Interactions of the channel forming peptide alamethicin with artificial and natural membranes

MANOJ K. DAS and P. BALARAM

Molecular Biophysics Unit, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560012, India

MS received 9 July 1984

Abstract. Alamethicin and related α -aminoisobutyric acid peptides form transmembrane channels across lipid bilayers. This article briefly reviews studies on the effect of alamethicin on lipid phase transitions in lipid bilayers and on mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation. Fluorescence polarization studies, employing 1,6-diphenyl-1,3,5-hexatriene as a probe, suggest that alamethicin fluidizes lipid bilayers below the phase transition temperature, but has little effect above the gel-liquid crystal transition point. Alamethicin is shown to function as an uncoupler of oxidative phosphorylation in rat liver mitochondria. The influence of alamethicin on mitochondrial respiration is modulated by the phosphate ion concentration in the medium. Classical uncoupling activity is evident at low phosphate levels while inhibitory effects set in at higher phosphate concentrations. Time-dependent changes in respiration rates following peptide addition are rationalized in terms of alamethicin interactions with mitochondrial membrane components.

Keywords. Alamethicin; membrane channels; mitochondrial uncouplers; mitochondrial inhibitors; peptide-lipid interactions; membranes.

Introduction

Alamethicin and related α -aminoisobutyric acid (Aib) containing peptides of microbial origin, form voltage dependent channels across lipid bilayer membranes (Mathew and Balaram, 1983a, 1984; Jung *et al.*, 1981; Mueller and Rudin, 1968; Mueller, 1976; Hall, 1978). These systems provide an excellent model for investigating the molecular events involved in the formation and gating of membrane channels. Over the past few years considerable effort has been devoted towards establishing the structural characteristics of these channel forming peptides (Nagaraj and Balaram, 1981; Prasad and Balaram, 1984; Toniolo *et al.*, 1983; Smith *et al.*, 1981; Fox and Richards, 1982; Banerjee *et al.*, 1983; Schmitt *et al.*, 1982). Figure 1 lists a few representative sequences for this class of peptides. The general consensus of these studies has favoured an overall helical conformation for these polypeptides, with channels being formed by aggregation of peptides in the lipid bilayer, generating supramolecular structures having a central aqueous core (figure 2) (Iqbal and Balaram, 1981; Fox and Richards, 1982).

An important feature of 3_{10} or α -helical peptide structures is the existence of a macrodipole moment along the helix axis, with the amino and carboxy termini serving

Abbreviations used Aib, α -Aminoisobutyric; DPH, 1,6-diphenyl-1,3,5-hexatriene; P, polarization; DPPC, dipalmitoylphosphatidyl choline; DMPC, dimyristoylphosphatidyl choline; P_i , phosphate ions; FCCP, carbonyl cyanide-p-trifluoromethoxyphenylhydrazone.

Alamethicin I (I) : Ac-Aib-Pro-Aib-Ala-Aib-Ala-Gln-Aib-Val-Aib-Gly-Leu-Aib-Pro
(Aib)
-Val-Aib-Aib-Glu-Gln-Phol

Suzukacillin A(B) : Ac-Aib-Ala-Aib-Ala-Aib-Ala-Gln-Aib-Aib-Aib-Gly-Leu-Aib-Pro-Val
(Iva) (Aib) (Leu)
-Aib-Iva-Glu-Gln-Phol
(Aib)(Gln)

Trichotoxin A 40/50 : Ac-Aib-Gly-Aib-Leu-Aib-Gln-Aib-Aib-Aib-Ala-Aib-Aib-Pro-Leu
(Ala) (Ala)
-Aib-Iva-Glu-Valol
(Aib)(Gln)

Emerimicin III (IV) : Ac-Phe-Aib-Aib-Aib-Val-Gly-Leu-Aib-Aib-Hyp-Gln-Iva-Hyp
-Ala-Phol
(Aib)

Zervomicin II A : Ac-Trp-Ile-Gln-Aib-Ile-Thr-Aib-Leu-Aib-Hyp-Gln-Aib-Hyp
-Aib-Pro-Phol

Paracelsin A : Ac-Aib-Ala-Aib-Ala-Aib-Ala-Gln-Aib-Val-Aib-Gly-Aib-Aib
-Pro-Val-Aib-Aib-Gln-Gln-Phol

Figure 2. Schematic representation of transmembrane channel formation by lipid phase aggregation of helical, rodlike peptide structures.

