IGF-dependent dynamic modulation of a protease cleavage site in the intrinsically disordered linker domain of human IGFBP2
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Abstract
Functional regulation via conformational dynamics is well known in structured proteins but less well characterized in intrinsically disordered proteins and their complexes. Using NMR spectroscopy, we have identified a dynamic regulatory mechanism in the human insulin-like growth factor (IGF) system involving the central, intrinsically disordered linker domain of human IGF-binding protein-2 (hIGFBP2). The bioavailability of IGFs is regulated by the proteolysis of IGF-binding proteins. In the case of hIGFBP2, the linker domain (L-hIGFBP2) retains its intrinsic disorder upon binding IGF-1, but its dynamics are significantly altered, both in the IGF binding region and distantly located protease cleavage sites. The increase in flexibility of the linker domain upon IGF-1 binding may explain the IGF-dependent modulation of proteolysis in IGFBP2 in this domain. As IGF homeostasis is important for cell growth and function, and its dysregulation is a key contributor to several cancers, our findings open up new avenues for the design of IGFBP analogs inhibiting IGF-dependent tumors.


1 | INTRODUCTION

The insulin-like growth factor (IGF) system plays an essential role in cell growth, differentiation, and function, and, in recent years, has
become an important target for cancer therapeutics, with more than 30 anti-cancer drugs focusing on this system. This system consists of two peptide hormones: IGF-1 and -2; the receptors: IGF-1R and IGF-2R; six soluble, high-affinity IGF-binding proteins (IGFBPs; numbered 1–6) and IGFBP proteases (Figure 1). IGF-1 and -2 are small proteins (~7.5 kDa) that circulate in the bloodstream and function in signaling by binding to IGF receptors. The biological activities of the IGFs are modulated by IGFBPs, which bind IGFs with higher affinities than the IGF-1R, thereby restricting their delivery to the IGF-1R. Proteolysis of the IGFBPs dissociates IGFs from the complex, enabling them to bind to and activate their receptors (Figure 1).

The biological actions of the IGF: IGFBP: IGF-R axis have been studied extensively. However, a comprehensive understanding of the structural basis for IGF–IGFBP interactions is still lacking. The IGFBPs consist of structured globular N- and C-domains joined by a central (linker) domain. The three-dimensional structures of full-length IGFBPs have not yet been determined, although structures are available for the N- and C-terminal domains of the different IGFBPs either free in solution or bound to IGFs. These studies have shown that all IGFBPs interact similarly with IGFs and that binding sites for the IGFs are located primarily in the N- and C-terminal domains of IGFBPs. The intrinsically disordered linker domain, which contains several posttranslational modification motifs and the IGFBP-protease cleavage sites, has been proposed to merely tether the N- and C-terminal domains of IGFBPs and that binding sites for the IGFs are located primarily in the N- and C-terminal domains of IGFBPs. The intrinsically disordered linker domain, which contains several posttranslational modification motifs and the IGFBP-protease cleavage sites, has been proposed to merely tether the N- and C-terminal domains of IGFBPs and that binding sites for the IGFs are located primarily in the N- and C-terminal domains of IGFBPs. Despite its apparent importance in IGFBP function, the structure of the linker domain and its interaction with IGFs have not been characterized. Here, we have investigated the interaction of the linker domain of human IGFBP2 (L-hIGFBP2; residues A97-C191) with IGFs using NMR spectroscopy and surface plasmon resonance (SPR). Our study confirms that L-hIGFBP2 is intrinsically disordered and shows that it retains moderate binding affinity to IGFs (~4 μM). IGF binding has a specific effect on the dynamics of L-hIGFBP2 residues that interact with IGF-1, but also on the protease cleavage sites. This altered dynamics may explain the IGF-dependent proteolysis of IGFBP2 in this domain. Our understanding of the role of dynamics in the functional regulation of the IGF-system offers new insights that will help guide the design and development of IGFBP-based analogs for inhibiting IGF-IGF-1R signaling and growth of IGF-dependent tumors.

