Check for
updates

An uncertainty principle for spectral projections on rank one symmetric spaces of noncompact type

Pritam Ganguly[1] · Sundaram Thangavelu[1]

Abstract

Let G be a noncompact semisimple Lie group with finite centre. Let $X = G/K$ be the associated Riemannian symmetric space and assume that X is of rank one. The generalized spectral projections associated to the Laplace-Beltrami operator are given by $P_\lambda f = f * \Phi_\lambda$, where Φ_λ are the elementary spherical functions on X. In this paper, we prove an Ingham type uncertainty principle for $P_\lambda f$. Moreover, similar results are obtained in the case of generalized spectral projections associated to Dunkl Laplacian.

Keywords Chernoff's theorem · Riemannian symmetric spaces · Helgason Fourier transform · Dunkl transform · Ingham's theorem · Spectral projections

Mathematics Subject Classification Primary: 43A85 · 43A25 · Secondary: 22E30 · 33C45

1 Introduction

An old paper of Ingham [13] written in 1934 investigates the admissible decay of the Fourier transform of a compactly supported function on $\mathbb{R}$. Since any decay of the form $|\hat{f}(y)| \leq Ce^{-a|y|}, a > 0$ is ruled out, due to the holomorphic extendability of f, Ingham considered a slightly slower decay $|\hat{f}(y)| \leq Ce^{-a|y|\,\theta(|y|)}$ where $\theta : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is a decreasing function vanishing at infinity. He proved that this kind of decay is admissible for a compactly supported function f if and only if $\int_1^\infty \theta(t)t^{-1}dt < \infty$. It follows that under the assumption $\int_1^\infty \theta(t)t^{-1}dt = \infty$, any nontrivial function f for which $|\hat{f}(y)| \leq Ce^{-a|y|\,\theta(|y|)}$ cannot vanish on the complement of any compact set. We can view this as a result on the decay of the generalized spectral projections associated to Δ on $\mathbb{R}$. Indeed, by defining $P_\lambda f(y) = \hat{f}(\lambda)e^{i\lambda y} + \hat{f}(-\lambda)e^{-i\lambda y}$, we see that $P_\lambda f$ are eigenfunctions of Δ with eigenvalues $-\lambda^2$ and the decay $|P_\lambda f(y)| \leq Ce^{-a|\lambda|\theta(|\lambda|)}$ where $\int_1^\infty \theta(t)t^{-1}dt = \infty$ is ruled out if f vanishes

✉ Pritam Ganguly
pitamg@iisc.ac.in

Sundaram Thangavelu
veluma@iisc.ac.in

[1] Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560 012, India

Springer

on the complement of any compact set. We remark that in the above statement we can replace the complement of a compact set by any open set V.

Ingham's theorem has received considerable attention in recent years and analogues have been proved for Fourier series [3] and Fourier transforms on symmetric spaces [4] and nilpotent Lie groups [1, 3]. In this note we would like to recast some of the results as uncertainty principles for generalized spectral projections associated to Laplace-Beltrami operators on Riemannian symmetric spaces. Recall that such a space is of the form $X = G/K$ where G is a semisimple Lie group and K a maximal compact subgroup. When the sectional curvature of the underlying Riemannian manifold is positive, which happens when G is compact, we are in the setting of compact symmetric spaces. In this case, we have already proved a version of Ingham's theorem for the spectral projections for the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_X in [12]. In the same work, we have also treated the spectral projections associated to Hermite and special Hermite operators.

Here we would like to treat the remaining case of noncompact Riemannian symmetric spaces. We first assume that the sectional curvature is negative. The generalized spectral projections $P_\lambda f$ associated to Δ_X are given by convolutions with elementary spherical functions, thus $P_\lambda f = f * \Phi_\lambda$ where Φ_λ are the elementary bounded spherical functions on X. Under the assumption that symmetric space X is of rank one, we prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1 *Let θ be a positive decreasing function defined on $[0, \infty)$ that vanishes at infinity. Assume that $\int_1^\infty \theta(t)\, t^{-1} dt = \infty$. Let $X = G/K$ be a rank one symmetric space of noncompact type. Let $f \in L^1(X)$ be a nontrivial function vanishing on an open set V. Then the estimate $\sup_{x \in V} |f * \Phi_\lambda(x)| \leq C e^{-\lambda \theta(\lambda)}$ cannot hold uniformly for all λ. Moreover, if V contains the identity, then the uniform estimate $\sup_{x \in V^c} |f * \Phi_\lambda(x)| \leq C e^{-\lambda \theta(\lambda)}$, is also not possible.*

We show that in order to prove the first part of the above theorem, it is enough to prove a version of Ingham's theorem for Jacobi transform. As in the case of compact symmetric spaces (see [12]) this is achieved by considering the spherical means on the symmetric spaces. For the second part of the theorem, we need a refined version of Ingham's theorem for the Helgason Fourier transform on X.

As an immediate consequence of the above theorem, the usual version of Ingham's theorem where the decay condition is assumed on the Fourier transform side, can be obtained. In order to describe that we need some more notations. For $f \in L^1(X)$, the Helgason Fourier transform of f defined on $\mathfrak{a}^* \times K/M$, is denoted by $\tilde{f}$. The generalized spectral projections can be represented in terms of $\tilde{f}$ as follows:

$$f * \Phi_\lambda(x) = \int_{K/M} e^{(-i\lambda + \rho)A(x,b)} \tilde{f}(\lambda, b) \mathrm{d}b.$$

For the unexplained notations and more details we refer the reader to Section 3. Now from the above formula it is easy to see that $|\tilde{f}(\lambda, b)| \leq C e^{-|\lambda|\theta(|\lambda|)}$, $\forall \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ implies that $|f * \Phi_\lambda(x)| \leq C e^{-\lambda \theta(\lambda)}$, $\lambda > 0$ whence we have the following result:

Corollary 1.2 *Let θ be a positive decreasing function defined on $[0, \infty)$ that vanishes at infinity. Let $X = G/K$ be a rank one symmetric space of noncompact type. Let $f \in L^1(X)$ be a nontrivial function vanishing on an open set V whose Helgason Fourier transform satisfies*

$$|\tilde{f}(\lambda, b)| \le Ce^{-|\lambda|\theta(|\lambda|)}, \ \forall \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^* \text{ and } \forall b \in K/M.$$

If $\int_1^\infty \theta(t)\, t^{-1}\mathrm{d}t = \infty$, then $f = 0$.

We remark that the above result has been discussed in [4, Theorem 4.2] for noncompact Riemannian symmetric spaces of arbitrary rank.

We now turn our attention to the case of flat symmetric spaces. Let G be a noncompact semisimple Lie group with finite centre with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Let K be a maximal compact subgroup of G and let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ be the corresponding Cartan decomposition. The group K acts on $\mathfrak{p}$ via the adjoint representation. Forming the semidirect product $G_0 = K \ltimes \mathfrak{p}$, the flat symmetric space is defined as G_0/K which can be identified with $\mathfrak{p}$. Here the Cartan motion group G_0 is of general rank. For more on flat symmetric spaces and its connection with G/K we refer to Orsted-Ben Said [2]. Inside $\mathfrak{p}$ we choose a maximal abelian subspace $\mathfrak{a}$. We denote by Σ the set of restricted roots with multiplicities $m_\alpha, \alpha \in \Sigma$ and consider it to be a subset of $\mathfrak{a}$ by identifying $\mathfrak{a}$ with $\mathfrak{a}^*$ via the Killing form B. We let W stand for the Weyl group, acting on $\mathfrak{a}$. It turns out that W is an example of a finite reflection group associated to a root system R and the function κ defined by $\kappa_\alpha = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\beta \in \Sigma \cap \mathbb{R}\alpha} m_\beta$ is a multiplicity function. Thus we are in the setting of Dunkl operators introduced and studied by Dunkl [10].

Associated to the root system R and the multiplicity function κ we have the Dunkl transform $\mathcal{F}_\kappa$ and the Dunkl Laplacian Δ_κ. The spherical Fourier transform of K-invariant functions on G_0/K are given by integrating against spherical functions which are expressible in terms of the Dunkl exponential $E_\kappa(ix, \xi)$. The action of the radial part of the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ acting on a K-invariant function f on G_0/K is given by $\Delta_\kappa f_\mathfrak{a}$ where $f_\mathfrak{a}$ is the restriction of f to $\mathfrak{a}$, see Remarks 4.27 (2) in de Jeu [14]. Thus the following result includes an Ingham's theorem for the generalized spectral projections associated to Δ on G_0/K.

Let Δ_κ be the Dunkl Laplacian associated to a general root system R and a multiplicity function κ. Let $P_\lambda f = f *_\kappa \varphi_{\kappa,\lambda}, \lambda > 0$ be the generalized spectral projections associated to Δ_κ. In the above, $\varphi_{\kappa,\lambda}$ are Bessel functions of certain order and $*_\kappa$ stands for the Dunkl convolution. We refer to Sect. 4 for more details on all the unexplained concepts and terms related to Dunkl analysis. We prove the following:

Theorem 1.3 *Let θ be a positive decreasing function defined on $[0, \infty)$ that vanishes at infinity. Assume that $\int_1^\infty \theta(t)\, t^{-1}\mathrm{d}t = \infty$. Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, h_\kappa^2 \mathrm{d}x)$ be a nontrivial function vanishing on an open set V. Then the estimate $\sup_{x \in V} |f *_\kappa \varphi_{\kappa,\lambda}(x)| \le Ce^{-\lambda\theta(\lambda)}$ cannot hold uniformly for all λ for the generalized spectral projections associated to the Dunkl Laplacian. Moreover, if V contains the origin, then the uniform estimate $\sup_{x \in V^c} |f *_\kappa \varphi_{\kappa,\lambda}(x)| \le Ce^{-\lambda\theta(\lambda)}$ is also not possible.*

As in the case of the symmetric space G/K the above theorem will be proved by making use of an Ingham's theorem for the Hankel transform. This reduction is facilitated by considering the spherical means $f *_\kappa \mu_r(x)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ where μ_r is the normalised surface measure on the sphere $|x| = r$. As in the case of standard spherical means, which corresponds to $\kappa = 0$ these are Dunkl multipliers given by the Bessel functions $\varphi_\lambda(r)$ which explains the connection with the Hankel transform, see Section 4.

