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#### Abstract

We prove that a Kähler manifold with positive bisectional curvature and maximal diameter is isometric to complex projective space with the Fubini-Study metric.


## 1 Introduction

Let $(M, \omega)$ be a Kähler manifold. The bisectional curvature of $\omega$ along real unit tangent vectors $X, Y$ is defined to be

$$
\mathrm{BK}(X, Y)=\operatorname{Rm}(X, J X, J Y, Y),
$$

where Rm denotes the Riemann curvature tensor of the Riemannian metric associated to $\omega$. In this note we will be concerned with Kähler manifolds $(M, \omega)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{BK} \geq 1, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., $\mathrm{BK}(X, Y) \geq 1$ for all real unit tangent vectors $X, Y$.

A diameter comparison theorem was established for compact Kähler $n$-manifolds satisfying (1) in [5]. The comparison space here is the complex projective space $\mathbb{C} P^{n}$

[^0]endowed with the Fubini-Study metric $\omega_{\mathbb{C} P^{n}}$, normalized so that
$$
\int_{\mathbb{C} P^{n}} \omega_{\mathbb{C} P^{n}}^{n}=(2 \pi)^{n}, \text { equivalently Ric }=(n+1) \omega_{\mathbb{C} P^{n}}
$$

Theorem 1 (Li-Wang [5]) If $\left(M^{n}, \omega\right)$ is a compact Kähler manifold satisfying $\mathrm{BK} \geq 1$, then

$$
\operatorname{diam}(M) \leq \operatorname{diam}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{n}, \omega_{\mathbb{C} P^{n}}\right)=\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} .
$$

Remark In [5], the diameter bound is stated to be $\pi / 2$. This is due to a different normalization for the Hermitian extension of the Riemannian metric.

The main result of this note is a characterization of the case of equality in Theorem 1 :

Theorem 2 Let $\left(M^{n}, \omega\right)$ be a compact Kähler manifold satisfying $\mathrm{BK} \geq 1$. If

$$
\operatorname{diam}(M, \omega)=\operatorname{diam}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{n}, \omega_{\mathbb{C}} P^{n}\right)
$$

then $(M, \omega)$ is isometric to $\left(\mathbb{C} P^{n}, \omega_{\mathbb{C}} P^{n}\right)$.
The diameter bound in Theorem 1 is analogous to the classical Bonnet-Myers diameter bound for compact Riemannian manifolds with positive Ricci curvature. However, one cannot relax the curvature assumption to a positive Ricci lower bound in the Kähler case, as pointed out in [6]: endow $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$ with the round metric of curvature $\frac{1}{n+1}$ and consider the product metric on the $n$-fold product

$$
M=\mathbb{C} P^{1} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{C} P^{1}
$$

The Ricci curvature of $M$ satisfies Ric $=(n+1) \omega$, but

$$
\operatorname{diam}(M)=\sqrt{\frac{n}{n+1}} \pi>\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}},
$$

if $n \geq 2$.
In the Riemannian case, the equality case of the Bonnet-Myers diameter bound is the well-known maximal diameter theorem of Cheng. Theorem 2 can be regarded as the Kähler analogue of Cheng's theorem.

Theorem 2 has been established under additional assumptions in [6,11]. In [6], the authors construct a totally geodesic $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$ with sectional curvature 2 and use this to show that rigidity holds if $\int_{M} \omega^{n}>\pi^{n}$. In [11], the authors assume that there are compact connected complex submanifolds $P$ and $Q$ in $M$ with $\operatorname{dim}(P)+\operatorname{dim}(Q)=n-1$ and $d(P, Q)=\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}}$. An eigenvalue comparison theorem is then employed to show rigidity.

Our strategy for proving Theorem 2 is to establish a monotonicity formula for a function arising from Lelong numbers of positive currents on $\mathbb{C} P^{n}$. In [7], the $\partial \bar{\partial}-$ comparison theorem of [11] is reformulated as asserting the positivity of a certain $(1,1)$-current and this is the current we work with.