RESULTS

2.1 NMR studies of L-hIGFBP2

L-hIGFBP2 was cloned, overexpressed, and purified as described in Section 4. The purified protein had a molecular mass of 12.2 kDa as verified by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry (expected: 12.211 kDa) and migrated at ~20 kDa on SDS-PAGE (Figure S1). Such aberrant mobility on SDS-PAGE is typical of intrinsically disordered proteins (IDPs) and has been described for the linker domain of IGFBP5. The 2D [15N-1H] heteronuclear single quantum coherence (HSQC) spectrum of recombinant L-hIGFBP2 (Figure 2A) shows a unique
disorder in L-hIGFBP2 (Figure 2B), in agreement with the general consensus that the linker domains of all IGFBPs are disordered (Figure S4). The backbone $^1$H amide exchange rates could not be obtained from 2D $^{15}$N-$^1$H HSQC spectra, as the decrease in intensity of cross-peaks in the 2D spectrum upon dissolving the protein in 100% $^2$H$_2$O was too fast to be measurable. The exchange rates were therefore characterized from 2D $^{15}$CO-$^{15}$N EXSY at pH 6 and 20°C, which indicated an upper limit of $k_{ex} \sim 1$ s$^{-1}$ for $k_{ex}$ for all residues for a 1:1 mixture of H$_2$O and $^2$H$_2$O (Figure S5).

### 2.2 Binding of $^{15}$N L-hIGFBP2 to IGF-1 and IGF-2

Dissociation constants ($K_d$) of 4.1 ± 2.2 μM for IGF-1 and 3.7 ± 1.5 μM for IGF-2 were estimated from surface plasmon resonance (SPR) based on the association and dissociation rates (Figures 3A,B). The binding of IGF-1 and IGF-2 to L-hIGFBP2 was also monitored using NMR. To identify L-hIGFBP2 residues involved in binding IGFs, a sample of $^{15}$N-labeled L-hIGFBP2 was titrated with unlabeled IGF-1. Figure 3C shows an overlay of the 2D $[^{15}$N-$^1$H] HSQC spectrum of the complex with that of free (unbound) L-hIGFBP2. The binding of IGF-1 and IGF-2 to L-hIGFBP2 is predicted to be a molecular recognition feature (MoRF) (a short binding region located within a longer intrinsically disordered region) and is located adjacent to the protease cleavage sites of hIGFBP2 (Figure 3E). To confirm the involvement of these residues in binding IGF-1, we prepared a mutant form of L-IGFBP2 with deletion of K150-E161 (L-hIGFBP2[desK150-E161]), which showed weaker binding to IGF-1 (Figure S7).

We also identified residues in IGF-1 involved in binding L-hIGFBP2. For this purpose, $^{15}$N-labeled IGF-1 in 50 mM Na-phosphate buffer (pH 6.0) was titrated at 20°C with a solution of unlabeled L-hIGFBP2. An overlay of the 2D $[^{15}$N-$^1$H] HSQC spectrum of the L-hIGFBP2:IGF-1 complex with that of free IGF-1 is shown in Figure S8. Chemical shifts for most residues of IGF-1 remained unchanged, but cross-peaks for residues E3, T4, A13, V17, C18, G19, D20, R21, G22, M59, Y60, C61, and A62, which were very weak or absent in free IGF-1, exhibited a significant increase in intensity in the
bound form (Figure S8). This implies that the dynamics of IGF-1 are also significantly affected upon binding L-hIGFBP2, even though its average conformation is unchanged (Figure S8).

