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## Experimental details

## Materials

Terbium (III) chloride hexahydrate ( $\mathrm{TbCl}_{3} .6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, Sigma-Aldrich, $99.9 \%$ ) and Salicylic acid (SRL, 99.9\%) were used directly without further purification. Ultrapure Milli-Q water (18.2 M cm , Ultrapure) was used as the source of water. Methanol (Qualigens, HPLC grade, 99.9\%, less than 0.05\% water), THF (SDFCL, LR grade, 99.5\%), EtOH (Analytical CS reagent, 99.9\%), and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ (SDFCL, HPLC grade, 99.8\%) were dried by following literature procedure. ${ }^{[1]}$ The dried solvents were used throughout the entire sets of experiments.

## Photo-physical characterizations

UV-Visible spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu-3600 spectrophotometer. Excitation and emission spectra were recorded on a Cary-Varian Eclipse fluorescence spectrophotometer with a high power xenon flash lamp with multichannel scaling (MCS). The quantum yields of $\mathrm{Tb}-\mathrm{SA}$ in MeOH , and with different percentages of water were measured using an integrating sphere on an Edinburgh FLS 980 fluorescence instrument. The lifetimes of the Tb-SA in MeOH and at different percentages of water were also measured on an Edinburgh FLS 980 fluorescence instrument.

## Preparation of Tb (III)/Salicylic acid solution

Terbium (III) chloride ( 20 mM ), salicylic acid ( 6.4 mM and 0.4 mM ) solutions were prepared in dry MeOH and EtOH and stored in sealed round bottom flasks on molecular sieves at room temperature. The optimized concentration of terbium ( 2.5 mM ) and $\mathrm{SA}(0.1 \mathrm{mM})$ were used for the preparation of Tb-SA systems. For the preparation of Tb-SA, methanolic solutions of terbium chloride ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 20 \mathrm{mM}$ ) and of $\mathrm{SA}(12.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 6.4 \mathrm{mM}$ ) were mixed in a test tube ( 4 mL ) and diluted with $\mathrm{MeOH}(688 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. For the preparation of Tb -SA with $0.1 \%$ of water, $600 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of terbium chloride ( 20 mM ), $1200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{SA}(0.4 \mathrm{mM}), 2996 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of MeOH and $4.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of water were added in a glass vial ( 8 mL ). In this way, $\mathrm{Tb}-\mathrm{SA}$ with various water percentages were prepared by increasing the quantity of water (and reducing the volume of MeOH ). To determine water in other solvents (THF, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ), Tb-SA system was prepared in MeOH , diluted with an equal volume of the other solvents ( $\mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) because terbium salts were not soluble in these solvents (THF, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ). For the preparation of $\mathrm{Tb}-\mathrm{SA}$ at $100 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, all the solutions were prepared in in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and by using dried terbium chloride salt and salicylic acid.

## Optimization of probe concentrations

To optimize the concentrations of Tb (III) and SA in the solution, the relative decrease in emission intensity upon successive addition of water in five systems [Tb-SA], 2.5-0.1 mM (Figure 1b), 2.5-0.2 mM (Figure S1a), 5-0.1 mM (Figure S1b), 5-0.2 mM (Figure S1c), and 10-0.2 mM (Figure S1d)] were compared. In all these cases, a significant decrease in emission intensity ( $72 \%, 72 \%, 68 \%, 72 \%, 72 \%$, and $74 \%$, respectively) was observed with $2 \%$ water in the solution. These results made us to choose the system with the lowest concentration of Tb (III) ( 2.5 mM ) and $\mathrm{SA}(0.1 \mathrm{mM})$ for further studies.


Figure S1 Plots for the decrease in emission at 545 nm by addition of water in Tb-SA at various concentrations of Tb (III) and SA (a) 2.5-0.2 mM (b) 5-0.1 mM (c) 5-0.2 mM (d) 10-0.2 $\mathrm{mM} . \mathrm{mM}\left(\lambda_{\text {ex }}=305 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{~nm}, \lambda_{\mathrm{em}}=545 \mathrm{~nm}\right.$; slitwidths $\left.=2.5 / 2.5 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$.

## Interaction of SA with Tb(III)

SA ligand remain protonated after Tb-SA complex formation and interaction of SA with Tb (III) was evidenced by absorption studies as discussed below.

The $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 1}(-\mathrm{COOH})$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}(-\mathrm{OH})$ of salicylic acid are 2.97 and 13.6, respectively (Figure S2a). Therefore, salicylic acid in water at pH 1.2 (below the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 1}$ ) exist in the protonated form (Figure S2b) and at pH 6.8 (above the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 1}$ ) exist in the deprotonated form (Figure S2c). The $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ in the UV-Vis spectra of salicylic acid in water at pH 1.2 and pH 6.8 found to be 303 nm and 296 nm , respectively (Figure S3a). These values are consistent with those reported in
the literature. ${ }^{2}$ The blue shift at higher pH is documented to be due to the deprotonation of the carboxylic acid moiety. ${ }^{2}$ Similarly, at pH 2.2 and pH 8.0 the $\lambda_{\max }$ values are 296 and 303 nm , respectively. ${ }^{3}$ This indicated that the increase in pH up to 8.0 did not deprotonate the phenolic -OH obviously because of the higher $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$ of 13.6.
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Figure $\mathbf{S 2}$ (a) Salicylic acid structure indicating pKa1 and pKa2 of carboxylic and phenolic -OH group. (b) protonated form of salicylic acid at pH 1.2 in water. (c) deprotonated form of salicylic acid at pH 6.8 in water.

