# Synthesis and thermal expansion hysteresis of Ca<sub>1-x</sub>Sr<sub>x</sub>Zr<sub>4</sub>P<sub>6</sub>O<sub>24</sub>

BASAVARAJ ANGADI, V M JALI\*, M T LAGARE, N S KINI<sup> $\dagger$ </sup> and A M UMARJI<sup> $\dagger$ </sup>

Department of Physics, Gulbarga University, Gulbarga 585 106, India <sup>†</sup>Materials Research Centre, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560 012, India

MS received 17 January 2000; revised 26 March 2002

Abstract. The low thermal expansion ceramic system,  $Ca_{1-x}Sr_xZr_4P_6O_{24}$ , for the compositions with x = 0, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75 and 1 was synthesized by solid-state reaction. The sintering characteristics were ascertained by bulk density measurements. The fracture surface microstructure examined by scanning electron microscopy showed the average grain size of 2.47 µm for all the compositions. The thermal expansion data for these ceramic systems over the temperature range 25–800°C is reported. The sinterability of various solid solutions and the hysteresis in dilatometric behaviour are shown to be related to the crystallographic thermal expansion anisotropy. A steady increase in the amount of porosity and critical grain size with increase in x is suggested to explain the observed decrease in the hysteresis.

Keywords. Low-thermal expansion; thermal expansion hysteresis; NZP ceramics.

# 1. Introduction

Ca<sub>1-x</sub>Sr<sub>x</sub>Zr<sub>4</sub>P<sub>6</sub>O<sub>24</sub> (CSZP) system belongs to a large structural family of new low thermal expansion materials known as sodium zirconium phosphate (NZP) or calcium titanium phosphate (CTP) family discovered by Roy and co-workers (Alamo and Roy 1984; Roy *et al* 1984; Agrawal and Stubican 1985). The members of this family have received much attention in recent times because of their very low coefficient of thermal expansion (Alamo and Roy 1984; Lenain *et al* 1984; Roy *et al* 1984), controlled lattice thermal expansion anisotropy (Oota and Yamai 1986; Limaye *et al* 1987), fast ionic conductivity (Goodenough *et al* 1976; Hong 1976), high thermal and chemical stability (Harshe *et al* 1994) and flexibility towards ionic substitutions (Alamo and Roy 1986).

The NZP materials have an extraordinary crystal structure, which consists of a strongly bonded but flexible three-dimensional network of  $PO_4$  tetrahedra and  $ZrO_6$ octahedra. These polyhedra are interconnected through corner sharing, and develop a rigid and highly stable hexagonal lattice with structural holes that are partially or fully, depending upon the composition, occupied by calcium, strontium, sodium or any other substituting ion(s). The low thermal expansion behaviour of these materials is due to (i) strongly bonded polyhedra, (ii) the presence of structural holes which may absorb some of the thermal vibrations and (iii) anisotropy in the axial thermal expansions of the lattice. Lenain *et al* (1987) developed a structural model for thermal expansion based on the rotation of polyhedral network and the coupling between octahedra and tetrahedra that successfully explained the thermal expansion behaviour of alkali analogues of NZP members.

The tailorability of the thermal expansion of NZP materials by carefully selecting the composition provides scope for the synthesis of near zero expansion materials over any desired temperature range. Limaye et al (1987) investigated the synthesis and thermal expansion of  $MZr_4P_6O_{24}$  (M = Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba) and found that CaZr<sub>4</sub>P<sub>6</sub>O<sub>24</sub> (CZP) and SrZr<sub>4</sub>P<sub>6</sub>O<sub>24</sub> (SZP) exhibited opposing anisotropy: with increase in temperature the a-axis contracts in CZP but expands in SZP; on the other hand, the *c*-axis expands in CZP but contracts in SZP. Often there have been disagreements between the values of thermal expansion coefficient, a, derived from X-ray data and from dilatometric measurements. The difference can be attributed to the fact that in X-ray measurements with powder samples, particles are free to expand or contract while in dilatometric measurements on ceramics the particles are constrained. Ceramic bodies made out of such anisotropically expanding materials undergo extensive micro cracking during cooling from the sintered state and, hence, show characteristic hysteresis in bulk thermal expansion behaviour. The micro cracking due to thermal strain during cooling has been established through detection of acoustic emission during cooling cycles (Srikanth et al 1991). High sinter density and good mechanical strength are desired characteristics for enhancing the utility of these ceramics. Efforts have been made to improve mechanical strength and sinter density. Use of grain growth inhibitors such as in Zr<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub> (Yamai and Ota 1985), and of fine particles as in pseudobrookite