2.3 Backbone dynamics of L-hIGFBP2 from $^{15}$N relaxation

Insight into the functional regulation of IGF-1 by L-hIGFBP2 was achieved by studying the dynamics of L-hIGFBP2 in the free and IGF-1-bound forms using $^{15}$N relaxation. Reduced spectral density mapping was used to examine dynamics in the microsecond–millisecond ($\mu$s–ms) and picosecond–nanosecond (ps–ns) regimes. The $^{15}$N relaxation rates ($R_1$, $R_2$, $R_1^{\rho}$) and $^{15}$N–$^1$H heteronuclear nuclear Overhauser effects (HetNOE) were measured at a $^1$H resonance frequency of 800 MHz (Figure 4). Based on $^{15}$N $R_1$ and $R_2$, relaxation values, an average overall rotational correlation time of $\sim$3 ns for the disordered linker domain was obtained for unbound L-hIGFBP2. The average overall correlation time for the linker domain in full-length hIGFBP2 (32 kDa) determined by a similar method was $\sim$4 ns at 293 K, implying that the disordered linker domain retains a high degree of flexibility in the full-length form, largely unaffected by the presence of the N- and C-domains.

The $^{15}$N $R_1$, $R_2$, and $^{15}$N–$^1$H het-NOE values and a plot of the calculated spectral density functions–$J(0)$, $J(\omega_h)$, and $J(0.87*\omega_h)$ for L-hIGFBP2 in free and IGF-1-bound forms are shown in Figures 4 and 5, respectively. Several important observations can be made. First, L-hIGFBP2 exhibits a high degree of flexibility in both the free and IGF-
1-bound forms, as reflected by the $J(0.87^*\tau_c)$ and $J(0)$ values, with the latter being significantly less than $2/5\tau_c$ for most residues (where $\tau_c$ is the rotational correlation time of a rigid isotropically tumbling protein of equivalent size).\(^{43}\) Second, the $^{15}$N relaxation rates for residues K150-E161, Q165, and M166 of the linker domain are significantly perturbed by IGF-1 in complex with L-hIGFBP2, as the large complex causes a great increase in correlation time ($\tau_c$) which in turn causes the fast $T_2$ relaxation (Figure 4). In the full-length hIGFBP2 complex, the intensities of cross-peaks corresponding to these residues in the 2D $^{15}$N, $^1$H HSQC spectrum are reduced owing to the formation of a large complex which causes an increase in correlation time ($\tau_c$), resulting in the fast $T_2$ relaxation and increased NMR line-width (Figure S6). Third, large $J(0)$ values indicative of dynamics in the $\mu$s-$\mu$s regime are significantly enhanced for L-domain residues involved in binding IGF-1 (K150-E161), as well as those distant from the binding site (V110, N113, H117, H172, Q165, M166, L174, and L182). This increase in $J(0)$ values can be attributed to the larger size of the complex and slow conformational exchange in the $\mu$s-$\mu$s regime and is quantified by the exchange rate, $R_{ex}$, which was estimated by measuring the $^{15}$N transverse relaxation rate in the rotating frame ($R_{2,\rho}$) at 800 MHz for both the unbound and bound forms of L-hIGFBP2. Values of $R_{ex}$ calculated using the difference in $J(0)$ values obtained with $R_2$ and $R_{2,\rho}$\(^{43}\) are plotted in Figure 5. Notably, an overall increase in $R_{ex}$ is observed in the IGF-bound complex for residues of L-hIGFBP2 both close to and distant from the binding site. This implies that in the IGF-1-bound complex, L-hIGFBP2 populates an ensemble of alternate conformations that interconvert on the $\mu$s-$\mu$s timescale. The region bound to IGF-1 exhibits a “reduced” level of conformational dynamics, such that some of the ns-ps timescales have now entered the $\mu$s-$\mu$s timescale (and therefore now entered the exchange regime in these experiments). Interestingly, it is known that proteolytic cleavage of hIGFBP2 by the pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A (PAPP-A) is enhanced in the IGF-1-bound state.\(^{29}\) The disordered linker domain of hIGFBP2 has a helical propensity, as indicated by the $^{13}$C chemical shift predictions shown in Figure S3f. The region K150-E161 is also predicted by AlphaFold\(^{44}\) to have a helical propensity, as shown in Figure 7; this region binds to IGF-1 and shows a change in exchange in $\mu$s-$\mu$s timescale due to the formation of the
larger complex upon binding. The IGF-1 bound complex has a higher correlation time ($t_c$) which in turn causes the fast $T_2$ relaxation, resulting in a higher relaxation rate, as the relaxation times and relaxation rates are simple inverses of each other. The majority of the L-hIGFBP2 residues recognized by proteases (Figure 3) show increases in $R_{ex}$ upon IGF binding (Figure 5). This explains the IGF-dependent dynamic modulation of a protease cleavage site region in the intrinsically disordered linker domain of hIGFBP2.