Also similar to the symmetric space case, we have a version of Ingham's theorem for Dunkl transform which is an easy consequence of the above theorem.

Corollary 1.4 *Let θ be a positive decreasing function defined on $[0, \infty)$ that vanishes at infinity. Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, h_\kappa^2(x)\mathrm{d}x)$ be such that its Dunkl transform $\mathcal{F}_\kappa f$ satisfies*

$$|\mathcal{F}_\kappa f(\xi)| \leq Ce^{-|\xi|\theta(|\xi|)}, \ \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

If f vanishes on a nonempty open set and $\int_1^\infty \theta(t)\, t^{-1}\mathrm{d}t = \infty$, then $f = 0$.

Using a different approach, recently this result has been proved in [7]. Moreover, we remark that putting $\kappa = 0$ in the above result we can get a version of Ingham's theorem for the Fourier transform on $\mathbb{R}^n$ which was proved in [3] using a several variable version of the classical Denjoy-Carleman theorem for the quasi-analytic functions. Consequently, our result in the paper provides a new and simple proof of Ingham type uncertainty principle for the Fourier transform on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

We conclude this introduction by briefly describing the organisation of the paper. In Sect. 2, we prove a version of Chernoff's theorem for Bessel and Jacobi operators. Using this, in Sect. 3, we prove a refined version of Ingham's theorem for the Helgason Fourier transform on rank one Riemannian symmetric spaces of noncompact type. Making use of the spherical means, we then prove an Ingham type uncertainty principle for the generalized spectral projections associated to the Laplace-Beltrami operator. Finally, in Sect. 4, we prove similar Ingham type results for the generalized spectral projections associated to Dunkl Laplacian.

2 Chernoff's theorem for Bessel and Jacobi operators

In 1975, P. R. Chernoff proved an L^2 version of classical Denjoy-Carleman theorem which deals with quasi analytic functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$. In his paper [9], he used iterates of the Laplacian to prove a sufficient condition for a smooth function to be quasi analytic (See [9, Theorem 6.1]). Because of its usefulness in proving Ingham type uncertainty theorems, study of this in different settings has received considerable attention in recent years. See the works [1, 7, 12] in this regard. Also it is worth pointing out that the full power of Chernoff's theorem is not required to prove Ingham type results. As can be seen from the above works, only a weaker version is sufficient for this purpose.

Our aim in this section is to prove a weaker version Chernoff's theorem for a differential operator L under certain assumptions on its eigenfunction expansion. Later in this section we will see that typical examples of L includes Bessel and Jacobi operators. Suppose w and $\tilde{w}$ be positive functions on $\mathbb{R}^+$ and $\mathbb{R}$ respectively. We assume that $\tilde{w}$ is an even function. Let $T : L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, w(r)\mathrm{d}r) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}, \tilde{w}(\lambda)\mathrm{d}\lambda)$ be a transformation defined by

$$Tf(\lambda) := \int_0^\infty f(r)\psi_\lambda(r)w(r)\mathrm{d}r,$$

where ψ_λ's are bounded eigenfunctions (not necessarily in L^2) of the operator L with eigenvalues $(\lambda^2 + \delta^2)$ for some $\delta > 0$, i.e., $L\psi_\lambda = (\lambda^2 + \delta^2)\psi_\lambda$. We also assume that ψ_λ are normalised so that $\psi_\lambda(0) = 1$ and $\psi_\lambda(r) = \psi_{-\lambda}(r)$. As a matter of fact, $Tf(\lambda)$ is an even function of λ. We further assume that there are following versions of inversion and Plancherel formulas for this transform. Let the inversion formula which is assumed to be held for a suitable dense class of functions, read as

$$f(r) = c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Tf(\lambda)\psi_\lambda(r)\tilde{w}(\lambda)d\lambda$$

for some constant $c > 0$. The Plancherel formula for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, w(r)dr)$ is assumed to be

$$\|f\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, w(r)dr)} = c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |Tf(\lambda)|^2 \tilde{w}(\lambda)d\lambda.$$

Under all the assumptions described above, on the eigenfunction expansion of L, we prove the following version of Chernoff's theorem for L.

Theorem 2.1 *Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, w(r)dr)$ be such that $L^m f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, w(r)dr)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and satisfies the Carleman condition $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \|L^m f\|_2^{-1/(2m)} = \infty$. Assume that $\tilde{w}$ has at most polynomial growth. Then f cannot vanish in a neighbourhood of 0 unless it is identically zero.*

We remark that this theorem is actually a continuous version of Theorem 2.2 in [12] . In order to prove this theorem, we need the following result due to de Jeu [15].

Theorem 2.2 *Let μ be a finite positive Borel measure on $\mathbb{R}$ for which all the moments $M(m) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^m d\mu$ are finite. If we further assume that the moments satisfy the Carleman condition $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} M(2m)^{-1/2m} = \infty$, then polynomials are dense in $L^p(\mathbb{R}, d\mu), 1 \leq p < \infty$.*

As remarked in [3] (see Remark 3.6), if the measure μ is even, then even polynomials are dense in $L_e^p(\mathbb{R}, d\mu)$, the subspace of even functions in $L^p(\mathbb{R}, d\mu)$. We will make use of this observation in the following proof. We remark that the proof given below is already present in [3] but for the sake of convenience of the reader we reproduce it here.

Proof of Theorem 2.1 Let f be as in the statement of the theorem. We consider the following measure μ_f defined on the Borel subsets of $\mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mu_f(E) = \int_E |Tf(\lambda)|\tilde{w}(\lambda)d\lambda.$$

Hence it follows that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^{2m} d\mu_f(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda^{2m}|Tf(\lambda)|\tilde{w}(\lambda)d\lambda \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\lambda^2 + \delta^2)^m |Tf(\lambda)|\tilde{w}(\lambda)d\lambda.$$

But by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^{2m} d\mu_f(t) \leq C_j \left(\int_0^{\infty} (\lambda^2 + \delta^2)^{2(m+j)} |Tf(\lambda)|^2 \tilde{w}(\lambda)d\lambda \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = C_j \|L^{(m+j)}f\|_2$$

where $C_j^2 = \int_0^{\infty} (\lambda^2 + \delta^2)^{-2j} \tilde{w}(\lambda)d\lambda$. Note that C_j is finite for large enough j in view of our assumption that $\tilde{w}(\lambda)$ has polynomial growth in λ. Now if we denote the mth order moment of the measure μ_f by $M(m)$, then from the above observations it follows that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} M(2m)^{-1/2m} \geq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} C_j^{-1/2m} \|L^{(m+j)}f\|_2^{-1/2m} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} C_j^{-1/2m} \left(\|L^{2(m+j)}f\|_2^{-1/2(m+j)} \right)^{\frac{m+j}{m}}.$$

Hence from the hypothesis $\sum_{m=1} \|L^m f\|_2^{-\frac{1}{2m}} = \infty$, combined with Lemma 3.3 in [4] it follows that $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} M(2m)^{-1/2m} = \infty$. So, by Theorem 2.2, and the remark following it, even polynomials are dense in $L_e^1(\mathbb{R}, d\mu_f)$. Since $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, w(r)dr)$, by Plancherel $Tf \in L_e^2(\mathbb{R}, \tilde{w}(\lambda)d\lambda)$. Clearly the function $\varphi(\lambda) = Tf(\lambda)$ is even and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\varphi(\lambda)| d\mu_f(\lambda) = \int_0^{\infty} |Tf(\lambda)|^2 \tilde{w}(\lambda) d\lambda < \infty,$$

proving that $\varphi \in L_e^1(\mathbb{R}, d\mu_f)$. Now given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an even polynomial q such that

$$\int_0^{\infty} |\overline{Tf(\lambda)} - q(\lambda)||Tf(\lambda)|\tilde{w}(\lambda) d\lambda < \varepsilon. \tag{2.1}$$

But notice that $|Tf(\lambda)|^2 = (\overline{Tf(\lambda)} - q(\lambda))Tf(\lambda) + q(\lambda)Tf(\lambda)$. So from the Plancherel formula, we see that

$$\|f\|_2^2 \le \int_0^{\infty} |\overline{Tf(\lambda)} - q(\lambda)||Tf(\lambda)|\tilde{w}(\lambda) d\lambda + \int_0^{\infty} q(\lambda)Tf(\lambda)\tilde{w}(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

Now under the assumption that f vanishes on $(0, \eta)$, for some $\eta > 0$, it follows that, for $0 < r < \eta$ and for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$L^m f(r) = c \int_0^{\infty} (\lambda^2 + \delta^2)^m Tf(\lambda)\psi_\lambda(r)\tilde{w}(\lambda) d\lambda = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Since q is an even polynomial, we can find another polynomial p such that $p(\lambda^2 + \delta^2) = q(\lambda)$. Therefore, 2.2 along with the fact that $\psi_\lambda(0) = 1$ gives

$$\int_0^{\infty} q(\lambda) Tf(\lambda)\tilde{w}(\lambda) d\lambda = \lim_{r \to 0} \int_0^{\infty} p(\lambda^2 + \delta^2) Tf(\lambda)\psi_\lambda(r)\tilde{w}(\lambda) d\lambda = 0$$

which together with 2.1 proves that $\|f\|_2^2 < \varepsilon$. As this is true for every $\varepsilon > 0$, it follows that $f = 0$ completing the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Remark 2.3 A close examination of the above proof shows that Theorem 1.2 is valid under the weaker assumption that $\lim_{r \to 0} L^m f(r) = 0$ for all m.

In the following subsections, we discuss two very important examples of the operator L. We will be using them later in this article.