<sup>\*</sup>Author for correspondence

family (Cleaveland and Bradt 1978) or altering the axial thermal expansion coefficients by proper ionic substitution have helped in overcoming the problem to some extent.

The micro cracking starts when the grain size exceeds critical grain size, which is related to the maximum thermal expansion difference as follows:

$$G_{\rm cr} = \frac{k\tilde{a}_{\rm f}}{E(\Delta \boldsymbol{a}_{\rm max})^2 (\Delta T)^2},$$

where  $G_{cr}$  is the critical grain size of fracture, k is a function of the geometry of the modelled grain,  $g_{\rm f}$ the fracture surface energy, E the Young's modulus,  $\Delta \boldsymbol{a} = |\boldsymbol{a}_a - \boldsymbol{a}_c|$  is the anisotropic thermal expansion difference ( $a_a$  and  $a_c$  being thermal expansion coefficients along the *a*- and the *c*-axis respectively) and  $\Delta a_{max}$ the maximum value of  $\Delta a$  for the hexagonal system over the temperature range  $\Delta T$ . If  $\Delta a_{max}$  is high then  $G_{cr}$  will be small, which means that grain size has to be maintained below this value to achieve reasonable strength for the sintered ceramic. For example, in CSZP system it was found that the x = 0.50 composition exhibited almost no anisotropy in its axial thermal expansion (Limaye et al 1991) and is therefore expected to be free from micro cracking. Indeed while cooling this material from 600°C no acoustic emission was detected for this composition whereas for x = 0 and x = 1 compositions considerable acoustic emission was detected (Srikanth et al 1991). This indicates dependence of thermal expansion anisotropy on composition and its manifestation in the form of micro cracking. To make a systematic investigation of the linkage between the density, thermal expansion anisotropy, micro cracking and thermal hysteresis, in the present work, five different compositions in CSZP were prepared and characterized over wider temperature range from 25-800°C. The densification, lattice parameters, thermal expansion coefficient and hysteresis in dilatometric thermal expansion behaviour for all the compositions prepared under same processing conditions have been reported.

#### 2. Experimental

#### 2.1 Synthesis

In the system CSZP five compositions with x = 0, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75 and 1 were synthesized by solid-state reaction method. Reagent grade CaCO<sub>3</sub>, SrCO<sub>3</sub>, ZrO<sub>2</sub> and NH<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> were used as starting materials. The stoichiometric amounts of the desired composition were mixed and ground in acetone to homogenize and then airdried. The dry powder was calcined at different temperatures in steps to drive off the volatiles. The first step at 200°C for 15 h drove off water and NH<sub>3</sub> and the second step at 600°C for 4 h produced a molten mass. On

cooling, the mass was taken out of the furnace, ground again and subjected to the third step at 1000°C for 24 h. The calcined product was crushed and 1 wt% of polyvinyl alcohol in aqueous medium was added to it as a binder. It was then cold pressed at a pressure of 50 kN to get pellets of 12 mm diameter and 1 cm thickness. The pellets were finally sintered at 1250°C for 24 h to obtain the samples in single phase. The samples for each composition were characterized for their phase formation, density, microstructure and thermal expansion.

# 2.2 XRD

The phase identification for each of the sample was carried out by room temperature powder X-ray diffractometry (XRD) using Scintag X-ray diffractometer and CuK<sub>a</sub> (1.5406 Å) radiation at a scan speed of 2°/min in the 2**q** range 10° to 70°. The theoretical XRD pattern was generated by the computer code Lazy Pulverix (Yvon *et al* 1977) and the lattice parameter refinement was done by the linear least squares method.