To estimate the conformational entropy associated with binding IGF-1, approximate backbone NH order parameters ($S^2$) using $J(0)$ and $J(\omega_N)$ were calculated for L-hIGFBP2. $J(0)$ and $J(\omega_N)$ calculated from $R_{ex}$ were used to avoid the effect of conformational exchange when estimating $S^2$ values. Order parameters were calculated for both free and bound forms of L-hIGFBP2 and the change in conformational entropy ($\Delta S$) was estimated using the calculated $S^2$ values (for residues with $S^2 < 1$) (Equation 2; Figure 6). The overall $\Delta S$ value (summed over all residues) of $\sim$100 J/mole (0.024 kcal/mol) implies an increase in entropy for the system upon IGF-1 binding. The contribution of the conformational entropy to the free energy of binding is given by $-T \Delta S$, which yields a contribution of $-7$ kcal/mol.

3 | DISCUSSION

In recent years, the concept of “fuzzy complexes” in IDPs has been described, which proposes that functionally important regions of IDPs in protein complexes can retain their structural disorder. In fuzzy complexes, dynamic regulation ensues when the ensemble average
population of conformers of the IDP and/or their flexibility is affected upon ligand binding. The current study involving the intrinsically disordered linker domain of human IGFBP2 exemplifies such a case.

In the IGF system, proteolysis plays a crucial role in regulating the bioavailability of IGFs. IGFBP levels are regulated by proteolysis following their secretion from the cell and the resulting proteolytic fragments have reduced affinity for IGF ligands. The net effect is an increase in IGFs availability for interaction with the IGF-1R. Thus, efforts to reduce protease action could have a beneficial effect on reducing IGF-1R activity in cancer. Proteolysis of IGFBPs has been observed to be both IGF-dependent and IGF-independent; IGFB-dependent proteolysis has been observed for IGFBP2 and in IGFBP4, whereas IGFBP3 and IGFBP5 undergo IGF-independent proteolysis. K150-E161 residues in IGFBP2 are more ordered (less disorder disposition, Figure S4), and our experimental results show an increase in dynamics for those residues in IGFBP2 after binding with IGF-1. As these K150-E161 residues in the linker region become more flexible after binding, the conformational ensemble populated by the linker domain of IGFBP2 shifts so that it is more readily recognized by the protease and/or is more amenable to proteolysis. This highlights an interesting link between binding of IGF-1 and proteolysis for hIGFBP2, which is not the case for IGFBP3 and IGFBP5. An example of an IGF-dependent protease action on hIGFBP2 is PAPP-A, which cleaves hIGFBP2 in an IGF-dependent manner at a single site between Gln165 and Met166, to yield two proteolytic fragments having weak IGF binding affinity. Another recent finding shows that ParE toxin alters a disorder-to-order transition, lessening its interaction affinity and increasing its protease degradation kinetics.