2.1 Bessel differential operator-Hankel transform

Let $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$. Suppose Δ_α stands for the operator

$$\Delta_\alpha f(r) = r^{-(2\alpha+1)} \frac{d}{dr}\left(r^{2\alpha+1} \frac{d}{dr} f(r)\right).$$

Let $J_\alpha(t)$ stand for the Bessel function of order α defined by

$$J_\alpha(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k 2^{-\alpha-2k} t^{\alpha+2k}}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k+\alpha+1)}.$$

It is well known that for a suitable choice of c_α the functions $\psi_\lambda^\alpha(r) = c_\alpha J_\alpha(\lambda r)(\lambda r)^{-\alpha}$ are solutions of the equation

$$(\Delta_\alpha + \lambda^2)f(r) = 0, \ f(0) = 1.$$

It is convenient to subtract a positive constant from Δ_α and work with that. We define $\Delta_{\alpha,a} := \Delta_\alpha - a^2$ where $a \neq 0$. Clearly ψ_λ^α are eigenfunctions of $\Delta_{\alpha,a}$ with eigenvalue $-(\lambda^2 + a^2)$ and they are even as a function of λ. Also one can easily check that $\Delta_{\alpha,a}$ is self-adjoint on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, r^{2\alpha+1}\,dr)$. The Hankel transform of order α for a suitable function f is defined as

$$\mathcal{H}^{(\alpha)}f(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty f(r)\psi_\lambda^\alpha(r)r^{2\alpha+1}dr.$$

It is easy to see from the definition of Bessel function that $\psi_{-\lambda}^\alpha(r) = \psi_\lambda^\alpha(r)$ and hence $\mathcal{H}^{(\alpha)}f(\lambda)$ is an even function of λ. We remark that Dunkl transform of a radial function on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is given by Hankel transform of order α for some α. For more details see Section 4. Now using the self-adjointness of the operator under consideration, we have

$$\mathcal{H}^{(\alpha)}(\Delta_{\alpha,a}f)(\lambda) = -(\lambda^2 + a^2)\mathcal{H}^{(\alpha)}f(\lambda).$$

The inversion and Plancherel formula for the Hankel transform are described in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4 *Assume that $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$. We have the following inversion and Plancherel theorem for the Hankel transform.*

(1) *(Inversion) If $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, then*

$$f(r) = \int_0^\infty \mathcal{H}^{(\alpha)}f(\lambda)\psi_\lambda^\alpha(r)\lambda^{2\alpha+1}d\lambda.$$

(2) *(Plancherel) The map $f \to \mathcal{H}^{(\alpha)}f$ extends as an isometry of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, r^{2\alpha+1}dr)$ onto $L_e^2(\mathbb{R}, |\lambda|^{2\alpha+1}d\lambda)$.*

With these, finally we are ready to give a version of Chernoff's theorem for $L = -\Delta_{\alpha,a}$. In fact, the proof is already done in Theorem 2.1. Note that here $w(r) = r^{2\alpha+1}$ and $\tilde{w}(\lambda) = |\lambda|^{2\alpha+1}$ both have polynomial growth and $\Delta_{\alpha,a}$ satisfies all the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1.So, we have

Theorem 2.5 *Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, r^{2\alpha+1}dr)$ be such that $\Delta_{\alpha,a}^m f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, r^{2\alpha+1}dr)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and satisfies the Carleman condition $\sum_{m=1}^\infty \|\Delta_{\alpha,a}^m f\|_2^{-1/(2m)} = \infty$. Then f cannot vanish in a neighbourhood of 0 unless it is identically zero.*

2.2 Jacobi operators and Jacobi transforms

We briefly discuss some results from Jacobi analysis here. For more details we refer the reader to Koornwinder [20].

Let $\alpha, \beta, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $-\alpha \notin \mathbb{N}$. The Jacobi functions $\varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ of type (α, β) are solutions of the initial value problem

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,\beta} + \lambda^2 + \varrho^2)\varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = 0, \quad \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(0) = 1$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,\beta}$ is the Jacobi operator defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,\beta} := \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + ((2\alpha + 1)\coth r + (2\beta + 1)\tanh r)\frac{d}{dr}$$

and $\varrho = \alpha + \beta + 1$. Thus Jacobi functions $\varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ are eigenfunctions of $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,\beta}$ with eigenvalues $-(\lambda^2 + \varrho^2)$. These are even functions on $\mathbb{R}$ and are expressible in terms of hypergeometric functions. For certain values of the parameters (α, β) these functions arise naturally as spherical functions on Riemannian symmetric spaces of noncompact type. We shall see this later.

The Jacobi transform of a suitable function f on $\mathbb{R}^+$ is defined as

$$\tilde{f}(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty f(r)\varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(r)\tilde{w}_{\alpha,\beta}(r)dr$$

where the weight function $\tilde{w}_{\alpha,\beta}(r) = (2\sinh r)^{2\alpha+1}(2\cosh r)^{2\beta+1}$. This is also called the Fourier-Jacobi transform of type (α, β). Since $\varphi_{-\lambda}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(r) = \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(r)$, $\tilde{f}(\lambda)$ is an even function of λ. It can be checked that the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,\beta}$ is self-adjoint on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \tilde{w}_{\alpha,\beta}(r)dr)$ and that

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,\beta}f}(\lambda) = -(\lambda^2 + \varrho^2)\tilde{f}(\lambda).$$

Under certain assumptions on α and β the inversion and Plancherel formula for this transform take nice forms as described below.

Theorem 2.6 *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha > -1$ and $|\beta| \leq \alpha + 1$. Suppose $c_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda)$ denotes the Harish-Chandra c-function defined by*

$$c_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda) = \frac{2^{\varrho-i\lambda}\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(i\lambda)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(i\lambda + \varrho)\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(i\lambda + \alpha - \beta + 1)\right)}$$

(1) *(Inversion) For $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ which is even we have*

$$f(r) = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^\infty \tilde{f}(\lambda)\varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(r)|c_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda)|^{-2}d\lambda$$

(2) *(Plancherel) For $f, g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ which are even, the following holds*

$$\int_0^\infty f(r)\overline{g(r)}\tilde{w}_{\alpha,\beta}(r)dr = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^\infty \tilde{f}(\lambda)\overline{\tilde{g}(\lambda)}|c_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda)|^{-2}d\lambda.$$

The mapping $f \mapsto \tilde{f}$ extends as an isometry from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \tilde{w}_{\alpha,\beta}(r)dr)$ onto $L_e^2(\mathbb{R}, |c_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda)|^{-2}d\lambda)$.

Notice that $L = -\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,\beta}$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1 with $w(r) = \tilde{w}_{\alpha,\beta}(r)$. Also the weight $\tilde{w}(\lambda) = |c_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda)|^{-2}$ is even and has polynomial growth (e.g., see [21].). Hence we have the following version of Chernoff's theorem for the Jacobi operator:

Theorem 2.7 *Let* $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha > -1$ *and* $|\beta| \le \alpha + 1$. *Suppose* $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \tilde{w}_{\alpha,\beta}(r)\mathrm{d}r)$ *is such that* $\mathcal{L}^m_{\alpha,\beta} f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \tilde{w}_{\alpha,\beta}(r)\mathrm{d}r)$ *for all* $m \in \mathbb{N}$ *and satisfies the Carleman condition* $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \|\mathcal{L}^m_{\alpha,\beta} f\|_2^{-1/(2m)} = \infty$. *Then* f *cannot vanish in a neighbourhood of* 0 *unless it is identically zero.*

In the following sections we use the above results to prove Ingham type uncertainty principles for spectral projections associated to Laplace-Beltrami operator and Dunkl-Laplacian.

3 Ingham's theorem for rank one symmetric spaces

3.1 Preliminaries on Riemannian symmetric spaces of non-compact type

In this section we briefly describe the harmonic analysis on rank one Riemannian symmetric spaces of noncompact type. General references for this section are the books of Helgason [18] and [19].

Let G be a connected, noncompact semisimple Lie group with finite centre and K be the maximal compact subgroup of G. Suppose $X = G/K$ is the associated Riemannian symmetric space. Assume that X is of rank one. In view of the Iwasawa decomposition $G = NAK$ with N nilpotent and A one-dimensional, every $g \in G$ can be expressed uniquely as $g = n(g)\, expA(g)k(g)$ where $A(g)$ belongs to the Lie algebra of A. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{k}$ denote the Lie algebra of G and K respectively. Then the corresponding Cartan decomposition reads as $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be the maximal abelian subspace of $\mathfrak{p}$. Since G is of rank one, the dimension of $\mathfrak{a}$ is one. It is well known that the nonzero roots of the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ are given by either $\{\pm\gamma\}$ or $\{\pm\gamma, \pm 2\gamma\}$ where γ is a positive root with respect to a positive weyl chamber. Let $\rho := (m_\gamma + m_{2\gamma})/2$ where m_γ and $m_{2\gamma}$ denote the multiplicities of the roots γ and 2γ respectively. The Haar measure $\mathrm{d}g$ on G is given by

$$\int_G f(g)\mathrm{d}g = \int_K \int_A \int_N f(ka_t n)e^{2\rho t}\mathrm{d}k\mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}n.$$

The measure dx on X is induced from the Haar measure $\mathrm{d}g$ via the relation

$$\int_G f(gK)\mathrm{d}g = \int_X f(x)\mathrm{d}x.$$

Let o denote the identity eK in $X = G/K$ where e is the identity element of the group G. It is known that the tangent space of X at the point o can be identified with $\mathfrak{p}$. The restriction of the Killing form $\mathfrak{B}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathfrak{p}$ induces a G-invariant Riemannian metric on X which is denoted by d_X. Using this metric we define the open ball of radius l and centered at gK by

$$B(gK, l) = \{hK : h \in G, \mathrm{d}_X(gK, hK) < l\}.$$

Suppose M denotes the centralizer of A in K. Then the function $A : X \times K/M \to \mathfrak{a}$ defined by $A(gK, kM) = A(k^{-1}g)$ is right K-invariant in g and right M-invariant in K. In what follows we denote the elements of X and K/M by x and b respectively. Let $\mathfrak{a}^*$ denote the dual of $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{a}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$ be its complexification. Here in our case $\mathfrak{a}^*$ and $\mathfrak{a}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$ can be identified with $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ respectively. For each $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $b \in K/M$, the function $x \to e^{(i\lambda + \rho)A(x,b)}$ is a joint

eigenfunction of all invariant differential operators on X. For $f \in C_c^\infty(X)$, its Helgason Fourier transform is a function $\widetilde{f}$ on $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \times K/M$ defined by

$$\widetilde{f}(\lambda, b) = \int_X f(x) e^{(-i\lambda + \rho)A(x,b)} dx, \ \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*, \ b \in K/M.$$