## 2.3 Density

The theoretical density was calculated using the lattice parameters obtained from XRD. The actual density was measured by the method based on the Archimedes principle, using distilled water as a displacement liquid and a Mettler electronic balance with the model AE 240 density measurement kit. A sample was first dried in oven at 120°C and then weighed to get dry weight (D). Next, the sample was boiled in distilled water for 2 h and soaked for 24 h. Then it was suspended in water and suspended weight (S) was obtained. Finally, the sample was wiped with wet cloth to remove any water above the surface and weighed to get the wet weight (W). Bulk density, true density and apparent porosity were calculated as follows:

Bulk density = D/(W - S), True density = D/(D - S), Apparent porosity =  $[(W - D)/(W - S)] \times 100\%$ .

#### 2.4 SEM

The microstructure of the fracture surface of a sample was obtained using Leica Cambridge Stereoscan model S-360 scanning electron microscope (SEM). The average grain size was determined from such pictures by the linear intercept technique (Wurst and Nelson 1972). Several test lines were drawn on a photograph and the number of intercepts between the test lines and grain boundaries were counted. The average grain size was obtained using the relation

			Average thermal expansion coefficient					
			From dilatometric measurements $\mathbf{a} \times 10^6 (^{\circ}\mathrm{C}^{-1})$			From high temperature XRD $a_1 \times 10^6 (^{\circ}C^{-1})$		
Compo- sition ( <i>x</i> )	Lattice parameters at 25°C		Present	Present	Limaye et al	Calculated using data from Limaye	Calculated using data from	
	a (Å)	<i>c</i> (Å)	25–800°C	25–500°C	(1991) 25–500°C	25–500°C	25–800°C	
0	8.785 (5)	22.693 (14)	- 0.9 (1)	- 1.4 (1)	- 2.11	- 0.11	1.67	
0.25	8.758 (5)	22.920 (14)	0.8(1)	0.1(1)	0.60	_	-	
0.50	8.729 (4)	23.119 (13)	$2 \cdot 1 (1)$	1.6(1)	1.40	-0.10	_	
0.75	8.720 (4)	23.232(14)	$2 \cdot 3(1)$	$2 \cdot 3(1)$	2.50	_	_	
1	8.701 (5)	23.390 (13)	2.6 (1)	2.6(1)	3.20	2.01	2.35	

Table 1. The lattice parameters and the average thermal expansion coefficients for different compositions, x.

#### D = 1.56 C/(MN),

where D is the average grain size, C the total length of test lines used, N the number of intercepts, and M the magnification of the photograph. The proportionality constant 1.56 is a correction factor which was derived by Mendelson for random slices through a model system consisting of space filling tetrakaidecahedrally shaped grains with a log-normal size distribution (Mendelson 1969).

## 2.5 Thermal expansion

The bulk thermal expansion measurements were made using a home-built high temperature dilatometer (Umarji *et al* 1997). The change in the length of the sample, kept in a quartz cell, was detected using a linear variable differential transformer (LVDT) that was maintained at 73°C to avoid the effect of ambient temperature on its performance. Sample temperature was varied from 25°C to 800°C at the rate of 2°C/min during the heating and cooling cycles. The apparatus, calibrated using quartz and OFH copper, has a sensitivity of  $0.1 \times 10^{-6}$ /°C for the measurement of **a**. A PC based data acquisition system recorded thermal expansion data at intervals of 120 s averaged over 10 s at each point.

# 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Phase

The phase of the CZP (x = 0) and SZP (x = 1) samples were confirmed by comparing their XRD patterns with the standard JCPDS patterns No.33-321 and No.33-1360, respectively. All the five compositions in the CSZP system could be indexed on a hexagonal lattice and the lattice parameters calculated by the least squares method are shown in columns 2 and 3 of table 1.



**Figure 1.** Density and porosity values for different compositions, *x*.

#### 3.2 Density

The bulk density, true density and the apparent porosity values for the different compositions are compared with the theoretical densities in figure 1. The values of true density are found to increase with x and match well with the theoretical values. With increasing x, while bulk density decreases, the apparent porosity increases. Thus,

the difference between the true density and the bulk density is due mainly to the open porosity and the contribution of the closed porosity is minimum.