The different susceptibilities of the different IGFBPs to proteolysis have been attributed to ligand-induced conformational changes. Our studies demonstrate that K150-E161, Q165, and M166 residues of L-hIGFBP2, which are involved in binding IGF-1, exhibit enhanced conformational exchange upon IGF binding (Figure 4). Moreover, this enhanced conformational exchange is not confined to the binding site but extends to some distant residues (V110, N113, H117, H172, Q165, M166, L174, and L182) (Figure 4) and is accompanied by an increase in conformational entropy. This implies dynamic regulation, where changes in protein dynamics induced by ligand binding extend to residues distant from the ligand-binding site, even in the absence of a well-defined conformational change. The increase in μ-ms motions of residues in the vicinity of protease cleavage sites of L-hIGFBP2 provides an interesting link to proteolytic cleavage upon binding IGF-1 as it is well known that changes in conformational dynamics upon ligand binding are important for regulation of proteolysis. In the presence of IGF-1, the conformational ensemble populated by the linker domain of IGFBP2 shifts so that it is more readily recognized by the protease and/or is more amenable to proteolysis. Considering the current findings, we, therefore, propose that dynamic regulation in the linker domain of IGFBP2 plays an important role in its susceptibility to PAPP-A proteolytic cleavage.

These results have significant implications for the development of IGFBPs (mutants and/or chimeras) as antagonists of IGF-1R activation that can block IGF-1R-mediated tumor progression. Most current cancer therapeutics target the IGF-signaling pathway and focus on blocking the IGF-1R directly (kinase inhibitors) and/or its downstream effectors. However, a drawback of this approach is the resulting high serum IGF-1 levels in response to targeted inhibition of IGF-1R and adverse side effects and/or toxicities arising from potential interference with the insulin pathway. It has been suggested recently that therapeutics targeting the interaction of IGFs with IGFBPs may overcome these serious drawbacks. For example, IGFBPs engineered to be protease resistant by mutating or deleting the protease cleavage sites in the linker domain should act as IGF antagonists.
Recently, in separate studies, engineered protease-resistant hIGFBP2 and hIGFBP4 were found to inhibit tumor growth in breast cancer.\textsuperscript{41–43} Interestingly, the engineered protease-resistant form of hIGFBP2 lacking residues 114–170 (des[114–170]) retains high-affinity binding to IGF-1 and IGF-2, with only a 1.6–2-fold reduction in affinity compared to full-length hIGFBP2. The present study may now explain the loss in binding affinity of des[114–170]\textsuperscript{42} toward both IGFs compared to the full-length protein by the fact that residues K150-E161 of the linker domain, which facilitates IGF binding (Figure S7), were deleted from the construct. This suggests that, in addition to alteration of the protease cleavage sites, more potent IGFBP-based antagonists could be designed by considering the binding affinity of the linker domain for the IGFs and taking into account the resulting change in dynamics upon binding. These studies will facilitate the development of future IGFBP-based antagonists.

In summary, our studies of the intrinsically disordered linker domain of human IGFBP2 provide new insights into the regulatory mechanisms in the IGF system. Contrary to currently held models, the intrinsically disordered linker domain of IGFBP2 is involved in binding IGF-1. L-hIGFBP2 does not undergo a well-defined conformational change upon binding its ligand, but binding is accompanied by a significant change in dynamics on both the millisecond–microsecond and picosecond–nanosecond time scales. This is an example of functional regulation in an intrinsically disordered protein complex by dynamic regulation, which is being recognized increasingly in recent years.\textsuperscript{46,47}

\section{Materials and Methods}

\subsection{Cloning, expression, and purification of L-hIGFBP2}

The primers were designed for L-hIGFBP2 (residues 97–191 of the full-length protein) and its L-hIGFBP mutants (L-hIGFBP [desK150-E161]) lacking the C-terminal tag and residues 150–161. Oligonucleotide strands 5' CAT GGT ACC GAT GAT GAT AAA AAG CGC CGG GAC GCC GAG TAT G 3' with enterokinase cleavage site and 5' GAC GAA TTC TTA GGG AGT CCT GGC AGG GGG TGG TCG CA 3' were used as forward and reverse primers for L-hIGFBP2 [desK150-E161]. The insert was cloned between Kpn1 and Xho1 restriction sites of the pET 32a vector having a thioredoxin tag fused in-frame with residues K150-E161. The insert was cloned and allowed to bind to the preequilibrated GST bind resin for 2 h at 4 °C on a rotator. The resin was washed three times with each of 10 bed volume of PBS buffer, high salt (25 mM HEPES, 0.05% NaN\textsubscript{3}, 0.5 M NaCl, 0.1% Triton X-100, pH 7.5) and low salt (25 mM HEPES, 0.5% NaN\textsubscript{3}, 0.1 M NaCl, 0.1% TritonX-100, pH 7.5) buffer. The cleavage buffer (PBS) was used to wash the beads before adding HRV 3C protease to perform on-column cleavage at 4 °C for 16 h.