Moreover, we know that if $f \in L^1(X)$ then $\widetilde{f}(., b)$ is a continuous function on $\mathfrak{a}^*$ which extends holomorphically to a domain containing $\mathfrak{a}^*$. The inversion formula for $f \in C_c^\infty(X)$ says that

$$f(x) = c_X \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{K/M} \widetilde{f}(\lambda, b) e^{(i\lambda + \rho)A(x,b)} |c(\lambda)|^{-2} db d\lambda$$

where $d\lambda$ stands for usual Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$ (i.e., $\mathfrak{a}^*$), db is the normalised measure on K/M and $c(\lambda)$ is the Harish Chandra c-function. The constant c_X appearing in the above formula is explicit and depends on the symmetric space X (See e.g., [19]). Also for $f \in L^1(X)$ with $\widetilde{f} \in L^1(\mathfrak{a}^* \times K/M, |c(\lambda)|^{-2} db d\lambda)$, the above inversion formula holds for a.e. $x \in X$. Furthermore, the mapping $f \to \widetilde{f}$ extends as an isometry of $L^2(X)$ onto $L^2(\mathfrak{a}_+^* \times K/M, |c(\lambda)|^{-2} d\lambda db)$ which is known as the Plancherel theorem for the Helgason Fourier transform.

3.2 Ingham's theorem for the Helgason Fourier transform

In this subsection we prove a version of Ingham's theorem for the Helgason Fourier transform. In order to state the result we need to consider certain irreducible representations of K with M-fixed vectors. Suppose $\widehat{K}_0$ denotes the set of all irreducible unitary representations of K with M fixed vectors. Let $\delta \in \widehat{K}_0$ and V_δ be the finite dimensional vectors space on which δ is realised. We know that V_δ contains a unique normalised M-fixed vector (See Kostant [22]). Let $v_1 \in V_\delta$ be the M-fixed vector in V_δ. Consider an orthonormal basis $\{v_1, v_2, ..., v_{d_\delta}\}$ for V_δ with v_1 being the unique M-fixed vector. For $\delta \in \widehat{K}_0$ and $1 \le j \le d_\delta$, we define

$$Y_{\delta, j}(kM) = (v_j, \delta(k)v_1), \ kM \in K/M.$$

It is clear that $Y_{\delta,1}(eK) = 1$ and $Y_{\delta,1}$ is M- invariant.

Proposition 3.1 ([19]) *The set* $\{Y_{\delta, j} : 1 \le j \le d_\delta, \delta \in \widehat{K}_0\}$ *forms an orthonormal basis for* $L^2(K/M)$.

We can get an explicit realisation of $\widehat{K}_0$ by identifying K/M with the unit sphere in $\mathfrak{p}$. Denoting $\mathcal{H}^m$ to be the space of homogeneous harmonic polynomials of degree m restricted to the unit sphere, we have the following spherical harmonic decomposition

$$L^2(K/M) = \oplus_{m=0}^\infty \mathcal{H}^m.$$

It is known that each V_δ is contained in some $\mathcal{H}^m$ and hence the functions $Y_{\delta, j}$ can be identified with spherical harmonics.

Given $\delta \in \widehat{K}_0$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ (i.e., $\mathbb{C}$ in our case) we consider the spherical functions of type δ defined by

$$\Phi_{\lambda,\delta}(x) := \int_K e^{(i\lambda+\rho)A(x,kM)} Y_{\delta,1}(kM) dk.$$

These are eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_X with eigenvalue $-(\lambda^2 + \rho^2)$. When δ is the unit representation, $Y_{\delta,1} = 1$. In this case $\Phi_{\lambda,\delta}$ is called spherical function, denoted by Φ_λ. More precisely,

$$\Phi_\lambda(x) = \int_K e^{(i\lambda+\rho)A(x,kM)} dk.$$

Note that these functions are K-biinvariant. The spherical functions can be expressed in terms of Jacobi functions. In fact, if $x = gK$ and $g = k a_r k'$ (polar decomposition), $\Phi_{\lambda,\delta}(x) = \Phi_{\lambda,\delta}(a_r)$. Suppose

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(m_\gamma + m_{2\gamma} - 1), \ \beta = \frac{1}{2}(m_{2\gamma} - 1).$$

For each $\delta \in \widehat{K}_0$ there exists a pair of integers (p, q) such that

$$\Phi_{\lambda,\delta}(x) = Q_\delta(i\lambda + \rho)(\alpha + 1)_p^{-1}(\sinh r)^p (\cosh r)^q \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha+p,\beta+q)}(r) \tag{3.1}$$

where $\varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha+p,\beta+q)}$ are the Jacobi functions of type $(\alpha + p, \beta + q)$ and Q_δ are the Kostant polynomials given by

$$Q_\delta(i\lambda + \rho) = \left(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta + 1 + i\lambda)\right)_{(p+q)/2} \left(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha - \beta + 1 + i\lambda)\right)_{(p-q)/2}.$$

In the above we have used the notation $(z)_m = z(z + 1)(z + 2)...(z + m - 1)$. We also require the following result proved in Helgason [19]

Proposition 3.2 *Let $\delta \in \widehat{K}_0$ and $1 \leq j \leq d_\delta$. Then we have*

$$\int_K e^{(i\lambda+\rho)A(x,k'M)} Y_{\delta,j}(k'M) dk' = Y_{\delta,j}(kM)\Phi_{\lambda,\delta}(a_r), \ x = ka_r \in X. \tag{3.2}$$

We are now ready to state and prove our version of Ingham's theorem. In order to do so, given a suitable function f on X we consider the function

$$\tilde{F}_{\delta,j}(\lambda) := Q_\delta(i\lambda + \rho)^{-1} \int_K \widetilde{f}(\lambda, kM) Y_{\delta,j}(kM) dk$$

where $\delta \in \widehat{K}_0$ and Q_δ are as above. The following result is the analogue of Theorem 5.1 in [24] proved in the context of Hardy's theorem.

Theorem 3.3 *Let $f \in L^1(X)$ be such that f vanishes on an open neighbourhood of the identity V. Suppose for each $\delta \in \widehat{K}_0$ and $1 \leq j \leq d_\delta$ the following estimate holds*

$$\left|\tilde{F}_{\delta,j}(\lambda)\right| \leq C_{\delta,j} e^{-\lambda\theta(\lambda)}, \ \lambda > 0 \tag{3.3}$$

where θ is a positive decreasing function on $[0, \infty)$ which vanishes at infinity. Then, if $\int_1^\infty \theta(t)t^{-1}dt = \infty$, f is identically zero.

Proof Without loss of generality, we may assume that f vanishes on an open ball $B(o, l)$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote

$$F_{\delta,j}(\lambda) = \int_K \widetilde{f}(\lambda, kM) Y_{\delta,j}(kM) dk.$$

Using the definition of Helgason Fourier transform, we obtain

$$F_{\delta,j}(\lambda) = \int_K \int_{G/K} f(x) e^{(-i\lambda+\rho)A(x,kM)} Y_{\delta,j}(kM) dx dk. \tag{3.4}$$

So an application of Fubini along with the formula stated in the Proposition 3.2 reduces 3.4 to

$$F_{\delta,j}(\lambda) = \int_{G/K} f(x) Y_{\delta,j}(kM) \Phi_{\lambda,\delta}(a_r) dx. \tag{3.5}$$

Now we define

$$f_{\delta,j}(x) = \int_K f(k'x) Y_{\delta,j}(k'M) dk', \ x \in X.$$

But since f is right-K-invariant, it follows that $f_{\delta,j}$ is a K-biinvariant function on G. Moreover, note that given $x = gK \in B(o, l)$, for any $k' \in K$, using the G-invariance of d_X we have $d_X(o, k'x) = d_X(eK, k'gK) = d_X(o, gK) < l$ which shows that $B(o, l)$ is left K-invariant, proving that $f_{\delta,j}$ also vanishes on $B(o, l)$. Now writing $x = ka_r$ and making little abuse of notation we denote

$$f_{\delta,j}(r) = \int_K f(k'a_r) Y_{\delta,j}(k'M) dk'.$$

It follows that $f_{\delta,j}(r)$ vanishes on a neighbourhood of 0. Using this notations, integrating the RHS of 3.5 in polar coordinates, we have

$$F_{\delta,j}(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty f_{\delta,j}(r) \Phi_{\lambda,\delta}(a_r) \tilde{w}_{\alpha,\beta}(r) dr \tag{3.6}$$

where recall that the weight $\tilde{w}_{\alpha,\beta}$ is given by $\tilde{w}_{\alpha,\beta}(r) = (2 \sinh r)^{2\alpha+1} (2 \cosh r)^{2\beta+1}$. Now as mentioned above, $\Phi_{\lambda,\delta}$'s are known explicitly in terms of Jacobi functions :

$$\Phi_{\lambda,\delta}(a_r) = Q_\delta(i\lambda + \rho)(\alpha + 1)_p^{-1}(\sinh r)^p(\cosh r)^q \varphi_\lambda^{\alpha+p,\beta+q}(r)$$

for some integers p and q. Now recalling the definition of $\tilde{w}_{\alpha,\beta}$ and writing

$$\widetilde{f}_{\delta,j}(r) = \frac{4^{-(p+q)}}{(\alpha + 1)_p} f_{\delta,j}(r)(\sinh r)^{-p}(\cosh r)^{-q}$$

from 3.6 we have

$$\widetilde{F}_{\delta,j}(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty \widetilde{f}_{\delta,j}(r) \varphi_\lambda^{\alpha+p,\beta+q}(r) \tilde{w}_{\alpha+p,\beta+q}(r) dr \tag{3.7}$$

where $\widetilde{F}_{\delta,j}(\lambda) = Q_\delta(i\lambda + \rho)^{-1}F_{\delta,j}(\lambda)$. Hence it is clear that $\widetilde{F}_{\delta,j}(\lambda)$ represents the Jacobi transform of type $(\alpha + p, \beta + q)$ of the function $\widetilde{f}_{\delta,j}$. Hence in view of the inversion formula for the Jacobi transform we get

$$\widetilde{f}_{\delta,j}(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^\infty \widetilde{F}_{\delta,j}(\lambda)\varphi_\lambda^{\alpha+p,\beta+q}(r)|c_{\alpha+p,\beta+q}(\lambda)|^{-2}d\lambda.$$

