

## **Online Resource 1**

### **Urban lizards use sleep sites that limit illumination but retain structural and thermal properties of natural habitat**

Behavioral Ecology & Sociobiology

Authors: Nitya Prakash Mohanty<sup>1,\*</sup>, Mihir Joshi<sup>1</sup>, Maria Thaker<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Ecological Sciences, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India 560 012

\*Corresponding author: [nitya.mohanty@gmail.com](mailto:nitya.mohanty@gmail.com)

**Table 1** The effects of lizard population (rural or urban) and age (adult or juvenile) with an interaction term (population x age) on the characteristics of sleep site for *Psammophilus dorsalis*. For all regressions, reference values of predictors are “rural” and “adult”; untransformed regression coefficients ( $\pm$ SE) are reported. Statistically significant p-values (at  $\alpha = 0.05$ ) are in bold. NAs are likely a result of model non-convergence due to small sample sizes in that particular contrast

Model	Response type	Comparison		
		Population	Age	Population * Age
Substrate (reference: rocky)	Vegetative	-12.22 $\pm$ 229.73 (0.957)	0.23 $\pm$ 0.69 (0.730)	13.26 $\pm$ 229.73 (0.953)
	Ground	10.75 $\pm$ 212.77 (0.959)	-23.71 $\pm$ 2.83e-08 (NA)	-21.81 $\pm$ 1.06e-09 (NA)
Perch orientation (reference: vertical)	Horizontal	-1.62 $\pm$ 0.67 <b>(0.015)</b>	0.10 $\pm$ 0.45 (0.810)	1.12 $\pm$ 0.80 (0.161)
	Angular	-13.15 $\pm$ 212.22 (0.950)	0.55 $\pm$ 0.47 (0.246)	10.95 $\pm$ 212.22 (0.958)
Perch depth (reference: exposed)	Covered	2.07 $\pm$ 0.44 <b>(&lt;0.001)</b>	-9.99 $\pm$ 44.10 (0.820)	7.56 $\pm$ 44.10 (0.863)
	Crevice	0.48 $\pm$ 0.71 (0.492)	-45.30 $\pm$ NA (NA)	-14.73 $\pm$ NA (NA)
Perch height	-	-0.43 $\pm$ 0.49 (0.386)	-0.14 $\pm$ 0.48 (0.773)	-0.67 $\pm$ 0.67 (0.316)

**Table 2** The effects of lizard sex (male vs female) on the characteristics of sleep site for *Psammophilus dorsalis*. For all regressions, untransformed regression coefficients ( $\pm$ SE) are reported. Statistically significant p-values (at  $\alpha = 0.05$ ) are in bold

Model	Response type	Sex (reference = female)
Substrate (reference: rocky)	Vegetative	1.01 $\pm$ 1.01 (0.318)
	Ground	8.57 $\pm$ 43.72 (0.844)
Perch orientation (reference: vertical)	Horizontal	0.27 $\pm$ 0.58 (0.639)
	Angular	-0.28 $\pm$ 0.82 (0.731)
Perch depth (reference: exposed)	Covered	1.21 $\pm$ 0.44 ( <b>0.006</b> )
	Crevice	2.36 $\pm$ 0.77 ( <b>0.002</b> )
Perch height	-	0.13 $\pm$ 0.56 (0.81)
Lux*	-	1810 (0.782)

**Table 3** Differences in thermal characteristics of sleeping *Psammophilus dorsalis* within rural and urban areas. Thermal measurements, based on thermography, include body (dorsal), perch (adjoining the lizard), substrate (1 m x 1 m area around the lizard), and environment (mean temperature of four ‘unused’ substrates within 1 m radius of the lizard). Shown are results from paired t-tests, with statistically significant p-values (at  $\alpha = 0.05$ ) in bold; confidence intervals (CI) calculated at 95%

Comparison	<i>t</i>	df	Lower CI	Upper CI	<i>p</i>	Mean difference
<b><i>Urban adults</i></b>						
Body vs. Perch	-5.93	26	-0.64	-0.31	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	-0.48
Perch vs. Substrate	3.98	26	0.14	0.45	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	0.30
Substrate vs. Environment	5.37	43	0.64	1.41	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	1.03
<b><i>Rural adults</i></b>						
Body vs. Perch	-8.85	47	-0.88	-0.55	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	-0.72
Perch vs. Substrate	4.97	47	0.22	0.52	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	0.37
Substrate vs. Environment	4.98	57	0.49	1.16	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	0.83
<b><i>Urban juveniles</i></b>						
Body vs. Perch	-4.17	35	-0.44	-0.15	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	-0.30
Perch vs. Substrate	2.59	35	0.03	0.24	<b>0.014</b>	0.13
Substrate vs. Environment	4.21	54	0.42	1.18	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	0.80
<b><i>Rural juveniles</i></b>						
Body vs. Perch	-7.35	39	-0.70	-0.40	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	-0.55
Perch vs. Substrate	5.63	39	0.15	0.32	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	0.24
Substrate vs. Environment	5.69	47	0.69	1.44	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	1.06