L-hIGFBP2[desK150-E161] was expressed in E. coli BL21 cells at 30 °C and induced for 4 h with 0.5 mM IPTG. For the purification of thioredoxin fused L-hIGFBP2[desK150-E161], the cell pellet was resuspended in buffer A (20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, and 10% glycerol) containing 0.3 mg/ml lysozyme and incubated for 20 min in the presence of EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail from Roche. The cell resuspension was sonicated, and the cell lysate was clarified by centrifuging at 14,000 rpm for 30 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was loaded onto a preequilibrated Ni-NTA HisTrap column (GE Healthcare, 5 ml) with a flow rate of 0.25 ml/min. Unbound proteins were removed by extensive washing with buffer A followed by a wash with buffer A containing 0.5 M NaCl. The fusion protein was eluted with buffer A containing 250 mM imidazole and exchanged with enterokinase cleavage buffer (50 mM Tris–Cl pH 8.0, 1 mM CaCl\textsubscript{2}, and 50 mM NaCl).

The thioredoxin tag was removed by incubating the fusion protein in enterokinase at 23 °C for 16 h and passing the mixture back onto the Ni-NTA HisTrap column (GE Healthcare, 5 ml). Unbound protein was eluted in buffer A. The protein was concentrated using a Millipore centricon with a 3 kDa molecular weight cut-off.

\subsection{Expression and purification of full-length hIGFBP2}

A \textsuperscript{15}N-labeled sample of full-length hIGFBP2 was prepared as described previously.\textsuperscript{33} Briefly, the hIGFBP2 construct was...
transformed into E. coli BL21(DE3) Star™ competent cells. The transformed colony was inoculated in 10 ml primary culture of LB medium containing 200 μg/ml ampicillin and incubated at 37°C for 16 h, 200 rpm. The cells were then diluted 100-fold into fresh LB (amp) and grown up to a cell density corresponding to OD600 ~ 0.6. The cells were centrifuged and the cell pellet transferred to 1 L minimal media followed by growth at 37°C up to a cell density corresponding to OD600 ~ 0.8 before inducing the protein expression with 0.5 mM IPTG at 25°C for 6 h. Cells were harvested by centrifugation, solubilized in Buffer-I [Phosphate-buffer [50 mM sodium phosphate, 0.3 M NaCl, pH 8.0] containing 8 M urea, 5 mM DTT, and 1 mM PMSF] and then sonicated on ice. The supernatant was incubated at 4°C for 2 h with 1 ml of preequilibrated His-Select™ Nickel affinity agarose (Sigma-Aldrich) followed by washing and elution of the protein with 200 mM imidazole in Buffer-I. The eluate was dialyzed in Buffer-I to remove urea, followed by removal of DTT. The dialyzed protein was exchanged with phosphate buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate, 50 mM NaCl, pH 6.0) and concentrated to 500 μl for NMR experiments.