Now considering the Jacobi operator $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha+p,\beta+q}$ with parameters $\alpha + p, \beta + q$, from the Plancherel formula we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{L}_{\alpha+p,\beta+q}^m \widetilde{f}_{\delta,j}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+,\tilde{w}_{\alpha+p,\beta+q}(r)dr)}^2 = C\int_0^\infty (\lambda^2 + d^2)^{2m}|\widetilde{F}_{\delta,j}(\lambda)|^2|c_{\alpha+p,\beta+q}(\lambda)|^{-2}d\lambda$$

where $d = \alpha + \beta + p + q + 1$. But from the hypothesis we have

$$|\widetilde{F}_{\delta,j}(\lambda)| \le C_{\delta,j}e^{-\lambda\theta(\lambda)}, \ \lambda > 0.$$

Hence we have

$$\|\mathcal{L}_{\alpha+p,\beta+q}^m \widetilde{f}_{\delta,j}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+,\tilde{w}_{\alpha+p,\beta+q}(r)dr)}^2 \le C_{\delta,j}\int_0^\infty (\lambda^2 + d^2)^{2m}e^{-2\lambda\theta(\lambda)}|c_{\alpha+p,\beta+q}(\lambda)|^{-2}d\lambda. \quad (3.8)$$

Now under the assumption that $\theta(\lambda) \ge 2\lambda^{-1/2}$ for $\lambda \ge 1$, it is a routine matter to check that $\|\mathcal{L}_{\alpha+p,\beta+q}^m \widetilde{f}_{\delta,j}\|_2$ satisfies the Carleman condition, see e.g., [4]. Since $\widetilde{f}_{\delta,j}$ vanishes in a neighbourhood of zero, from Theorem 2.7 we conclude that $\widetilde{f}_{\delta,j} = 0$. But this is true for every $\delta \in \widehat{K}_0$ and any $1 \le j \le d_\delta$. Hence $f = 0$. Now we consider the general case.

Recall that f vanishes on $B(o, l)$. Let $\Psi(t) = c(1 + t)^{-1/2}$, for $t > 0$. Then it is easy to see that $\int_1^\infty \Psi(t)t^{-1}dt < \infty$. Now by the rank one version of Theorem 4.2 of [4], there exists a smooth K-biinvariant function on G such that $supp(g) \subset B(o, l/2)$ and its spherical transform satisfies

$$|\tilde{g}(\lambda)| \le Ce^{-|\lambda|\Psi(|\lambda|)}.$$

Now let us consider the function $F := f * g$. Then using the G-invariance of the Riemannian metric, it can be shown that F vanishes on $B(o, l/2)$. Also we see that, for $kM \in K/M$ and any λ

$$\widetilde{F}(\lambda, kM) = \widetilde{f}(\lambda, kM)\tilde{g}(\lambda).$$

Hence it follows that for any $\lambda > 0$

$$\left|Q_\delta(i\lambda + \rho)^{-1}\int_K \widetilde{F}(\lambda, kM)Y_{\delta,j}(kM)dk\right| \le C_{\delta,j}e^{-\lambda(\theta+\Psi)(\lambda)}$$

and also $(\theta + \Psi)(\lambda) \ge 2\lambda^{-1/2}$ for $\lambda \ge 1$. Therefore, from the first part of the proof, it follows that $F = 0$. So we have $\widetilde{f}(\lambda, kM)\tilde{g}(\lambda) = 0$ for all λ and kM. But we know that $\tilde{g}(\lambda)$ is real analytic. Hence $f = 0$ proving the theorem. $\qquad\square$

3.3 Ingham's theorem for spectral projections

In this subsection we prove Theorem 1.1. We first consider the part of the theorem where the decay of the spectral projections is assumed to hold on the complement of the open set V over which f vanishes. This part is easily proved, thanks to Theorem 3.3 proved in the previous subsection. For the other part we require some properties of the spherical means.

To begin with, we first describe the generalized spectral projections on X. Recall that the inversion formula for the Helgason Fourier transform says that

$$f(x) = c_X \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{K/M} \widetilde{f}(\lambda, b) e^{(i\lambda+\rho)A(x,b)} |c(\lambda)|^{-2} \mathrm{d}b \mathrm{d}\lambda.$$

We define

$$P_\lambda f(x) := \int_{K/M} \widetilde{f}(\lambda, b) e^{(i\lambda+\rho)A(x,b)} \mathrm{d}b. \tag{3.9}$$

Now in view of the above inversion formula we have

$$f(x) = c_X \int_0^{\infty} P_\lambda f(x) |c(\lambda)|^{-2} \mathrm{d}\lambda. \tag{3.10}$$

Now recall that the elementary spherical functions are defined as

$$\Phi_\lambda(x) = \int_K e^{(i\lambda+\rho)A(x,kM)} \mathrm{d}k$$

which together with the following formula (see Bray [8])

$$\Phi_\lambda(h^{-1}g) = \int_{K/M} e^{(-i\lambda+\rho)A(hK,b)} e^{(-i\lambda+\rho)A(gK,b)} \mathrm{d}b$$

yields $P_\lambda f = f * \Phi_\lambda$. So, $P_\lambda f$ are eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_X with eigenvalues $-(\lambda^2 + \rho^2)$. Therefore, these are the generalized spectral projections associated to Δ_X and the equation 3.10 can be thought of as the resolution of the identity with respect to the operator Δ_X. For more details about generalized spectral projections, we refer the reader to Bray [8].

Proof of Theorem 1.1 From the above discussion we note that

$$f * \Phi_\lambda(x) = \int_{K/M} e^{(-i\lambda+\rho)A(x,b)} \widetilde{f}(\lambda, b) \mathrm{d}b \tag{3.11}$$

where $x = gK \in X$. But now from the definition of the Helgason Fourier transform, it follows that

$$\int_{G/K} f * \Phi_\lambda(x) \bar{f}(x) dx = \int_{K/M} |\widetilde{f}(\lambda, b)|^2 \mathrm{d}b. \tag{3.12}$$

Under the assumption that $\sup_{x \in V^c} |f * \Phi_\lambda(x)| \le C e^{-\lambda\theta(\lambda)}$ we see that

$$\int_{G/K} f * \Phi_\lambda(x)\bar{f}(x)\mathrm{d}x = \int_{V^c} f * \Phi_\lambda(x)\bar{f}(x)\mathrm{d}x \le Ce^{-\lambda\theta(\lambda)} \tag{3.13}$$

which along with the identity 3.12 yields

$$\int_{K/M} |\tilde{f}(\lambda, b)|^2 \mathrm{d}b \le e^{-\lambda\theta(\lambda)} \tag{3.14}$$

This will guarantee the condition 3.3 of the Theorem 3.3. Hence by that theorem we conclude that $f = 0$.

Now in order to prove the remaining part, namely that the spectral projections cannot have that particular pointwise decay on V, we consider the spherical means $A_h f$ defined by

$$A_h f(g) = \int_K f(gkh)\mathrm{d}k \, . \tag{3.15}$$

Observe that $A_h f(g)$ is a right K-invariant function of $g \in G$ and hence we can consider it as a function on the symmetric space X. Also since f is right K-invariant, it can be easily checked that the function F_g defined by

$$F_g(h) = A_h f(g)$$

is a K-biinvariant function on G. So, we have

$$\widetilde{F_g}(\lambda) = \int_G \left(\int_k f(gkh)\mathrm{d}k \right) \Phi_\lambda(h)\mathrm{d}h.$$

An application of Fubini yields

$$\widetilde{F_g}(\lambda) = \int_K \int_G f(gkh)\Phi_\lambda(h)\mathrm{d}h \, \mathrm{d}k$$

which, by a change of variable transforms to

$$\widetilde{F_g}(\lambda) = \int_K \int_G f(h)\Phi_\lambda(k^{-1}g^{-1}h)\mathrm{d}h \, \mathrm{d}k.$$

Recalling the fact that $\Phi_\lambda(h) = \Phi_\lambda(h^{-1})$, K-biinvariance of Φ_λ gives

$$\widetilde{F_g}(\lambda) = \int_G f(h)\Phi_\lambda(h^{-1}g) = f * \Phi_\lambda(g). \tag{3.16}$$

Now in view of inversion formula for spherical Fourier transform we have the following spectral form :

$$F_g(h) = C \int_0^\infty \Phi_\lambda(h)f * \Phi_\lambda(g)|c(\lambda)|^{-2}\mathrm{d}\lambda. \tag{3.17}$$

But since Φ_λ's are eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_X with eigenvalue $-(|\lambda|^2 + \rho^2)$, we have

$$\widetilde{\Delta_X^m F_g}(\lambda) = -(|\lambda|^2 + \rho^2)^m f * \Phi_\lambda(g).$$

Hence from Plancherel theorem it follows that

$$\|\Delta_X^m F_g\|_2^2 = C \int_o^\infty (\lambda^2 + \rho^2)^{2m} |f * \Phi_\lambda(g)|^2 |c(\lambda)|^{-2} \mathrm{d}\lambda.$$