In our study, the UV-Vis spectral measurement of SA and Tb-SA in MeOH showed $\lambda_{\max }$ of 303 nm and 304 nm , respectively (Figure S3b). The observed 1 nm red shift in Tb-SA mixture is insignificant, but may arise from the coordination of $S A$ with $\mathrm{Tb}^{3+}$. Salicylic acid in methanol exists in the protonated form which is supported by the similar UV-Vis spectra of SA and TbSA in water at pH 1.2 which is below its $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 1}$. On the other hand, SA and $\mathrm{Tb}-\mathrm{SA}$ in water had $\lambda_{\max }$ of 296 nm and 297 nm , respectively, suggesting the deprotonated form of salicylic acid in the system. ${ }^{2}$


Figure S3. Absorption spectra of (a) SA in water at pH 1.2 and 6.8 , (b) SA and Tb-SA in MeOH , (c) SA and Tb-SA in water at pH 1.2, (d) SA and Tb-SA in water (pH 6.8).

## Using deuterated solvents

Furthermore, the terbium salt (terbium chloride hexahydrate) used to prepare the probe itself contains six water molecules that account for intrinsically $\approx 0.03 \%$ of water in a 2.5 mM $\mathrm{TbCl}_{3} .6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ solution in dry methanol. To minimize the effect of this water, the terbium salt was vacuum dried at 1 mbar for 14 h at about $31^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ that removed $\approx 83 \%$ of water from the hydrated salt (based on weight loss). followed by the addition of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ to prepare a methanolic terbium solution which was used to prepare the Tb-SA probe solutions. Upon using this probe solution, higher emission intensity ( $58 \%$ ) was observed. Moreover, an increase in the lifetime ( 3.27 ms ) and QY ( $40 \%$ ) of Tb-SA in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ (no $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) compared to the lifetime ( 1 ms ) and QY (22\%) of Tb-SA in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ was observed.


Figure S4. Emission spectra of Tb-SA (2.5/0.1 mM) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ (blue), $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}: \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ (1:1) (red) and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ (black) ( $\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}}=305 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). Lifetime and QY of $\mathrm{Tb}-\mathrm{SA}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ (no $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) were found to be 3.27 ms (Figure S4) and 40\%, respectively.

## Stability of probe solutions:

Time-dependent emission spectra of $\mathrm{Tb}-\mathrm{SA}$ in MeOH at higher water concentration, i.e., $0 \%$, $0.4 \%, 2 \%$ and $4 \%$ were done (Figure S5a-d). We observed a very slighter decrease in the emission spectra with time upon irradiation for 2.5 h . This indicated the stability of the $\mathrm{Tb}-\mathrm{SA}$ probe solution.


Figure S5 Variation of emission at 545 nm from Tb-SA in (a) MeOH , (b) with $0.4 \%$ of water, (c) with $2 \%$ of water and (d) with $4 \%$ of water. ( $\lambda_{\text {ex }}=305 \mathrm{~nm}$, slitwidths $=2.5 / 5 \mathrm{~nm}$ )

## Tb lifetime measurements in varying $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ content in Methanol



Figure $\mathbf{S 6}$ Change in life time of Tb-SA in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ upon addition of (a) water and (b) $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. ( $\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}}=305$ $\mathrm{nm}, \lambda_{\mathrm{em}}=545 \mathrm{~nm}$; slitwidths $\left.=2.5 / 5 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$.


Figure S7. Plots for the detection of water by Tb-SA in (a) methanol (b) ethanol (c) acetonitrile and (d) tetrahydrofuran in the range of $0.1-5 \%$ of water. [Tb-SA (2.5-0.1 mM), $\lambda_{\text {ex }}=305 \mathrm{~nm} ; \lambda_{\mathrm{em}}=545 \mathrm{~nm}$ ].


Figure S8. Plots for $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o}} / \mathrm{l}$ for $\mathrm{Tb}-\mathrm{SA}$ in MeOH for three data sets with error bars. [Tb-SA ( $2.5-0.1 \mathrm{mM}$ ), $\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}}=305 \mathrm{~nm} ; \lambda_{\mathrm{em}}=545 \mathrm{~nm}$ ].

## Quantification of water in MeOH

For the quantification of water content ( $\mathrm{x} \%$ ) in a MeOH sample ( y mL ), the following procedure was followed. Based on the 'expected' water content in the sample, the volume y can be adjusted in order to have $0-2 \%$ water in the final solution.