## 2 Lelong numbers and a monotonicity formula on $\mathbb{C} P^{n}$

Let $M$ be a Kähler manifold. In what follows, we frequently use the real operator

$$
d^{c}=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi}(\bar{\partial}-\partial) .
$$

Note that

$$
d d^{c}=\frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} .
$$

If $T$ is a non-negative current on a $M$ such that

$$
T=d d^{c} \varphi,
$$

in a neighbourhood of a point $q \in M$, then the Lelong number of $T$ at $q$ is defined as

$$
v(T, q):=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\sup _{B_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}(0, r)} \varphi(z)}{\log r},
$$

where $z$ is a holomorphic coordinate in a neighbourhood of $q$ such that $z(q)=0$. It is not difficult to see (for instance using the maximum principle) that the quotient on the right is increasing in $r$, and hence the limit $v(T, q)$ exists and is moreover non-negative and independent of the choice of holomorphic coordinates. Note that the normalization is chosen so that if $V$ is a smooth hypersurface with defining function $f$, and [ $V$ ] denotes the current of integration along $V$, then by the Poincáre-Lelong equation, $[V]=d d^{c} \log |f|$, and so $v([V], q)=1$ for any point $q \in V$.

The following proposition is well known (cf. [2, pg. 164-165]), but since the proof of our main theorem has a precise dependence on the constants involved, we provide a proof for the convenience of the reader.

Proposition 3 Suppose $T=d d^{c} \varphi$ as above in a neighbourhood of $q$ with holomorphic coordinates $z=\left(z^{1}, \ldots, z^{n}\right)$ such that $z(q)=0$. We then have

$$
\nu(T, q)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{\pi^{n-1} r^{2 n-2}} \int_{B_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}(0, r)} T \wedge \omega_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}^{n-1}
$$

where $B_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}(0, r)$ is the ball of radius $r$ around the origin with respect to the Euclidean metric $\omega_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \partial \bar{\partial}|z|^{2}$.

Note that quantity on the right above is increasing in $r$ (cf. [4, pg. 390]), and hence the limit, in particular, exists.

Proof First suppose that $\varphi$ is smooth. We let

$$
\begin{aligned}
v\left(d d^{c} \varphi, 0, t\right) & :=\frac{1}{\pi^{n-1} t^{2 n-2}} \int_{B_{\mathbb{C}^{n}(0, t)}} d d^{c} \varphi \wedge \omega_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}^{n-1}, \\
\mu_{t}(\varphi) & :=\frac{1}{\sigma_{2 n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2 n-1}} \varphi(t, \theta) d \sigma(\theta),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sigma_{2 n-1}=2 \pi^{n} /(n-1)$ ! is the volume of the unit sphere in $\mathbb{S}^{2 n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$, and $d \sigma$ is the standard Riemannian measure on $\mathbb{S}^{2 n-1}$ Let $\mathbb{S}_{t}^{2 n-1}$ be the sphere of radius $t$ centred at the origin, $d \sigma_{t}$ the Riemannian measure on it and let $\partial \varphi / \partial \nu$ be the normal derivative of $\varphi$. Differentiating in $t$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d \mu_{t}(\varphi)}{d t} & =\frac{1}{\sigma_{2 n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2 n-1}} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}(t, \theta) d \sigma \\
& =\frac{1}{\sigma_{2 n-1} t^{2 n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}_{t}^{2 n-1}} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial v} d \sigma_{t} \\
& =\frac{2}{\sigma_{2 n-1} t^{2 n-1}} \int_{B_{\mathbb{C}^{n}(0, t)}} \Delta \bar{\partial} \varphi \frac{\omega_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}^{n}}{n!} \\
& =\frac{2}{\sigma_{2 n-1} t^{2 n-1}} \int_{B_{\mathbb{C}^{n}(0, t)}} \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi \wedge \frac{\omega_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \\
& =\frac{2 \pi}{\sigma_{2 n-1}(n-1)!} \cdot \frac{1}{t^{2 n-1}} \int_{B_{\mathbb{C}^{n}(0, t)}} d d^{c} \varphi \wedge \omega_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}^{n-1} \\
& =\frac{\nu(T, 0, t)}{t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that in the third line we have the $\bar{\partial}$-Laplacian $\Delta_{\bar{\partial}}$, and hence the factor of 2 on application of Green's formula. Integrating the above equality from $r$ to 1 , we obtain the so-called Jensen-Lelong formula (cf. [2, pg. 163]):

$$
\mu_{1}(\varphi)-\mu_{r}(\varphi)=\int_{r}^{1} \nu\left(d d^{c} \varphi, 0, t\right) \frac{d t}{t} .
$$