as the positive and negative ends of the dipole, respectively (Wada, 1976; Hol *et al.*, 1981). In the case of alamethicin and the related peptide trichotoxin, dipole moments of 40–75 D have been estimated experimentally in solvents like octanol and dioxane (Yantorno *et al.*, 1982; Schwarz and Savko, 1982a,b; Schwarz *et al.*, 1983). Dipole-dipole interactions may therefore play an important role in stabilizing aggregates of membrane spanning peptides in the lipid phase (Mathew and Balaram, 1983a,b, 1984). The conductivity characteristics of channels may then be modulated by changing aggregate size and also by altering transmembrane orientations of peptide monomers in the aggregate, thus changing the net dipole moment of the channel. Two recent models, which attempt to rationalise available experimental observations on potential dependent channel formation by alamethicin and data on pore state fluctuations, have ascribed a key role to helix dipole interactions (Mathew and Balaram, 1983b; Balaram, 1983; Boheim *et al.*, 1983; Jung *et al.*, 1983). Figure 3 summarises the main features of a model for alamethicin channels proposed from this laboratory. It is clear that both peptide-peptide and peptide-lipid interactions will determine channel properties. For

Figure 3. Proposed model for voltage dependent channel formation by alamethicin. (a) Aggregate of peptide helices in the aqueous phase. The projection represents a polar sidechain, presumably Gln (7). (b) Membrane phase aggregate formed by insertion of peptide aggregate into the bilayer and rotation about the helix axis of each molecule to form intermolecular hydrogen bonds. A central peptide molecule (core piece) blocks the channel. (c) Voltage dependent extrusion of the core piece which would be a macrodipole. **This is** facilitated by lack of intermolecular hydrogen bonds to its neighbours. (d) Model for pore state transitions in which net dipole state or aggregation number changes. This **occurs** either by monomer exchange or peptide flip-flop across the bilayer (Mathew and Balaram, 1983b; Balaram, 1983).

example, pore state lifetimes could be determined by membrane lipid 'fluidity'; a more mobile lipid phase permitting facile peptide diffusion, leading to rapid formation and breakdown of functional aggregates. The effects of alamethicin and related amphipathic, helical peptides on natural membranes may be influenced by dipole-dipole interactions of the peptide with transmembrane segments of integral proteins.

Detailed accounts of the structural characteristics of alamethicin and its synthetic fragments (Nagaraj and Balaram, 1981; Prasad and Balaram, 1984; Jung *et al.*, 1981; Fax and Richards 1982) and discussions of membrane modifying properties are available (Mathew and Balaram 1983a, 1984). There have been relatively few reports on the effects of alamethicin incorporation on the structural properties of artificial and natural membranes (Chapman *et al.*, 1969; Hauser *et al.*, 1970; Finer *et al.*, 1969; Lau and Chan, 1974, 1975, 1976; McIntosh *et al.*, 1982; Irmscher and Jung, 1977; Bessler *et al.*, 1979), in contrast to the large volume of electrochemical data on black lipid membrane systems. The observations that alamethicin pores result in the unmasking of inner surface membrane enzymes in diverse systems (Jones *et al.*, 1977, 1980; Lad and White, 1979; Besch *et al.*, 1977; Herman *et al.*, 1980; Misselwitz *et al.*, 1979; Bonnafous *et al.*, 1982; Monneron and d'Alayer, 1980; Bessler *et al.*, 1979), suggest that large channels can form in natural membranes. These, in turn, permit transmembrane passage of bulky, charged substrates. The observation that alamethicin (Takaishi *et al.*, 1980; Mathew *et al.*, 1981a) and its synthetic fragments (Mathew *et al.*, 1981a,b, 1982)

uncouple mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation, has been interpreted in terms of effective dissipation of H^+ gradients across the inner mitochondrial membrane by alamethicin channels (Mathew *et al.*, 1981a, 1982). This article briefly reviews recent results from this laboratory on the effects of alamethicin on artificial lipid bilayers and oxidative phosphorylation in mitochondria.

Peptide-lipid interactions

Fluorescence polarization studies, using 1,6-diphenyl-1,3,5-hexatriene (DPH) as a probe, can be employed to monitor the physical state of lipid bilayers. The gel-liquid crystal phase transition, is accompanied by a substantial increase in the disorder of the hydrocarbon chains of lipid molecules, resulting in increased rotational and translational mobilities of dissolved spectroscopic probes. In the case of DPH incorporated into lipid bilayers, there is a large increase in rotational reorientation rates above the phase transition, leading to a significant fall in the value of the fluorescence polarization (P). DPH has therefore, been extensively employed as a probe of the microviscosity of artificial and natural membranes (Shinitzky and Barenholz, 1978).

