



Fig.1. A perspective view of the decapeptide molecule.

Table 1

Main chain conformational angles ($^{\circ}$) in the decapeptide

	ϕ	ψ	ω
Aib	-53	-35	-176
Pro	-59	-27	-179
Val	-70	-16	-178
Aib	-53	-34	-176
Val	-64	-12	175
Ala	-61	-16	171
Aib	-50	-33	-177
Ala	-65	-20	176
Aib	-63	-24	-179
Aib	50	40 ^a	-176 ^a

^a ψ and ω for the C-terminal residue are defined by assuming that the methoxyl oxygen is stereochemically equivalent to a peptide nitrogen atom

Table 2

Intramolecular hydrogen bond parameters in the decapeptide

Hydrogen bond	N---O (\AA)	H-N---O ($^{\circ}$)
Boc CO---HN Val(3)	3.19	9
Aib(1) CO---HN Aib(4)	3.00	15
Val(3) CO---HN Ala(6)	3.02	4
Aib(4) CO---HN Aib(7)	3.13	0
Val(5) CO---HN Ala(8)	2.94	9
Ala(6) CO---HN Aib(9)	3.00	19
Aib(7) CO---HN Aib(10)	3.11	12

listed in table 2. The molecule assumes a nearly regular right-handed 3_{10} helical conformation, with $\#, +$ values close to their ideal values of $\phi = -60^{\circ}$, $\psi = -30^{\circ}$. All the intramolecular 4—1 hydrogen bonds appropriate for a 3_{10} helix are formed, with one exception. The NH group of Val(5) is directed towards the CO group of Pro(2) but the observed N---O separation of 3.46 \AA is rather large for a good hydrogen bond.

We have determined the crystal structure of the amino (residues 1–5) and carboxy (residues 6–10) terminal pentapeptide fragments of the decapeptide. The 1–5 pentapeptide [7] assumes a 4-fold helical conformation, whereas the 6–10 fragment is an almost perfect 3_{10} helix [10,18]. However, in the decapeptide an almost uniform 3_{10} helix is observed over the entire length, although some distortion exists in the amino-terminal half, on account of the increased separation of the Pro(2) CO and Val(5) NH groups. A 3_{10} helical conformation with 8 intramolecular N—H---O hydrogen bonds, 7 strong and 1 weak, has been established in CDCl_3 and $(\text{CD}_3)_2\text{SO}$ solutions for this decapeptide, from ^1H NMR studies [19]. The solid state structure is thus in general agreement with the postulated structure in solution.

The decapeptide, whose structure has been described above, corresponds to the amino-terminal sequence originally proposed for the channel forming polypeptide, suzukacillin A [20]. Subsequently this sequence has been revised, by deleting the 2–5 segment of the decapeptide, —Pro—Val—Aib—Val— (G. Jung, personal communication). Nevertheless, the fact that the decapeptide folds into a 3_{10} helix despite the

presence of 2 Val residues, which have a low preference for helical conformations [21], demonstrates the high propensity of Aib residues to dictate helical folding. This study also establishes that 3_{10} helical conformations may be favoured even in relatively large oligopeptides, contrary to earlier suggestions that only α -helical structures are likely to be adopted in peptides longer than 5–6 residues [4,12]. However, as mentioned earlier, an 11-residue Aib-containing peptide [11,12] and the 20-residue peptide alamethicin [13] fold into α -helices. It would therefore appear that the difference between the propensities of Aib residues for promoting 3_{10} and α -helical conformations in peptides, is marginal. The effect of the proportion and precise positioning of Aib in a given peptide, the role of peptide–peptide interactions and the influence of solvent in the choice between 3_{10} and α -helices are, however, yet to be established.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by the Department of Science and Technology (Government of India) and the University Grants Commission (India). We thank Dr K. Suguna and Mr V. Sudhakar for discussions on the vector search method.

REFERENCES

- [1] Jung, G., Bruckner, H. and Schmitt, H. (1981) in: *Structure and Activity of Natural Peptides* (Vochter, W. and Weitzel, G. eds) pp.75–114, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York.
- [2] Mathew, M.K. and Balaram, P. (1983) *Mol. Cell Biochem.* in press.
- [3] Nagaraj, R. and Balaram, P. (1981) *Acc. Chem. Res.* **14**, 356–362.
- [4] Jung, G., Bosch, R., Katz, E., Schmitt, H., Voges, K.P. and Winter, W. (1983) *Biopolymers*, in press.
- [5] Iqbal, M. and Balaram, P. (1981) *Biochemistry* **20**, 7278–7285.
- [6] Boheim, G., Hanke, W. and Jung, G. (1983) *Biophys. Struct. Mech.* in press.
- [7] Francis, A.K., Iqbal, M., Balaram, P. and Vijayan, M. (1982) *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin II*, 1235–1239.
- [8] Benedetti, E., Bavoso, A., Di Blasio, B., Pavone, V., Pedone, C., Crisma, M., Bonora, G.M. and Toniolo, C. (1982) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **104**, 2437–2444.
- [9] Benedetti, E., Bavoso, A., Di Blasio, B., Pavone, V., Pedone, C., Toniolo, C. and Bonora, G.M. (1982) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **79**, 7951–7954.
- [10] Francis, A.K., Pulla Rao, Ch., Iqbal, M., Nagaraj, R., Vijayan, M. and Balaram, P. (1982) *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **106**, 1240–1247.
- [11] Butters, T., Hulter, P., Jung, G., Pauls, N., Schmitt, H., Sheldrick, G.M. and Winter, W. (1981) *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **20**, 889–890.
- [12] Schmitt, H., Winter, W., Bosch, R. and Jung, G. (1982) *Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1304**–1321.
- [13] Fox, R.O. jr and Richards, F.M. (1982) *Nature* **300**, 325–330.
- [14] Nagaraj, R. and Balaram, P. (1981) *Tetrahedron* **37**, 1263–1270.
- [15] Nordman, C.E. (1980) in: *Computing in Crystallography* (Diamond, R. et al. eds) pp.5.01–5.04, The Indian Academy of Sciences, Bangalore.
- [16] Germain, G., Main, P. and Woolfson, M.M. (1971) *Acta Crystallogr.* **A27**, 368–376.
- [17] IUPAC–IUB Commission on Biochemical Nomenclature (1970) *Biochemistry* **8**, 3471–3479.
- [18] Francis, A.K., Iqbal, M., Balaram, P. and Vijayan, M. (1983) *Biopolymers*, in press.
- [19] Iqbal, M. and Balaram, P. (1981) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **103**, 5548–5552.
- [20] Jung, C., Konig, W.A., Liebfritz, D., Ooka, T., Janko, K. and Boheim, G. (1976) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **433**, 164–181.
- [21] Chou, P.Y. and Fasman, G.D. (1978) *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **47**, 251–276.