at zero bias. This is despite the presence of a 2D Majorana mode and arises from the vanishing density of states of the linear spectrum of Majorana modes at zero energy. This is of course contrary to the general belief that a Majorana mode must always lead to a conductance peak at zero bias. This is true only in one dimension; in higher dimensions, the zero mode generically leads to a conductance dip and is therefore difficult to separate from conductance dips arising from nontopological effects. In such a scenario, the detection of superconducting conductance dips at large biases may offer an alternate test for topological superconductivity.

We now perform a realistic simulation of a heterojunction between NbSe2 and BSTS and evaluate the spectrum of surface superconducting states. The work function of NbSe2 is $\phi_{\text{NiSe}} \approx 5.6$--5.9 eV, whereas that for BSTS is $\phi_{\text{BSTS}} \approx 5.0$--5.1 eV. The large work function mismatch $\phi_{\text{NiSe}}-\phi_{\text{BSTS}} \approx 0.5$--0.9 eV between the two materials leads to p-type doping of BSTS and forces the chemical potential of the BSTS layer to get pinned at the valence band maxima. To perform a realistic band-structure calculation for BSTS, we tune the model parameters to fit the experimentally measured angle resolved photoemission spectrum of BSTS obtained from our previous work (see Supporting Information section H). In Figure 5a, we depict the surface spectral function of a $N_z = 50$ QL thick BSTS slab with an induced superconducting gap $\Delta = 2.0$ meV. At zero energy, the butterfly spectrum arising from 2D surface Majorana Fermions is clearly observed (red box in Figure 5a). Simultaneously, the avoided crossing between the topological surface state band and the bulk-derived 2D sub-bands (marked using white boxes in Figure 5a) give rise to oscillations in the corresponding density of states, as shown in Figure 5b, at biases that are significantly larger than the induced superconducting gap. The ripples observed in our calculations are separated by $\approx 2$--$3$ meV in energy, exactly as observed in our experimentally measured differential conductance spectrum. Similar calculations are performed for BSTS slabs with thicknesses of $N_z = 20$ QL and $N_z = 35$ QL to obtain qualitatively similar results, but with larger energy scales separating the supergap dI--dV ripples (see Supporting Information section H). Qualitatively, the energy separation of the ripples can be estimated from the energy spacing between bulk-derived 2D sub-bands. In our experiments, the bulk bands originate from $J = 1/2$ Se(Te) p-type atomic orbitals, which form the valence band of our system with an experimentally measured bandwidth of $\Delta_{\text{VB}} \approx 200$ meV (see Supporting Information section G). This results in a sub-band spacing $\Delta_{\text{SB}} = \Delta_{\text{VB}}/N_z \approx 4$ meV for a 50 QL layer slab. This simple estimation is in close agreement with the energy scale of 2--3 meV derived from the Green’s function based density of states calculations. The appearance of differential conductance ripples at large biases in our experiments is therefore consistent with topological superconductivity in our samples. Similar ripples observed in a recent experiment on Bi2Se3/NbSe2 junctions may also share a similar origin.

While the appearance of supergap ripples in the dI--dV spectrum strongly indicates the onset of topological superconductivity, the lack of a simple analytical expression to derive the positions of the ripples makes a direct comparison with experiments difficult. To enable such a comparison, band-structure calculations to identify the locations of the topological bands with respect to the trivial sub-bands will be required. Nonetheless, the mixing of the topological surface states with bulk-derived bands is always accompanied by the appearance of a 2D Majorana mode at zero energy making this
a rather generic feature of topological superconductivity. Combined with its rather striking experimental signature, namely, the appearance of superconducting ripples at biases much larger than the superconducting gap voltage, this method will serve as a litmus test for topological superconductivity for future researchers.

CONCLUSIONS

In all, we have provided three distinct arguments in favor of an observation of topological superconductivity in our samples: (i) the appearance of a two-gap spectrum, the larger gap associated with NbSe₂ and the smaller arising from a proximity induced gap of the topological surface states; (ii) an intrinsic asymmetry in the conductance spectrum that arises due to the linear density of states of the topological surface state dispersion and vanishes at temperatures and magnetic fields that destroy the proximity effect; (iii) differential conductance ripples at biases larger than the superconducting gap voltage that are explained as a consequence of multiband electron–hole mixing when topologically nontrivial superconducting order is induced. We propose that such features in differential conductance spectra can provide unambiguous tests for topological superconductivity. Our work therefore establishes the possibility of inducing superconductivity into the topological surface states of a bulk-insulating topological insulator. This work demonstrates the possibility of realizing p-wave superconductivity at topological insulator/superconductor interfaces as originally envisaged by Fu and Kane.⁶

METHODS

Bi₂SbTe₁.₂₅Se₁.₇₅ single crystals are prepared using the modified Bridgman method. NbSe₂ single crystals are prepared using the chemical vapor transport method. Thin flakes of Bi₂SbTe₁.₂₅Se₁.₇₅ and NbSe₂ are exfoliated using the Scotch tape method. Heterostructures of NbSe₂/BSTS are prepared using the all-dry van der Waals transfer technique. E-beam lithography followed by e-beam evaporation of Cr/Au 10 nm/100 nm is used to define electrical contacts to the sample. Further details of device fabrication and single-crystal characterization can be found in Supporting Information sections A and B. Electrical transport experiments are performed using a low-frequency AC lock in method. The 10–20 nA of AC bias currents are used throughout the experiments. For differential conductance measurements, the DC bias is generated using a 16-bit digital-to-analog converter and is added to the AC bias within a home-built adder setup. All measurements reported here use a current biased analog converter and is added to the AC bias within a home-built adder setup. All measurements reported here use a current biased analog converter and is added to the AC bias within a home-built adder setup. All measurements reported here use a current biased analog converter and is added to the AC bias within a home-built adder setup.
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