Now from $x = gK \in V$, by hypothesis we obtain

$$\|\Delta_X^m F_x\|_2^2 \leq C \int_o^\infty (\lambda^2 + \rho^2)^{2m} e^{-2\lambda\theta(\lambda)} |c(\lambda)|^{-2} \mathrm{d}\lambda.$$

So we can check that $\|\Delta_X^m F_x\|_2$ satisfies the Carleman condition. But since f vanishes on V and $x \in V$, it follows that F_x vanishes on a neighbourhood of identity. Hence by Chernoff's theorem ([4, Theorem 1.3]) we get $F_x = 0$. But then form 3.16 it follows that

$$f * \Phi_\lambda(x) = 0, \text{ for all } x \in V$$

But since $f * \Phi_\lambda$ are eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator which is elliptic, $f * \Phi_\lambda$ is real analytic. So vanishing on V forces $f * \Phi_\lambda$ to be zero identically. Since this is true for every λ, it follows that $f = 0$. □

Remark 3.4 The above theorem is sharp in the sense that if $\int_1^\infty \theta(t) t^{-1} \mathrm{d}t < \infty$, there exists $f \in C_c^\infty(G//K)$ such that $|P_\lambda f(x)| \leq Ce^{-\lambda\theta(\lambda)}$. Indeed, by Theorem 4.2 in [4], there exist $f \in C_c^\infty(G//K)$ such that $|\tilde{f}(\lambda)| \leq Ce^{-\lambda\theta(\lambda)}$ for all $\lambda > 0$. Now from the definition 3.9 it follows that $P_\lambda f(x) = \tilde{f}(\lambda)\Phi_\lambda(x)$. Using the fact that $|\Phi_\lambda(x)| \leq \Phi_0(x) \leq C$ (See Bray [8]), we obtain $|P_\lambda f(x)| \leq Ce^{-\lambda\theta(\lambda)}$ proving the claimed sharpness.

We conclude this section by briefly describing a version of Ingham type theorem for right K-invariant functions on rank one semisimple Lie groups, which can be obtained as an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.3. We need some preparations for that.

For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ which is just $\mathbb{C}$ in our case, we consider the irreducible representations π_λ on the rank one semisimple Lie group G under consideration acting on the Hilbert space $L^2(K/M)$, defined by

$$\pi_\lambda(g)f(k) = e^{(i\lambda+\rho)A(g,k)} f(k(g^{-1}k))$$

where $g = k(g)expA(g)n(g)$ is the Iwasawa decomposition of $g \in G$. It is well known that π_λ is unitary if and only if λ is real (i.e., in $\mathfrak{a}^*$). These are called the class-1 principle series representations. We know that the group Fourier transform for right K-invariant function on G takes the form

$$\pi_\lambda(f) = \int_G f(g)\pi_\lambda(g)\mathrm{d}g.$$

Now considering f as a function on $X = G/K$, the Helgason Fourier transform of f is related to the group Fourier transform via the relation

$$\widetilde{f}(\lambda, b) = \pi_\lambda(f)Y_0(b), \ b \in K/M.$$

Here Y_0 is the function corresponding to the unit representation of $\widehat{K}_0$. It is easy to see that for any $\delta \in \widehat{K}_0$ and $1 \leq j \leq \mathrm{d}_\delta$ we have

$$\int_{K/M} \widetilde{f}(\lambda, b) Y_{\delta,j}(b) \mathrm{d}b = \langle \pi_\lambda(f) Y_0, Y_{\delta,j} \rangle.$$

This observation together with Theorem 3.3 yields the following result:

Corollary 3.5 *Let θ be a positive decreasing function defined on $[0, \infty)$ that vanishes at infinity. Suppose f is a right K- invariant, integrable function on G which vanishes on an open neighbourhood of identity. Assume that for any $\delta \in \widehat{K}_0$ and $1 \leq j \leq \mathrm{d}_\delta$*

$$\left| Q_\delta(i\lambda + \rho)^{-1} \langle \pi_\lambda(f) Y_0, Y_{\delta,j} \rangle \right| \leq C_{\delta,j} e^{-\lambda \theta(\lambda)}.$$

Then $\int_1^\infty \theta(t) t^{-1} \mathrm{d}t = \infty$ implies $f = 0$.

4 Spectral projections associated to Dunkl-laplacian

In this section we prove an uncertainty principle for the spectral projections for the Dunkl-Laplacian. To begin with, we first describe the basic theory of Dunkl transform in the following subsection.

4.1 Background for Dunkl transform

For $v(\neq 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the reflection r_v with respect to hyperplane perpendicular to v is given by $r_v(x) := x - 2(\langle x, v \rangle / \|v\|^2) v$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let R be a reduced root system in $\mathbb{R}^n$ i.e., R consists of finite number of nonzero vectors in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the property that $r_u(v) \in R$ for any $u, v \in R$ and moreover if $u = av$ then $a = \pm 1$. Let us fix a set of positive roots R^+. Suppose G is a subgroup of the orthogonal group $O(n)$ generated by the reflections $\{r_v : v \in R\}$.

Let $\kappa : R^+ \to [0, \infty)$ be a multiplicity function which is G invariant. Associated to this root system R and the multiplicity function κ, Dunkl considered the first-order differential-difference operators defined by

$$D_j f(x) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} + \sum_{v \in R^+} \kappa_v \frac{f(x) - f(r_v(x))}{\langle x, v \rangle} \langle v, e_j \rangle, \ 1 \leq j \leq n$$

where e_j's are standard unit vectors in $\mathbb{R}^n$. These operators commute with each other i.e., $D_j D_i = D_i D_j$, $\forall i, j$. Let V_κ denote the unique operator which intertwines the algebra generated by D_j's and the algebra of partial differential operators, determined by

$$V_\kappa \mathcal{P}_m \subset \mathcal{P}_m, \ V_\kappa 1 = 1 \text{ and } D_j V_\kappa = V_\kappa \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \ 1 \leq j \leq n$$

where $\mathcal{P}_m$ denotes the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree m. Given $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, consider the function $E(x, y) := V_\kappa(e^{\langle \cdot, y \rangle})(x)$. It is known that for fixed y, the function $E(., y)$ is the unique solution of $D_j f(x) = \langle y, e_j \rangle f(x)$, $f(0) = 1$. Moreover, E can be extended to $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$ holomorphically. Several important properties of this function are listed in the following proposition:

Proposition 4.1 *For any $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $E(z, w) = E(w, z)$, $E(\lambda z, w) = E(z, \lambda w)$ and E satisfies the estimate $|E(z, w)| \le e^{|z| \cdot |w|}$ for all $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Moreover,*

$$c_\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} E(z, x) E(w, x) h_\kappa^2(x) e^{-|x|^2/2} dx = e^{z^2 + w^2} E(z, w)$$

where the constant c_κ is defined by $c_\kappa^{-1} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-|x|^2/2} h_\kappa^2(x) dx$.

In the above proposition, the weight function h_κ^2 is defined by

$$h_\kappa^2(x) := \prod_{v \in R^+} |\langle x, v \rangle|^{2\kappa_v}, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

It is easy to see that this function is positive homogeneous of degree 2γ where $\gamma := \sum_{v \in R^+} \kappa_v$. Moreover, it is invariant under the reflection group G. We are now ready to define Dunkl transform. Note that the preceding proposition suggests that $E(x, iy)$ plays the role of $e^{i \langle x, y \rangle}$ in Euclidean harmonic analysis.

Given $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, h_\kappa^2(x) dx)$, the Dunkl transform of f is defined as

$$\mathcal{F}_k f(\xi) = c_\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) E(x, -i\xi) h_\kappa^2(x) dx, \ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

It is worth pointing out that when $\kappa = 0$, then $V_\kappa = \mathrm{id}$ and $h_\kappa^2 = 1$, thus the Dunkl transform coincides with the Fourier transform. In this sense, this serves as a generalisation of Euclidean Fourier transform. We also have Plancherel and inversion formula for Dunkl transform. We record those in the following theorem:

Theorem 4.2

(1) (*Plancherel*) $\mathcal{F}_\kappa$ extends to the whole of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, h_\kappa^2(x) dx)$ as an isometry onto itself.
(2) (*Inversion*) For f and $\mathcal{F}_K f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, h_\kappa^2(x) dx)$ we have the following inversion formula

$$f(x) = c_\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{F}_\kappa f(\xi) E(ix, \xi) h_\kappa^2(\xi) d\xi, \ \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The Dunkl-Laplacian is defined as $\Delta_\kappa := \sum_{j=1}^n D_j^2$. Let $H_m(h_\kappa^2)$ denote the space of all h-harmonic polynomials of degree m i.e., all those $P \in \mathcal{P}_m$ such that $\Delta_\kappa P = 0$. The spherical h-harmonics are restriction of h-harmonic polynomials to S^{n-1}. We consider the following inner product

$$(f, g)_\kappa = a_\kappa \int_{S^{n-1}} f(\omega) g(\omega) h_\kappa^2(\omega) d\sigma(\omega)$$

where $a_\kappa^{-1} = \int_{S^{n-1}} h_\kappa^2(\omega) d\sigma(\omega)$. With respect to this inner product, the space $L^2(S^{n-1}, h_\kappa^2 d\sigma)$ can be decomposed as $L^2(S^{n-1}, h_\kappa^2 d\sigma) = \bigoplus_{m=0}^\infty H_m(h_\kappa^2)$. We also have the following very useful formula proved in [11]

Proposition 4.3 *Let $r > 0$. Given $S_m^h \in H_m(h_\kappa^2)$, for $x = |x| x' \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have*

$$a_\kappa \int_{S^{n-1}} S_m^h(\omega) E(x, -ir\omega) h_\kappa^2(\omega) \mathrm{d}\sigma(\omega) = (-i)^m 2^{\lambda_\kappa} S_m^h(x')(r|x|)^{-\lambda_\kappa} J_{m+\lambda_\kappa}(r|x|),$$

where $\lambda_\kappa = \gamma + (n-2)/2$. Moreover, the function given by the above integral is an eigenfunction of Δ_κ with eigenvalue $-r^2$.