By regularization, the above equality also holds for a general, possibly non-smooth, plurisubharmonic function $\varphi$. Changing variables $s=\log t$ and dividing by $\log r$ we have

$$
\frac{\mu_{r}(\varphi)}{\log r}=\frac{\mu_{1}(\varphi)}{\log r}-\frac{1}{\log r} \int_{\log r}^{0} v\left(d d^{c} \varphi, 0, e^{s}\right) d s
$$

and letting $r \rightarrow 0^{+}$we obtain

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \nu(T, 0, r)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\mu_{r}(\varphi)}{\log r}
$$

Next proceeding as in [2, pg. 165], by Harnack inequality and maximum principle, we have that

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\mu_{r}(\varphi)}{\log r}=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\sup _{z \in \partial B_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}(0, r)} \varphi(z)}{\log r}=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\sup _{z \in B_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}(0, r)} \varphi(z)}{\log r}
$$

We require the following modification, which as far as we can tell, seems to be new.
Proposition 4 Let $T$ be a non-negative current on $\mathbb{C} P^{n}$ in a Kähler class, and $q \in$ $\mathbb{C} P^{n}$. Then

$$
\Theta(T, q, r):=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n-1} \sin ^{2 n-2}(r / \sqrt{2})} \int_{B_{\mathbb{C} P n}(q, r)} T \wedge \omega_{\mathbb{C} P^{n}}^{n-1}
$$

is increasing in $r$. Here $B_{\mathbb{C} P^{n}}(q, r)$ is the ball of radius $r$ with respect to $\omega_{\mathbb{C} P^{n}}$. Moreover, we also have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \Theta(T, q, r)=v(T, q) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the factor in the denominator is precisely the volume of a ball of radius $r$ in $\mathbb{C} P^{n-1}$ with respect to the Fubini-Study metric $\omega_{\mathbb{C} P^{n-1}}$ upto a factor of $(n-1)$ !.

Proof Let us first assume that $T$ is a smooth $(1,1)$ Kähler form. We use homogenous coordinates $\left[\xi_{0}: \xi_{1}: \cdots: \xi_{n}\right]$ on $\mathbb{C} P^{n}$ with $q=[1: 0: \cdots: 0]$, and the usual in-homogenous coordinates $Z_{i}=\frac{\xi_{1}}{\xi_{0}}$ on $\xi_{0} \neq 0$. Then

$$
\omega=\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log |\xi|^{2}=\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(1+|Z|^{2}\right) .
$$

We then compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta(T, q, r)= & \frac{1}{2^{n-1} \sin ^{2 n-2}(r / \sqrt{2})} \int_{B_{\mathbb{C} P^{n}(q, r)}} T \wedge\left(d d^{c} \log |\xi|^{2}\right)^{n-1} \\
= & \frac{1}{2^{n-1} \sin ^{2 n-2}(r / \sqrt{2})} \int_{\partial B_{\mathbb{C} P n}(q, r)} T \wedge d^{c} \log \left(1+|Z|^{2}\right) \\
& \wedge\left(d d^{c} \log \left(1+|Z|^{2}\right)\right)^{n-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, it is well known fact that

$$
\cos ^{2} \frac{d_{\mathbb{C} P^{n}}(q, Z)}{\sqrt{2}}=\frac{\left|\xi_{0}\right|^{2}}{|\xi|^{2}}=\frac{1}{1+|Z|^{2}}
$$

For instance exploiting the $U(n)$ symmetry one needs to check this only for $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$ which can be done easily. We then have that for any $Z \in \partial B_{\mathbb{C} P^{n}}(q, r)$,

$$
d^{c} \log \left(1+|Z|^{2}\right)=\frac{|Z|^{2}}{1+|Z|^{2}} d^{c} \log |Z|^{2}=\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}\right) d^{c} \log |Z|^{2} .
$$

Putting this back in the formula above we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta(T, q, r)=\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \int_{\partial B_{\mathbb{C} P}(q, r)} T \wedge d^{c} \log |Z|^{2} \wedge\left(d d^{c} \log |Z|^{2}\right)^{n-2} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