#### 3.3 Microstructure

The SEM photographs of fracture surfaces for samples with x = 0 and 0.50 are given in figure 2. The average grain size of sintered specimen in this study was 2.47 µm for all the compositions. This is larger than the critical grain size of 2 µm for x = 0 and smaller than that of more than 50 µm for x = 1 estimated by Yamai and Ota (1993). Thus we may expect that our samples with x = 0 having average grain size greater than the critical grain size may show effects of micro cracking. We will soon see that our thermal expansion results reflect this.

#### 3.4 Thermal expansion coefficient

The  $\Delta L/L$  vs temperature plots obtained during the heating and cooling cycles for the five compositions are

shown in figure 3. The x = 0 composition shows contraction during heating followed by further contraction during cooling cycle. All the other compositions (x =0.25, 0.50, 0.75 and 1) show expansion during heating and contraction during cooling. From these plots the average value of thermal expansion coefficient, a, over the temperature range 25-800°C are obtained and are shown in column 4 of table 1. We see that the x = 0.25composition shows minimum expansion and that the value of *a* increases with *x*. We may now compare our values of **a** with those reported by others. In literature, Limaye et al (1991) have reported a for CSZP and for compositions similar to ours but over a shorter temperature range 25-500°C. Hence, to compare our values with their values we have obtained again the *a* values over this temperature range from the plots in figure 3. The two sets of values are shown in columns 5 and 6, respectively of table 1. We see that variations of a with xshow similar trend in the two sets but the numerical values differ.

It would be of interest to compare our measured a with the value that can be obtained using the high temperature cell volume data. For x = 0, 0.50 and 1 compositions, using the  $a_a$  and  $a_c$  data of Limaye *et al* (1991) over the



**Figure 2.** SEM photographs for two compositions of *x*: (**a**) 0 and (**b**) 0.50 (Bar = 2  $\mu$ m).

**Figure 3.** Dilatometric thermal expansion plots for different compositions of *x*.

temperature range 25-500°C and our values for cell parameters at 25°C we have calculated corresponding average volume expansion coefficient  $a_v$  and then obtained  $a_1 = a_y/3$  over this range. Similarly, using the data over the temperature range 25-800°C reported by Huang (1990) for the two end compositions (x = 0 and 1) we have obtained  $a_1 = a_y/3$ . These are shown respectively in columns 7 and 8 of table 1. Thus table 1 shows the available values of  $\boldsymbol{a}$  obtained from dilatometry and from the high temperature XRD. It is evident that there is a considerable disagreement. For x = 0 while Huang (1990) data predicts a positive a, our samples show high negative value; on the other hand the negative value from Limaye et al (1991) data is numerically much smaller. For x = 0.50 the high temperature data of Limaye *et al* (1991) gives a small negative value but the dilatometric measurements yield a high positive value. Finally for x = 1 our value is higher than both but nearer to that of Huang (1990). We may attribute the negative value of afor x = 0 of our sample and as well as that of Limaye et al (1991) to the extensive micro cracks pre-existing in the material. Repeated thermal cycling of such a ceramic will eventually lead to a mechanically weak body.



**Figure 4.** Variation of area under hysteresis curve with composition, *x*.

#### 3.5 Thermal expansion anisotropy and hysteresis

The thermal expansion plots during the heating and cooling cycles for CSZP samples represented in figure 3 show different amounts of hysteresis for different compositions. The area under these hysteresis curves as a function of the composition is shown in figure 4. We see that the hysteresis is maximum for x = 0 composition and decreases progressively with increasing x. Yamai and Ota (1993) also observed similar hysteresis behaviour for CZP material when the average grain size was greater than 2 µm. The progressive decrease in the hysteresis with x denotes the corresponding increase in the value of critical grain size. It is known that Sr substitution generally decreases the anisotropy leading to substantial increase in the critical grain size. Hence a CSZP system with composition  $x \neq 0$  and heat treated as above can have the grain size below the respective critical limit. To understand the association between the hysteresis and the thermal expansion anisotropy, the  $\Delta a$  values reported by Limaye et al (1991) and by Yamai and Ota (1993) for CSZP system are shown in table 2. We notice that  $\Delta a$  is high for x = 0 and low for x = 1 and therefore it is expected that x = 0 should show large hysteresis and the x = 1 should show little hysteresis. Our results of figure 4 bear out this expectation.