As an immediate consequence of this result, we conclude that the Dunkl transform of reasonable radial function f on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is given by the Hankel transform $\mathcal{H}_{\lambda_\kappa} f$.

For suitable functions f, the generalised translation operator is defined by

$$\tau_y f(x) := c_\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{F}_\kappa f(\xi) E(ix, \xi) E(iy, \xi) h_\kappa^2(\xi) \mathrm{d}\xi, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Using this one can define the Dunkl convolution as

$$f *_\kappa g(x) = c_\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) \tau_x \check{g}(y) h_\kappa^2(y) \mathrm{d}y.$$

It can be easily checked that $\mathcal{F}_\kappa(f *_\kappa g) = \mathcal{F}_\kappa f \mathcal{F}_\kappa g$. For more about this translation and convolution, we refer the reader to work of Thangavelu-Yu [25].

4.2 Ingham's theorem for the Dunkl transform

In this subsection we prove a version of Ingham type theorems for Dunkl transform. We start with constructing a compactly supported function whose Dunkl transform has Ingham type decay. In order to do so, we need a Paley-Wiener type theorem for Hankel transform. For that we first define a function space $\mathcal{H}$ as follows: we say that a function g on $\mathbb{C}$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}$ if g is an even entire function and there are positive constants A and C_m such that for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and for all $m = 0, 1, 2, ..., g$ satisfies the following:

$$|g(z)| \le C_m (1 + |z|)^{-m} e^{A|\mathrm{Im}(z)|}.$$

We have the following Paley-Wiener type theorem described in Koornwinder [20].

Theorem 4.4 *Let $\alpha > -1/2$. The mapping $f \to \mathcal{H}_\alpha f$ is a bijection from $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_e$, the set of all compactly supported even smooth function on $\mathbb{R}$, onto $\mathcal{H}$.*

As a consequence we obtain

Proposition 4.5 *Let θ be a positive decreasing function defined on $[0, \infty)$ that vanishes at infinity. Assume that $\int_1^\infty \theta(t) t^{-1} \mathrm{d}t < \infty$. Then there exist a nontrivial radial smooth function f on $\mathbb{R}^n$, supported in a small neighbourhood of the origin, satisfying $|\mathcal{F}_\kappa f(\xi)| \le C e^{-|\xi|\theta(|\xi|)}$.*

Proof Since $\int_1^\infty \theta(t) t^{-1} \mathrm{d}t < \infty$, by Ingham's theorem (see [13]) there exist an even compactly supported smooth function f_0 on $\mathbb{R}$, whose Fourier transform satisfies

$$|\hat{f}_0(\xi)| \le C e^{-|\xi|\theta(|\xi|)}, \ \xi \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.1}$$

But in view of the Paley-Wiener theorem for the Fourier transform (See e.g., [23]), $\hat{f}_0 \in \mathcal{H}$. Therefore, applying Theorem 4.4 with $\alpha = \lambda_\kappa$ we get $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_e$ such that $\mathcal{H}_{\lambda_\kappa} g = \hat{f}_0$. Now define f on $\mathbb{R}^n$ by $f(x) = g(|x|)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Finally using the estimate 4.1, recalling the fact that Dunkl transform of radial function is given by Hankel transform of type λ_κ we are done. $\qquad \square$

Theorem 4.6 *Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, h_\kappa^2(x)\mathrm{d}x)$ be such that f vanishes on an open neighbourhood of the origin $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. For each non-negative integer m and $S_m^h \in H_m(h_\kappa^2)$ assume that*

$$\left| \lambda^{-m} \int_{S^{n-1}} \mathcal{F}_k f(\lambda, \omega) S_m^h(\omega) h_\kappa^2(\omega) \mathrm{d}\sigma(\omega) \right| \leq C_m e^{-\lambda\theta(\lambda)}, \ \lambda > 0$$

where θ is a positive decreasing function on $[0, \infty)$ which vanishes at infinity. Then, if $\int_1^\infty \theta(t) t^{-1} \mathrm{d}t = \infty$, f is identically zero.

Proof Without loss of generality we can assume that f vanishes on a ball $B(0, l)$ of radius l for some $l > 0$. Note that using the definition of Dunkl transform we have

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \mathcal{F}_k f(\lambda, \omega) S_m^h(\omega) h_\kappa^2(\omega) \mathrm{d}\sigma(\omega) = c_\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} E(-ix, \lambda\omega) S_m^h(\omega) h_\kappa^2(\omega) \mathrm{d}\sigma(\omega) \right) f(x) h_\kappa^2(x) \mathrm{d}x.$$

Now in view of Proposition 4.3, we have

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \mathcal{F}_k f(\lambda, \omega) S_m^h(\omega) h_\kappa^2(\omega) \mathrm{d}\sigma(\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\lambda|x|)^{-\lambda_\kappa} J_{m+\lambda_\kappa}(\lambda|x|) S_m^h\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right) h_\kappa^2(x) \mathrm{d}x. \quad (4.2)$$

Now writing $f_m(r) = \int_{S^{n-1}} f(rw) S_m^h(w) h_\kappa^2(w) \mathrm{d}\sigma(w)$ where $x = rw$, $w \in S^{n-1}$, the polar coordinate representation transforms the above equation to

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \mathcal{F}_k f(\lambda, \omega) S_m^h(\omega) h_\kappa^2(\omega) \mathrm{d}\sigma(\omega) = C\lambda^m \int_0^\infty f_m(r) r^{-m} \frac{J_{\lambda_\kappa+m}(\lambda r)}{(\lambda r)^{\lambda_\kappa+m}} r^{2(\lambda_\kappa+m)+1} \mathrm{d}r. \quad (4.3)$$

Again letting $g(r) = f_m(r) r^{-m}$, we have

$$\lambda^{-m} \int_{S^{n-1}} \mathcal{F}_k f(\lambda, \omega) S_m^h(\omega) h_\kappa^2(\omega) \mathrm{d}\sigma(\omega) = C\mathcal{H}_{\lambda_\kappa+m} g(\lambda). \quad (4.4)$$

Now by the hypothesis, it is clear that g vanishes on a neighbourhood of zero. Let us denote $\lambda_\kappa + m$ by α. Hence using the Plancherel theorem for Hankel transform (See Theorem 2.6), for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\|\Delta_{\alpha,a}^k g\|_2^2 = C \int_0^\infty (\lambda^2 + a^2)^{2k} |\mathcal{H}_\alpha g(\lambda)|^2 \lambda^{2\alpha+1} \mathrm{d}\lambda \leq C \int_0^\infty (\lambda^2 + a^2)^{2k} e^{-2\lambda\theta(\lambda)} \lambda^{2\alpha+1} \mathrm{d}\lambda.$$

Under the assumption that $\theta(\lambda) \geq 2\lambda^{-1/2}$ for $\lambda \geq 1$, it is a routine matter to check that $\|\Delta_{\alpha,a}^k g\|_2$ satisfies the Carleman condition (see [4]) and hence by Theorem 2.5, we obtain $g = 0$. So $f_m = 0$ i.e., $(f(r.), S_m^h)_\kappa = 0$ which is true for any h-spherical harmonics. Therefore, $f = 0$.

To treat the general case we take $\psi(t) = c(1 + t)^{-1/2}$. Then it can be easily checked that $\int_1^\infty \psi(t) t^{-1} \mathrm{d}t < \infty$. By Proposition 4.5, there exist a radial $h \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ supported in $B(0, l/2)$ such that $|\mathcal{F}_\kappa h(\xi)| \leq Ce^{-|\xi|\psi(|\xi|)}$. Define $F := f *_\kappa h$. Then in view of [25, Proposition 3.13], F vanishes on $B(0, l/2)$. Moreover, since $\mathcal{F}_\kappa(F)(\lambda, \omega) = \mathcal{F}_k f(\lambda, \omega) \mathcal{F}_\kappa h(\lambda)$, we see that

$$\left| \lambda^{-m} \int_{S^{n-1}} \mathcal{F}_k F(\lambda, \omega) S_m^h(\omega) h_\kappa^2(\omega) d\sigma(\omega) \right| \leq C_m e^{-\lambda(\theta+\psi)(\lambda)}$$

where $(\theta + \psi)(\lambda) \geq 2\lambda^{-1/2}$ for $\lambda \geq 1$. Hence by the previous case, $F = 0$ and since h is a nontrivial function, we conclude that $f = 0$. This completes the proof. $\qquad\square$

4.3 Ingham's theorem for the spectral projections

For $\lambda > 0$, $\omega \in S^{n-1}$ we write the Dunkl transform in the form

$$\mathcal{F}_\kappa f(\lambda\omega) = c_\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) E(x, -i\lambda\omega) h_\kappa^2(x) dx.$$

Then we can rewrite the inversion formula as

$$f(x) = c_\kappa \int_0^\infty \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} \mathcal{F}_\kappa f(\lambda\omega) E(ix, \lambda\omega) h_\kappa^2(\omega) d\sigma(\omega) \right) \lambda^{2\lambda_\kappa + 1} d\lambda.$$

But in view of the Proposition 4.3

$$\varphi_{\kappa,\lambda}(x) := \int_{S^{n-1}} E(ix, \lambda\omega) h_\kappa^2(\omega) d\sigma(\omega) = 2^{\lambda_\kappa} a_\kappa^{-1} \frac{J_{\lambda_\kappa}(\lambda|x|)}{(\lambda|x|)^{\lambda_\kappa}}$$

which allows us to write the inversion formula in the following form:

$$f(x) = c_\kappa \int_0^\infty f *_\kappa \varphi_{\kappa,\lambda}(x) \lambda^{2\lambda_\kappa + 1} d\lambda.$$

With the above definition, it is easy to see that

$$c_\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f *_\kappa \varphi_{\kappa,\lambda}(x) \bar{f}(x) h_\kappa^2(x) dx = \int_{S^{n-1}} |\mathcal{F}_\kappa f(\lambda\omega)|^2 h_\kappa^2(\omega) d\sigma(\omega)$$

and consequently the Plancherel theorem takes the form

$$c_\kappa \int_0^\infty \langle f *_\kappa \varphi_{\kappa,\lambda}, f \rangle \lambda^{2\lambda_\kappa + 1} d\lambda = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^2 h_\kappa^2(x) dx.$$

We remark that given a reasonable function f, the function

$$f *_\kappa \varphi_{\kappa,\lambda}(x) = \int_{S^{n-1}} \mathcal{F}_\kappa f(\lambda\omega) E(ix, \lambda\omega) h_\kappa^2(\omega) d\sigma(\omega) \tag{4.5}$$

is an eigenfunction of Δ_κ with eigenvalue $-\lambda^2$ (See Proposition 4.3). Therefore, these are just the generalized spectral projections associated to the Dunkl-Laplacian. We have the following Ingham type uncertainty principle for these generalized spectral projections.