So if $r_{1}<r_{2}$, then integrating by parts we have

$$
\Theta\left(T, q, r_{2}\right)-\Theta\left(T, q, r_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \int_{A_{\mathbb{C} P n}\left(q, r_{1}, r_{2}\right)} T \wedge\left(d d^{c} \log |Z|^{2}\right)^{n-1}
$$

where $A_{\mathbb{C} P^{n}}\left(q, r_{1}, r_{2}\right)=B_{\mathbb{C} P^{n}}\left(q, r_{2}\right) \backslash \overline{B_{\mathbb{C}} P^{n}\left(q, r_{1}\right)}$. Now if $\mu: \mathbb{C} P^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{n-1}$ is the projection from $q$ to $\left[\xi_{0}=0\right.$ ], then we have

$$
\Theta\left(T, q, r_{2}\right)-\Theta\left(T, q, r_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n-1}} \int_{A_{\mathbb{C} P}\left(q, r_{1}, r_{2}\right)} T \wedge\left(\mu^{*} \omega_{\mathbb{C} P^{n-1}}\right)^{n-1} \geq 0
$$

This proves the monotonicity for smooth currents. For a general positive current $T$ we can proceed by regularization. In fact in our case we can first let $r_{1}<r_{2}<R<\pi / \sqrt{2}$. Then $B(q, R)$ is contained in Euclidean ball (of radius $\tan R$ ) with respect to the inhomogenous coordinates. We can then use the standard convolution to find sequence of smooth non-negative forms $T_{j}$ converging weakly to $T$. Then since $r_{1}<r_{2}<R$,

$$
\Theta\left(T, q, r_{2}\right)-\Theta\left(T, q, r_{1}\right)=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left(\Theta\left(T_{j}, q, r_{2}\right)-\Theta\left(T_{j}, q, r_{1}\right)\right) \geq 0
$$

If $r_{2}=\pi / \sqrt{2}$, then the result follows by the monotonic convergence.
Next, to compute the limit, we again first work with smooth Kahler forms. If $T$ is smooth then in formula (3), we observe that

$$
d^{c} \log |Z|^{2}=\frac{d^{c}|Z|^{2}}{|Z|^{2}}=\frac{d^{c}|Z|^{2}}{\tan ^{2}(r / \sqrt{2})}
$$

where notice that $d(q, Z)=r$ implies that

$$
|Z|^{2}=\tan ^{2}\left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}\right)
$$

Then we have

$$
\Theta(T, q, r)=\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \int_{\partial B_{\mathbb{C} P^{n}(q, r)}} T \wedge d^{c} \log |Z|^{2} \wedge\left(d d^{c} \log |Z|^{2}\right)^{n-2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{2^{n-1} \tan ^{2 n-2}(r / \sqrt{2})} \int_{B_{\mathbb{C} P^{n}(q, r)}} T \wedge d^{c}|Z|^{2} \wedge\left(d d^{c}|Z|^{2}\right)^{n-2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{n-1} \tan ^{2 n-2}(r / \sqrt{2})} \int_{B_{\mathbb{C} P^{n}(q, r)}} T \wedge\left(d d^{c}|Z|^{2}\right)^{n-1} \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi^{n-1} t^{2 n-2}} \int_{B_{\mathbb{C}^{n}(0, t)}} T \wedge \omega_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}^{n-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we integrated by parts in the third line and set $t=\tan (r / \sqrt{2})$, and noted that in terms of the $Z$-coordinates $B_{\mathbb{C} P^{n}}(q, r)=B_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}(0, t)$. Once again by regularization, as above, the above formula holds for general possibly non-smooth currents. Letting $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$and applying Proposition 3 we obtain (2).

Example 5 (The "model" case) On $\mathbb{C} P^{n}$ consider the current $T=\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left|\xi_{n}\right|^{2}=$ $2 \pi\left[\xi_{n}=0\right]$, and $q=[1: 0: \cdots: 0]$. We regard this as the model case for reasons given in Section 3. Then for any $r>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{\mathbb{C} P^{n}(q, r)}} T \wedge \omega_{\mathbb{C} P^{n}}^{n-1} & =2 \pi \int_{B_{\mathbb{C} P^{n}}(q, r) \cap\left\{\xi_{n}=0\right\}} \omega_{\mathbb{C} P^{n}}^{n-1} \\
& =2 \pi \int_{B_{\mathbb{C} P^{n-1}(q, r)}} \omega_{\mathbb{C} P^{n-1}}^{n-1} \\
& =(2 \pi)^{n} \sin ^{2 n-2}\left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and so $\Theta(T, q, r)=2 \pi$ and is independent of $r$. Note that if we consider a modified

$$
\tilde{\Theta}(T, q, r):=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n-1} r^{2 n-2}} \int_{B_{\mathbb{C} P n}(q, r)} T \wedge \omega_{\mathbb{C} P^{n}}^{n-1}
$$

where we have $r^{2 n-2}$ in the denominator as in the usual Euclidean case, then for $T$ and $q$ as above, we would have that

$$
\tilde{\Theta}(T, q, r)=2 \pi \frac{\sin ^{2 n-2}(r / \sqrt{2})}{r^{2 n-2}} .
$$

It is easy to see that this function is decreasing in $r$. The increasing property of $\Theta(T, q, r)$ is crucial for our proof of Theorem 2.

