Supporting Information

Surface Texture and Composition Influenced Graphene Growth by Chemical Vapour Deposition on Cu-Ni Alloys for Field Emission Application

Gurjinder Kaur¹, Vijayesh Kumar^{2†}, Nitish Bibhanshu^{3#}, K.S. Suresh^{4*}, Indranil Lahiri^{1,2*}

¹Nanomaterials and Applications Lab, Department of Metallurgical and Materials Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, India.

²Centre of Excellence: Nanotechnology, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, India.

³Department of Materials Engineering, Indian Institute of Science Bangalore, India.

⁴Department of Metallurgical and Materials Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, India.

[†]Present address: Ubiquitous Analytical Techniques (H1), Central Scientific Instruments Organization (CSIO), a constituent unit of Council of Scientific & Industrial Research (CSIR), Chandigarh.

[#]Present address: Nuclear Science and Engineering Directorate, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA.

* Corresponding Authors

K.S. Suresh, E mail-<u>ks.suresh@mt.iitr.ac.in</u>

and

Indranil Lahiri, E-mail-indranil.lahiri@mt.iitr.ac.in

Contents of supporting information

Figure S1: Details of the synthesis of Cu-Ni alloys

Figure S2: Surface crystallographic texture of reference (hot rolled) samples presented in the form of ODF sections.

Figure S3: Variation of the volume fraction of different texture components with addition of Ni in Cu for hot rolled Cu-Ni alloy samples.

Figure S4: Grain average misorientation charts for synthesized cold rolled Cu-Ni alloy foils.

Figure S5: Grain orientation spread charts of prepared cold rolled Cu-Ni alloy foils.

Figure S6: FESEM images of graphene-based hybrid nanostructures

Figure S7: Schematic illustration of the field emission from graphene-based hybrid nanostructures.

Table S1. Bs(R)/Bs(A) ratio (brass component of rolled samples to brass component of annealed samples) and S(R)/S(A) ratio (S component of rolled samples to S component of annealed samples)



Figure S1: (a) Overall schematics of the preparation of Cu-Ni alloy foils. (b) Simplified schematics of vacuum arc melting system. (c) Digital images of prepared Cu-Ni alloy buttons: 1, 2, 3 and 4 corresponds to buttons of compositions 100Cu-0Ni, 84.65Cu-15.35Ni, 72.6Cu-27.4Ni and 63Cu-37Ni, respectively. (d), (e) and (f) show the dimensions of prepared Cu-Ni button.



Figure S2. $\varphi 2 = 0^{\circ}$, 45° and 63° sections of the ODFs for the Cu-Ni alloys in hot rolled state: (a) 100Cu-0Ni, (b) 84.65Cu-15.35Ni, (c) 72.6Cu-27.4Ni and (d) 63Cu-37Ni (measured by XRD).



Figure S3. Effect of Ni content on volume fraction of different texture components for hot rolled samples.



Figure S4. Grain average misorientation charts of Cu-Ni alloys in cold rolled state (90% deformation); (a) 100Cu-0Ni, (b) 84.5Cu-15.35Ni, (c) 72.6Cu-27.4Ni and (d) 63Cu-37Ni.



Figure S5. Grain orientation spread charts of Cu-Ni alloys in cold rolled state (90% deformation); (a) 100Cu-0Ni, (b) 84.5Cu-15.35Ni, (c) 72.6Cu-27.4Ni and (d) 63Cu-37Ni.



Figure S6. FESEM images of graphene transferred from 63Cu-37Ni alloy on (a) CuO nanorods and (b) CNTs. Scale bar in both images is 400 nm.



Figure S7. Schematic model of field emission from the hybrid structures prepared by transfer of graphene on CNTs or CuO nanorods.

Table S1. Ratio of brass component of rolled samples to brass component of annealed samples Bs(R)/Bs(A) and ratio of S component of rolled samples to S component of annealed samples S(R)/S(A).

Samples	Bs(R)/Bs(A)	S(R)/S(A)
100Cu-0Ni,	1.42	1.23
84.5Cu-15.35Ni	1.47	1.83
72.6Cu-27.4Ni	1.84	2.22
63Cu-37Ni.	1.83	2.09