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FIGURE S1. Characterisation of 5-FAM-rifampicin permeability into MLP cells and bioassay of 5-FAM-rifampicin. (A, B) Dose-dependent 5-FAM-rifampicin permeability into MLP cells. (A) Density plots and (B) histogram overlay of the flow cytometry profiles of MLP cells incubated with two-fold increasing concentrations of 5-FAM-rifampicin. (C, D) Bioassay for: (C) Rifampicin and (D) 5-FAM-rifampicin by agar diffusion method using rifampicin sensitive Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 25923). The standard graph showing the diameter of zone of inhibition (Y-axis) against known concentrations of rifampicin (Xaxis). Molar equivalent concentrations of 5-FAM-rifampicin (w.r.t. rifampicin) was used in the bioassay (see MATERIALS AND METHODS).


FIGURE S2. Qualitative determination of 5-FAM-rifampicin permeability. (A, B) Fluorescence microscopy images of $M$. tuberculosis cells of the rifampicin surviving population (RSP) and MLP showing differential entry of 5-FAM-rifampicn. RSP and MLP cells exposed to 5-FAM-rifampicin for one hr: (A) without bead beating; (B) after bead beating. Scale, $2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in each case as the RSP cells are smaller in size as compared to the MLP cells.


FIGURE S3. Flow cytometry analysis for measuring 5-FAM-rifampicin permeability into M. tuberculosis MLP cells. Density plots representing M. tuberculosis MLP cells without bead beating at: (A) 0 min , (B) 15 min , (C) 30 min , (D) 45 min and (E) 60 min of 5-FAM-rifampicin exposure. See the P2 gated population. $(\mathrm{n}=3$, indicated as MLP1, MLP2, MLP3)
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FIGURE S4. Flow cytometry analysis for measuring 5-FAM-rifampicin permeability into M. tuberculosis MLP bead-beaten cells. Density plots representing M. tuberculosis MLP bead-beaten (BB) cells at: (A) 0 min, (B) 15 $\mathrm{min},(\mathbf{C}) 30 \mathrm{~min}$, (D) 45 min and (E) 60 min of 5-FAM-rifampicin exposure. See the P2 gated population. ( $\mathrm{n}=3$, indicated as MLP BB1, MLP BB2, MLP BB3)

| MLP | Total No. of <br> Cells | No. of cells <br> in P2 | Proportion <br> of cells in <br> P2 (\%) | MLP BB | Total No. of <br> Cells | No. of cells <br> in P2 | Proportion <br> of cells in <br> P2 (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MLP1 0' | 28,580 | 321 | 1.12 | MLP BB1 0' | 32,048 | 1,646 | 5.14 |
| MLP2 0' | 28,430 | 367 | 1.29 | MLP BB2 0' | 32,005 | 1,506 | 4.71 |
| MLP3 0' | 28,219 | 299 | 1.06 | MLP BB3 0' | 32,017 | 1,816 | 5.67 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MLP1 15' | 28,046 | 3,961 | 14.12 | MLP BB1 15' | 30,819 | 7,376 | 23.93 |
| MLP2 15' | 28,150 | 4,125 | 14.65 | MLP BB2 15' | 30,567 | 8,057 | 26.36 |
| MLP3 15' | 28,250 | 3,540 | 12.53 | MLP BB3 15' | 30,140 | 6,719 | 22.29 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MLP1 30' | 28,717 | 7,755 | 27 | MLP BB1 30' | 29,655 | 11,359 | 38.3 |
| MLP2 30' | 28,974 | 9,587 | 33.09 | MLP BB2 30' | 29,561 | 10,098 | 34.16 |
| MLP3 30' | 29,359 | 5,825 | 19.84 | MLP BB3 30' | 30,260 | 9,514 | 31.44 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MLP1 45' | 29,198 | 13,336 | 45.67 | MLP BB1 45' | 29,728 | 10,380 | 34.92 |
| MLP2 45' | 29,052 | 11,573 | 39.84 | MLP BB2 45' | 30,060 | 10,329 | 34.36 |
| MLP3 45' | 30,680 | 10,439 | 34.03 | MLP BB3 45' | 29,590 | 8,955 | 30.26 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MLP1 60' | 29,436 | 12,883 | 43.77 | MLP BB1 60' | 30,137 | 15,610 | 51.8 |
| MLP2 60' | 28,776 | 15,158 | 52.68 | MLP BB2 60' | 29,608 | 13,096 | 44.23 |
| MLP3 60' | 29,619 | 14,009 | 47.3 | MLP BB3 60' | 29,609 | 11,193 | 37.8 |