## 3 Proof of the Theorem

In [7], Lott introduces the following current:

$$
T_{\omega, p}:=\omega+\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi_{p}, \psi_{p}:=\log \cos ^{2}\left(\frac{d_{p}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)
$$

where $p$ is some fixed point in $M$ and $d_{p}$ is the distance function from $p$. Note that a priori, $T_{\omega, p}$ is only defined (and also smooth) away from the cut-locus of $p$. Using the Hessian comparison theorem in [11], which holds away from the cut-locus, Lott observed that $T$ is in fact a global non-negative current if $\omega$ satisfies (1).

If $\omega=\omega_{\mathbb{C}} P^{n}$, and $p=[0: 0: \cdots: 1]$, then as observed before

$$
\cos ^{2}\left(\frac{d_{\omega_{\mathbb{C} P n}, p}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)=\frac{\left|\xi_{n}\right|^{2}}{|\xi|^{2}}
$$

and so

$$
T_{\omega_{\mathbb{C} P n}, p}=\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left|\xi_{n}\right|^{2}
$$

is precisely the current considered in Example 5 above.
Proof of Theorem First note that by the proof of the Frankel conjecture (cf. [8,10]), M is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C} P^{n}$. So from now on we set $M=\mathbb{C} P^{n}$. Let $p, q \in \mathbb{C} P^{n}$ such that $d_{\omega, p}(q)=\pi / \sqrt{2}$.

We claim that

$$
v\left(T_{\omega, p}, q\right)=v\left(\omega+\pi d d^{c} \psi_{\omega, p}\right) \geq 2 \pi
$$

To see this, we fix holomorphic coordinates $z:=\left(z^{1}, \ldots, z^{n}\right)$ near $q$ with $z(q)=0$. Then $C^{-1}|z(x)| \leq d(q, x) \leq C|z(x)|$ for some constant $C>0$, and hence it is enough to show that

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\sup _{B(q, \varepsilon)} \psi_{\omega, p}}{\log \varepsilon} \geq 2
$$

since $\omega$ being smooth does not contribute to the Lelong number. It is more convenient to work with

$$
\delta_{p}=\frac{\pi}{2}-\frac{d_{p}}{\sqrt{2}} .
$$

Then $\psi_{p}=2 \log \sin \delta_{p}$. Note that by the diameter upper bound we have $\delta_{p}(z) \geq 0$ for all $z$, and that $\delta_{p}$ is Lipshitz with constant $1 / \sqrt{2}$. Then for any $x \in \mathbb{C} P^{n}$,

$$
\delta_{p}(x)=\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} d(q, x)
$$

and so $\sup _{B(q, \varepsilon)} \psi_{\omega, p} \leq C+2 \log \varepsilon$. But then

$$
\frac{\sup _{B(q, \varepsilon)} \psi_{\omega, p}}{\log \varepsilon} \geq \frac{C}{\log \varepsilon}+2 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} 2 .
$$

But then by monotonicity, if $\omega \in c\left[\omega_{\mathbb{C} P^{n}}\right]$, putting $R=\pi / \sqrt{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \pi c=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{C} P^{n}} T \wedge \omega_{\mathbb{C} P^{n}}^{n-1}=\Theta\left(T_{\omega, p}, q, R\right) \geq \lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \Theta\left(T_{\omega, p}, q, r\right) \\
& \quad=v\left(T_{\omega, p}, q\right) \geq 2 \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

and so $c \geq 1$. On the other hand note that the bisectional curvature lower bound gives

$$
\operatorname{Ric}(\omega) \geq(n+1) \omega,
$$

and so $c \leq 1$ since $[\operatorname{Ric}(\omega)]=(n+1)\left[\omega_{\mathbb{C} P^{n}}\right]$, and hence $c=1$. But then the lower bound on the Ricci curvature, and the $\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial}$-lemma imply that $\omega$ must be Kähler-Einstein and hence isometric to $\omega_{\mathbb{C}} P^{n}$.
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