It is worth noting the correlation between the density data and the thermal expansion hysteresis data. The tendency of decrease in hysteresis with x can also be related to the progressive increase in the amount of porosity, which can take the strain developed by the thermal expansion anisotropy and can block the propagation of micro cracks. Therefore CZP (x = 0 composition) which is having high bulk density, high thermal expansion anisotropy and grain size larger than the critical limit shows more effects of micro cracks and, hence, exhibits large hysteresis in the bulk thermal expansion. The SZP (x = 1 composition), which is having low bulk density, low thermal expansion anisotropy and grain size smaller than the critical limit shows less micro cracks and hence least hysteresis. Thus, we see that the Sr substitution reduces bulk density, increases a and the critical grain size for micro cracking to occur with the consequent reduction in thermal expansion hysteresis.

**Table 2.** Literature values of thermal expansion anisotropy,  $\Delta a$ , and critical grain size for different compositions, *x*.

	$\Delta a  imes 1$		
Compo- sition ( <i>x</i> )	Limaye <i>et al</i> (1991) (over 25–500°C)	Yamai and Ota (1993) (over 25–500°C)	Critical grain size (Yamai and Ota 1993)
0 0·50 1	$15.0 \\ 1.80 \\ 4.80$	19·1  0·9	$2.0 \ \mu m$ > $50 \ \mu m$

# 4. Conclusions

We have shown that both sinter density and thermal expansion behaviour are functions of chemical composition in the system CSZP. The bulk density decreases with increase in Sr content. Thermal expansion coefficients are obtained over wider temperature range. The correlation between the composition, critical grain size for micro cracking to occur, thermal expansion anisotropy and the thermal expansion hysteresis have been demonstrated.

# Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the Nuclear Science Centre (New Delhi) under the project No. UFUP-2303.

## References

- Agrawal D K and Stubican V S 1985 Mater. Res. Bull. 20 99
- Alamo J and Roy R 1984 J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 67 C78
- Alamo J and Roy R 1986 J. Mater. Sci. 21 444
- Cleaveland J J and Bradt R C 1978 J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 61 478
- Goodenough J B, Hong H Y-P and Kofalas J A 1976 Mater. Res. Bull. 11 203

- Harshe G, Agrawal D K and Limaye S Y 1994 J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 77 1965
- Hong H Y-P 1976 Mater. Res. Bull. 11 173
- Huang C-Y 1990 Thermal expansion behaviour of sodium zirconium phosphate structure type materials, Ph.D. thesis, Pennsylvania State University, Pennsylvania
- Lenain G E, McKinstry H A, Limaye S Y and Woodward A 1984 Mater. Res. Bull. 19 1451
- Lenain G E, McKinstry H A, Alamo J and Agrawal D K 1987 J. Mater. Sci. 22 17
- Limaye S Y, Agrawal D K and McKinstry H A 1987 J. Am. Ceram. Soc. **70** C232
- Limaye S Y, Agrawal D K, Roy R and Mehrotra Y 1991 J. Mater. Sci. 26 93
- Mendelson M I 1969 J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 52 443
- Oota T and Yamai 1986 J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 69 1
- Roy R, Agrawal D K, Alamo J and Roy R A 1984 *Mater. Res. Bull.* **19** 471
- Srikanth V, Subbarao E C, Agrawal D K, Huang C-Y, Roy R and Rao G V 1991 *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **74** 365
- Umarji A M, Senbhagaraman S and Radhika Rao M V 1997 J. Instr. Soc. India 27 109
- Wurst J C and Nelson J A 1972 J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 55 109
- Yamai I and Ota T 1985 J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 68 273
- Yamai I and Ota T 1993 J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 76 487
- Yvon R, Wolfgang J and Ervin P 1977 J. Appl. Cryst. 10 73

#### 196