| RSP | Total No. of <br> Cells | No. of cells <br> in P2 | Proportion <br> of cells in P2 <br> (\%) | RSP BB | Total No. of <br> Cells | No. of cells <br> in P2 | Proportion <br> of cells in <br> P2 (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RSP1 0' | 41,000 | 1,104 | 2.69 | RSP BB1 0' | 34,385 | 4,733 | 13.76 |
| RSP2 0' | 38,070 | 1,109 | 2.91 | RSP BB2 0' | 32,477 | 4,465 | 13.75 |
| RSP3 0' | 43,518 | 2,465 | 5.66 | RSP BB3 0' | 33,009 | 5,160 | 15.63 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RSP1 15' $^{\prime}$ | 39,520 | 3,413 | 8.64 | RSP BB1 15' | 35,187 | 9,197 | 26.14 |
| RSP2 15' | 38,396 | 1,837 | 4.78 | RSP BB2 15' | 34,834 | 10,011 | 28.74 |
| RSP3 15' | 40,917 | 5,456 | 13.33 | RSP BB3 15' | 34,068 | 8,175 | 24 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RSP1 30' | 39,744 | 3,635 | 9.15 | RSP BB1 30' | 37813 | 13,279 | 35.12 |
| RSP2 30' | 37,141 | 2,778 | 7.48 | RSP BB2 30' | 41397 | 15,557 | 37.58 |
| RSP3 30' | 38,204 | 5,456 | 14.28 | RSP BB3 30' | 37431 | 12,815 | 34.24 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RSP1 45' | 35,104 | 2,404 | 6.85 | RSP BB1 45' | 42,963 | 19,550 | 45.5 |
| RSP2 45' | 38,370 | 4,358 | 11.36 | RSP BB2 45' | 34,255 | 10,003 | 29.2 |
| RSP3 45' | 38,363 | 4,807 | 12.53 | RSP BB3 45' | 33,534 | 9,272 | 27.65 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RSP1 60' | 36,580 | 4,858 | 13.28 | RSP BB1 60' | 41,656 | 15,985 | 38.37 |
| RSP2 60' | 36,799 | 5,134 | 13.95 | RSP BB2 60' | 37,858 | 12,598 | 33.28 |
| RSP3 60' | 36,091 | 5,549 | 15.38 | RSP BB3 60' | 36,201 | 12,589 | 34.78 |

FIGURE S5. Quantitation of the cells in the P2 gate that gained 5-FAM-rifampicin fluorescence. (A, B) Tables showing the proportion of the cells of the MLP population and the rifampicin surviving population (RSP) in the P2 gate during the 60 min of 5 -FAMrifampicin exposure. The proportion and number of the cells in the P2 gate of: (A) MLP cells and (B) RSP cells. Statistical significance was calculated using paired $t$ test ( $n=3$ ).
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FIGURE S6. Flow cytometry analysis for measuring 5-FAM-rifampicin permeability into $M$. tuberculosis RSP cells. Density plots representing $M$. tuberculosis RSP cells without bead-beating at: (A) 0 min , (B) 15 min , (C) 30 min , (D) 45 min and (E) 60 min of 5-FAM-rifampicin exposure. See the P2 gated population. ( $\mathrm{n}=3$, indicated as RSP1, RSP2, RSP3)
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FIGURE S7. Flow cytometry analysis for measuring 5-FAM-rifampicin permeability into M. tuberculosis RSP bead-beaten cells. Density plots representing M. tuberculosis RSP bead-beaten (BB) cells at: (A) 0 min, (B) 15 $\mathrm{min},(\mathbf{C}) 30 \mathrm{~min}$, (D) 45 min and (E) 60 min of 5-FAM-rifampicin exposure. See the P2 gated population. ( $\mathrm{n}=3$, indicated as RSP BB1, RSP BB2, RSP BB3)
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FIGURE S8. Transmission electron micrographs of Mycobacterium tuberculosis cells of the rifampicin surviving population (RSP) and MLP population with different capsular outer layer morphology. (A) RSP cells ( $12^{\text {th }}$ day) with deeply stained TCOL. (B) MLP cells, cultured further for 12 days in the absence of rifampicin, with thin NCOL. Yellow arrows indicate TCOL/NCOL.


FIGURE S9. Flow cytometry profile of calcofluor white (CFW) stained Mtb MLP cells without and with bead beating. (A, B) MLP cells at 0 min and after 60 min of CFW staining, respectively. (C, D) MLP cells with bead beating at 0 min and after 60 min of CFW staining, respectively. See the P 2 gated population that gained the stain during the $60 \mathrm{~min} .(\mathrm{n}=3)$. The flow cytometry data were analysed using FACSuite software. The P1 population was obtained by gating the whole population (all events) in each sample. The polygonal P2 gate was used to analyse the exact number of cells showing higher CFW fluorescence than the cells in P1 gate (polygonal P2 gate helped to exclude the cells in the P1 population). Further, the P2 gate was placed exactly where the P1 population ended in order to gate the actual number of cells showing higher CFW median fluorescence than the cells in the P1 gate.


FIGURE S10. Flow cytometry profile of calcofluor white (CFW) stained Mtb RSP cells without and with bead beating. (A, B) RSP cells at 0 min and 60 min CFW staining duration, respectively. (C, D) RSP cells with bead beating at 0 min and 60 min CFW staining duration, respectively. See the P2 gated population that gained the stain during the $60 \mathrm{~min} .(n=3)$. The flow cytometry data were analysed using FACSuite software. The P1 population was obtained by gating the whole population (all events) in each sample. The polygonal P2 gate was used to analyse the exact number of cells showing higher CFW fluorescence than the cells in P1 gate (polygonal P2 gate helped to exclude the cells in the P1 population). Further, the P2 gate was placed exactly where the P1 population ended in order to gate the actual number of cells showing higher CFW median fluorescence than the cells in the P1 gate.