4.3 | SPR studies of L-hIGFBP2 and its mutants

SPR studies to estimate the binding affinity of IGF-1 and IGF-2 to L-hIGFBP2 and its mutant (lacking the residues 150–161) were carried out on a Biacore 3000 instrument. IGF-1 (10 μg/ml) in 10 mM sodium acetate buffer, pH 4.0, was immobilized at a flow rate of 2 μl/min onto the activated CM-5 sensor chip using the amine coupling kit. A total of 520 response units (RU) of IGF-1 were coupled on separate flow cells. The immobilization of pure IGF-2 (5.5 μg/ml) was carried out on a separate chip at 25°C at a flow rate of 2 μl/min and 350 response units of IGF-2 were coupled on the flow cell. Binding experiments were carried out for different concentrations of L-hIGFBP2 and its mutants in HBS buffer (10 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, 3 mM EDTA, 0.005% surfactant P20, pH 7.5) at a flow rate of 10 μl/min. Regeneration of the biosensor surface before the next reading was done by passing 5 μl of 2 M MgCl2 across the chip. The data were fit to the Langmuir 1:1 binding model using the fitting procedures in BIAevaluation software 3.0.1 to calculate dissociation constants.

4.4 | Sequence-specific resonance assignments

NMR spectra were acquired at 20°C on an 800 MHz NMR spectrometer equipped with a triple-resonance cryogenic probe. The following spectra (with measurement times) were acquired for sequence-specific resonance assignment of backbone and side-chain nuclei: 2D HSQC (0.6 h), 3D HNCO (4.2 h), 3D HNCA/CB (13 h), 3D CBCACONH (13 h), 3D HBBACONH (6.8 h), 3D (H/C/O)NH TOCSY (10.4 h), 3D 13C-TOCSY-Methyl COSY (11.2 h), reduced dimensionality (RD) 3D HNCA/CN (12.4 h), GFT (3.2D) HNHA (6 h). Data were processed with NMRPipe and analyzed using XEASY. Sequence assignments were obtained using a semi-automated approach in the program AUTOASSIGN. Sequence-specific resonance assignments were aided by amino acid selective unlabeling of Arg, Asn, Thr, Ser, Gly, and Ala and reduced dimensionality. The complete chemical shifts assignments have been deposited in the BioMagResBank (accession code: 19475).

4.5 | Backbone dynamics of L-hIGFBP2 from 15N relaxation

The experiments for dynamics studies of 15N-labeled hIGFBP2 in the presence and absence of IGF-1 were performed at 20°C on a Bruker 800 MHz NMR spectrometer equipped with a cryogenic probe. For R1 measurements, eight different time points were collected with relaxation delay periods of 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.8, 1, and 1.5 s. R2 and R1p measurements were carried out at eight different time points with relaxation delay periods of 0.01, 0.03, 0.05, 0.1, 0.13, 0.17, 0.2, and 0.23 s. For the R1p, R2, and R1p experiments: two scans with an interscan relaxation delay of 2.5 s, 256 points, and a spectral window of 24 ppm (65 ms acquisition time) were used in the 15N dimension. The 1H dimension was acquired using 2048 points (tmax = 106 ms) over a 12-ppm spectral width. For R1p, a 4 ms spin-lock block with a field strength of 2 kHz was used. The same data sets with identical time points, relaxation delays, and experimental time were recorded for the IGF-1-bound form of L-hIGFBP2. All the relaxation experiments were processed with a shifted sine-bell function and zero-filled with twice the time domain points in both dimensions. [15N-1H] Het-NOE spectra for the free and bound form of L-hIGFBP2 were recorded with 32 transients for 23 h each with 2048 and 256 points in the direct and indirect dimensions, respectively. An interscan delay of 5 s was used and acquisition times were 80 ms in the direct and 65 ms in the indirect dimension.

An approximate S2 to estimate the conformational entropy of the NH bond vector was calculated using Equation (1) and the entropy was calculated using Equation (2).

\[
S^2 = \frac{5}{2} \left[ \frac{J(0) - J(\omega_N)}{\omega_N} \right] \left[ \frac{1 + a_1^2 + a_2^2}{a_1^2 + a_2^2} \right]
\]

\[
\Delta S^{conf} = -k_B \ln \left( 3 - (1 + 8S)^{1/2} \right)
\]

The entropy estimated in this manner is considered as an upper limit due to the inherent assumption that the NH bond fluctuations for each residue are independent of others. 
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