Proof of Theorem 1.3 Assume that $\sup_{x \in V^c} |f * \varphi_{\kappa,\lambda}(x)| \leq Ce^{-\lambda\theta(\lambda)}$. Then as f vanishes on V

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} |\mathcal{F}_\kappa f(\lambda, \omega)|^2 \, h_\kappa^2(\omega) d\sigma(\omega) = c_\kappa \int_{V^c} f *_\kappa \varphi_{\kappa,\lambda}(x) \bar{f}(x) h_\kappa^2(x) dx \le Ce^{-\lambda\theta(\lambda)}. \quad (4.6)$$

Now expanding $\mathcal{F}_\kappa f(\lambda, .)$ in terms of the h–Spherical harmonics, we see that the hypothesis of Theorem 4.6 is satisfied. Hence $f = 0$.

To treat the other case we consider the Dunkl spherical means $f *_\kappa \mu_r$ which has the integral representation

$$f *_\kappa \mu_r(x) = c_\kappa \int_0^\infty f *_\kappa \varphi_{\kappa,\lambda}(x) \varphi_{\kappa,\lambda}(r) \lambda^{2\lambda_\kappa+1} d\lambda.$$

For any fixed $x \in V$ we consider the radial function $F_x(r) = f *_\kappa \mu_r(x)$ which vanishes in a neighbourhood of zero. Since $\varphi_\lambda(r)$ is an eigenfunction of $\Delta_{\lambda_\kappa,a}$ with eigenvalue $-(\lambda^2 + a^2)$ it follows that

$$\|\Delta_{\lambda_\kappa,a}^m F_x\|_2^2 = \int_0^\infty (\lambda^2 + a^2)^{2m} |f *_\kappa \varphi_{\kappa,\lambda}(x)|^2 \lambda^{2\lambda_\kappa+1} d\lambda \le C \int_0^\infty (\lambda^2 + a^2)^{2m} e^{-2\lambda\theta(\lambda)} \lambda^{2\lambda_\kappa+1} d\lambda.$$

We can check that the sequence $\|\Delta_{\lambda_\kappa,a}^m F_x\|_2$ satisfies the Carleman condition and hence by Chernoff's theorem we conclude $f *_\kappa \mu_r(x) = 0$ for all $r > 0$. But then the Plancherel theorem for the Hankel transform gives

$$\int_0^\infty |f * \varphi_{\kappa,\lambda}(x)|^2 \lambda^{2\lambda_\kappa+1} d\lambda = 0$$

for all $x \in V$. Thus, the real analytic function $f * \varphi_{\kappa,\lambda}$ vanishes on V and hence vanishes everywhere. As this is true for any λ we get $f = 0$. □

Remark 4.7 This theorem is sharp in the sense that when $\int_1^\infty \theta(t) t^{-1} dt < \infty$, there exist $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which is radial and satisfies $|f *_\kappa \varphi_{\kappa,\lambda}(x)| \le Ce^{-\lambda\theta(\lambda)}$ for all $\lambda > 0$. The proof of this is not very difficult. In fact, by Proposition 4.5, there exist compactly supported smooth radial function f on $\mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying $|\mathcal{F}_\kappa f(\xi)| \le Ce^{-|\xi|\theta(|\xi|)}$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Now by 4.5, it follows that $f *_\kappa \varphi_{\kappa,\lambda}(x) = \mathcal{F}_\kappa f(\lambda)\varphi_{\kappa,\lambda}(x)$. Finally we use the boundedness of Bessel functions to conclude that $|f *_\kappa \varphi_{\kappa,\lambda}(x)| \le Ce^{-\lambda\theta(\lambda)}$.

Remark 4.8 Recall that when $\kappa = 0$ the above analysis reduces to the usual Fourier analysis on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Consequently, the generalized spectral projections associated to the Laplacian $\Delta := \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ take the form

$$f * \varphi_\lambda(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{S^{n-1}} \hat{f}(\lambda\omega) e^{i\lambda x.\omega} d\sigma(\omega)$$

where $\hat{f}(\lambda\omega)$ denotes the Euclidean Fourier transform written in polar coordinates. So, as an immediate consequence of the above theorem we have the following uncertainty principle for the generalized spectral projections:

Corollary 4.9 *Let θ be a positive decreasing function defined on $[0, \infty)$ that vanishes at infinity. Assume that $\int_1^\infty \theta(t) t^{-1} dt = \infty$. Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ be a nontrivial function vanishing on an open set V. Then the estimate $\sup_{x \in V} |f * \varphi_\lambda(x)| \le Ce^{-\lambda\theta(\lambda)}$ uniformly for all λ cannot hold. If V contains an open neighbourhood of the origin, then the uniform estimate $\sup_{x \in V^c} |f * \varphi_\lambda(x)| \le Ce^{-\lambda\theta(\lambda)}$ can also not hold.*

References

1. S. Bagchi, P. Ganguly, J. Sarkar and S. Thangavelu, On theorems of Chernoff and Ingham on the Heisenberg group (2020). arXiv:2009.14230

2. Ben Said, S., Orsted, B.: Analysis on flat symmetric spaces. J. de Math. Pureset Appliquees **84**, 1393–1426 (2005)

3. Bhowmik, M., Ray, S.K., Sen, S.: Around theorems of Ingham-type regarding decay of Fourier transform on $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathbb{T}^n$ and two step nilpotent Lie Groups. Bull. Sci. Math **155**, 33–73 (2019)

4. Bhowmik, M., Pusti, S., Ray, S.K.: Theorems of Ingham and Chernoff on Riemannian symmetric spaces of noncompact type. J. Funct. Anal. **279**(11), 5987 (2020)

5. Bhowmik, M., Sen, S.: Uncertainty principles of Ingham and Paley-wiener on semisimple Lie groups. Israel J. Math. **225**, 193–221 (2018)

6. Bhowmik, M., Ray, S.K.: A theorem of Levinson for Riemannian symmetric spaces of noncompact type Int. Math. Res. Not. (2019). https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnz165

7. M. Bhowmik, S. Parui and S. Pusti, Ingham type theorems for the Dunkl Fourier transforms, Complex variables and Elliptic equations (**to appear**)

8. Bray, W.: Generalized spectral projections on symmetric spaces of non-compact type: Paley-Wiener theorems. J. Funct. Anal. **135**, 206–232 (1996)

9. Chernoff, P.R.: Quasi-analytic vectors and quasi-analytic functions. Bull. Am. Math. Soc. **81**, 637–646 (1975)

10. Dunkl, C.F.: Differential-difference operators associated to reflection groups. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **311**, 167–183 (1989)

11. Dunkl, C.F.: Hankel transforms associated to finite reflection groups, in Hypergeometric functions on domains of positivity, Jack polynomials, and applications (Tampa, FL, 1991), 123–138, Contemporary Mathematics 138. Society, Providence, RI, American Math (1992)

12. Ganguly, P., Thangavelu, S.: An uncertainty principle for some eigenfunction expansions with applications, arXiv:2011.09940(2020)

13. Ingham, A.E.: A note on fourier transforms. J. London Math. Soc. **S1–9**(1), 29–32 (1934)

14. de Jeu, M.: The Dunkl transform. Invent. Math. **113**, 147–162 (1993)

15. de Jeu, M.: Determinate multidimensional measures, the extended Carleman theorem and quasi-analytic weights. Ann. Probab. **31**(3), 1205–1227 (2003)

16. Johnson, K.D.: Composition series and intertwining operators for the spherical principal series II. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **215**, 269–283 (1976)

17. Johnson, K.D., Wallach, N.: Composition series and intertwining operators for the spherical principal series I. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **229**, 137–173 (1977)

18. Helgason, S.: Groups and geometric analysis. Academic Press, Cambridge (1984)

19. Helgason, S.: *Geometric analysis on Symmetric spaces*, Math. Surveys Monographs 39, Amer. Math. Soc., (1994)

20. Koornwinder, T.H.: A new proof of a Paley-Wiener type theorem for the Jacobi transform. Ark. Mat. **13**(1–2), 145–159 (1975)

21. Koornwinder, T.H.: Jacobi functions and analysis on noncompact semisimple lie groups. In: Askey, R., Koornwinder, T.H., Schempp, W. (eds.) Special functions: group theoretical aspects and applications, pp. 1–85. Reidel, Dordrecht (1984)

22. Kostant, B.: On the existence and irreducibility of certain series of representations. Bull. Am. Math. Soc. **75**, 627–642 (1969)

23. Stein, E.M., Weiss, G.: Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces, Princeton Mathematical Series, No 32. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1971)

24. Thangavelu, S.: Hardy's theorem for the Helgason Fourier transform on noncompact rank one symmetric spaces. Colloquium Math. **94**, 263–280 (2002)

25. Thangavelu, S., Xu, Y.: Convolution operator and maximal function for the Dunkl transform. J. Anal. Math. **97**, 25–55 (2005)