1. $\mathrm{TbCl}_{3}(20 \mathrm{mM})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}[600 \boldsymbol{\mu L}]+$ Salicylic acid $(0.4 \mathrm{mM})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}[1200 \boldsymbol{\mu L}]+$ Dry MeOH [3000 $\mu \mathrm{L}]=$ solution $\mathbf{A}\left(\mathrm{I}_{0}\right)$
2. $\mathrm{TbCl}_{3}(20 \mathrm{mM})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}[600 \mu \mathrm{~L}]+$ Salicylic acid $(0.4 \mathrm{mM})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}[1200 \boldsymbol{\mu L}]+$ Dry MeOH [3000 $\mu \mathrm{L}-\mathrm{y}$ ] + MeOH sample [y $\mu \mathrm{L}]=$ solution $B$ (I)
3. Phosphorescence of solutions $A$ and $B$ were recorded to find the value of $I_{0}$ and $I$ respectively. The water content can then be calculated as:

$$
x(\%)=\frac{4800}{y} \times 1.62\left(\frac{I_{0}}{I}-1\right)
$$

## Quantification of water in EtOH

For the quantification of water content ( $\mathrm{x} \%$ ) in a sample of EtOH ( y mL ), the following procedure was followed:

1. $\mathrm{TbCl}_{3}(20 \mathrm{mM})$ in EtOH $[600 \mu \mathrm{~L}]+$ Salicylic acid $(0.4 \mathrm{mM})$ in EtOH $[1200 \mu \mathrm{~L}]+$ Dry EtOH [3000 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ] = Solution C ( I )
2. $\mathrm{TbCl}_{3}(20 \mathrm{mM})$ in EtOH [600 $\left.\mu \mathrm{L}\right]+$ Salicylic acid $(0.4 \mathrm{mM})$ in EtOH [1200 $\left.\mu \mathrm{L}\right]+$ Dry EtOH [3000 $\mu \mathrm{L}-\mathrm{y}]+\mathrm{EtOH}$ sample $[\mathrm{y} \mu \mathrm{L}]=$ Solution D (I)
3. Phosphorescence of solutions $C$ and $D$ were recorded to find the value of $I_{0}$ and $I$ respectively. The water content in EtOH sample can be calculated as:

$$
x(\%)=\frac{4800}{y} \times 1.34\left(\frac{I_{0}}{I}-1\right)
$$

## Quantification of water in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$

For the quantification of water content ( $\mathrm{x} \%$ ) in a sample of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(\mathrm{y} \mathrm{mL})$, following procedure was followed

1. $\mathrm{TbCl}_{3}(20 \mathrm{mM})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}[600 \mu \mathrm{~L}]+$ Salicylic acid ( 0.4 mM ) in MeOH [1200 $\boldsymbol{\mu \mathrm { L }}$ ] + Dry $\mathrm{MeOH}[\mathbf{6 0 0} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathrm{L}]+$ Dry $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}[\mathbf{2 4 0 0} \boldsymbol{\mu L}]=$ Solution $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{I}_{0}$
2. $\mathrm{TbCl}_{3}(20 \mathrm{mM})$ in MeOH [ $\mathbf{6 0 0} \boldsymbol{\mu \mathrm { L }}$ ] + Salicylic acid ( 0.4 mM ) in MeOH [1200 $\boldsymbol{\mu \mathrm { L }}$ ] + Dry $\mathrm{MeOH}[600 \mu \mathrm{~L}]+\operatorname{Dry} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}[2400 \mu \mathrm{~L}-\mathrm{y}]+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ sample $[\mathrm{y} \mu \mathrm{L}]=$ Solution $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{I}$
3. Phosphorescence of solutions E and F were recorded to find the value of $\mathrm{I}_{0}$ and I , respectively. The water content in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ sample can be calculated as:

$$
(x, \text { in } \%)=\frac{4800}{y} \times 1.46\left(\frac{I_{0}}{I}-1\right)
$$

## Quantification of water in THF

For the quantification of water content (x) in a sample of THF (y mL). Following procedure was followed

1. $\mathrm{TbCl}_{3}(20 \mathrm{mM})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}[\mathbf{6 0 0} \boldsymbol{\mu L}]+$ Salicylic acid $(0.4 \mathrm{mM})$ in MeOH [1200 $\boldsymbol{\mu \mathrm { L }}$ ] + Dry $\mathrm{MeOH}[600 \mu \mathrm{~L}]+\mathrm{THF}[2400 \mu \mathrm{~L}]=$ Solution G ( $\mathrm{I}_{0}$ )
2. $\mathrm{TbCl}_{3}(20 \mathrm{mM})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}[600 \mu \mathrm{~L}]+$ Salicylic acid $(0.4 \mathrm{mM})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}[1200 \mu \mathrm{~L}]+$ Dry MeOH [600 $\mu \mathrm{L}]+$ THF $[2400 \mu \mathrm{~L}-\mathrm{y}]+$ THF sample $[\mathrm{y} \mu \mathrm{L}]=$ Solution H (I)
3. Phosphorescence of solutions G and H were recorded to find the value of $\mathrm{I}_{0}$ and I , respectively. The water content in THF sample can be calculated as:

$$
(x, \text { in } \%)=\frac{4800}{y} \times 2.68\left(\frac{I_{0}}{I}-1\right)
$$
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