Figure 4 shows the results of experiments designed to monitor the effects of peptide

Figure 4. Effect of peptides on the phase transition of DL- α -DPPC liposomes monitored using DPH fluorescence polarization P as a probe. (O), DPPC; (□), DPPC + suzukacillin fragment 16G, (Δ), DPPC + alamethicin fragment Z-1-17-OH, (A), DPPC + alamethicin. Peptide: lipid mol ratio is 1:2.3. DPPC ($1.09 \mu\text{mol}$) and peptide ($0.46 \mu\text{mol}$) were taken in $\text{CHCl}_3\text{-CH}_3\text{OH}$ (2:1 v/v) and the solvent evaporated in a stream of nitrogen. The film was dried under vacuum, 2 ml 5 mM HEPES-100 mM NaCl, pH 7.0 was added and the solution heated to 45°C and mixed vigorously on a vortex mixer. The suspension was sonicated until it became optically clear (Branson B-12 sonifier, output power 40 W). The solution was centrifuged to remove particulate matter. 1 ml of $2 \mu\text{M}$ DPH in the same buffer was added to 1 ml of the liposome solution. The solutions were incubated at 45°C for 2 h to permit DPH incorporation. Polarization values were measured on a Perkin-Elmer MPF-44 A fluorescence spectrometer equipped with a polarization accessory. $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 360 \text{ nm}$, $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 430 \text{ nm}$. Temperature control was accomplished using a thermostatted cell assembly and a controlled temperature water circulator. Polarization values are averages of 4 determinations.

incorporation on the thermal phase transition of dipalmitoylphosphatidyl choline (DPPC) liposomes. In the absence of peptide DPPC shows a sharp transition centered at 41°C, with *P* values of 0.36–0.37 below the transition and values of ~0.08 above the transition temperature. Incorporation of 30 mole per cent of alamethicin, dramatically perturbs the bilayer, resulting in a large drop in the *P* value even at 30°C. On heating the lipid-peptide complexes, a broad transition is observed, with very low *P* values (~0.03) at higher temperatures. Alamethicin thus appears to “fluidize” the gel phase of DPPC liposomes. A 17-residue synthetic fragment of alamethicin (benzyloxycarbonyl-Aib-Pro-Aib-Ala-Aib-Ala-Gln-Aib-Val-Aib-Gly-Leu-Aib-Pro-Val-Aib-Aib-OH, Z-1-17-OH) and a 16-residue suzukacillin fragment (Boc-Ala-Aib-Ala-Aib-Aib-Cln-Aib-Leu-Aib-Gly-Leu-Aib-Pro-Val-Aib-Aib-OMe, 16G) were also examined. Figure 4 demonstrates that both these peptides have a much less pronounced effect on DPPC bilayers, as compared to alamethicin. In both cases there is a detectable broadening of the phase transition. It is of interest to note that the limiting *P* value at high temperature is significantly greater in the case of the neutral peptide 16G, as compared to DPPC alone or in the presence of alamethicin or Z-1-17-OH. This may imply that 16G forms a relatively more tightly packed peptide lipid complex above the lipid phase transition temperature. Both the 16 and 17 residue peptides have been shown to form channels which transport Ca^{2+} in synthetic liposomes (Nagaraj *et al.*, 1980; Iqbal, 1982). Their activity is, however, less than that observed for alamethicin. Peptide aggregation in both aqueous and lipid phases may play an important role in channel formation (Mathew and Balaram, 1983a,b, 1984; Mathew *et al.*, 1982). It has been shown that the ease of aqueous phase aggregation is dependent on peptide chain length, longer peptides associating at lower concentrations than shorter fragments (Mathew *et al.*, 1981b, 1982). The differential effects of the peptides on the lipid phase transition may reflect differences in their surface activity or ‘detergent like’ properties, with alamethicin acting as a more effective perturbant than its shorter fragments. It should be noted that peptide effects on the lipid phase transition have been monitored at significantly higher peptide-lipid ratios, than those employed in cation translocation studies (Nagaraj *et al.*, 1980; Mathew *et al.*, 1982).

Figure 5 compares the effect of increasing alamethicin concentration on the *P* values observed for DPH incorporated in DPPC, dimyristoylphosphatidyl choline (DMPC)

Figure 5. Influence of alamethicin on the fluorescence polarization of DPH incorporated into different liposomes, (a), DPPC: (□), DMPC; (○), egg yolk lecithin. Lipid-peptide suspensions (28 : 1) were prepared as described in the legend to figure 4. Further increases of peptide concentration were accomplished by injection of 1 μl of an alamethicin stock solution (9.8 mM) into the lipid suspensions, followed by sonication. All other conditions are as described for figure 4.

and egg lecithin vesicles. The phase transition temperatures for these systems, in the absence of peptide are 41°C, 23°C and -7 to -15°C, respectively (Ladbroke and Chapman, 1969). The experiments summarized in figure 5 were carried out at 25°C. Peptide incorporation lowers the *P* value only in the case of DPPC, but is largely without effect on DMPC and egg lecithin, which are already in a fluid state above their respective transition temperatures. Peptide molecules appear to be easily incorporated into fluid bilayers, without further significant perturbation of lipid packing. This observation is of relevance for studies of peptide interaction with natural membrane systems, where lipid phases are generally in a 'fluid' state.

Peptide effects on mitochondria

The energy derived from substrate oxidation in mitochondria is utilized for phosphorylating ADP in mitochondria. The chemiosmotic hypothesis visualizes energy transduction via an electrochemical H^+ and potential gradient across the inner mitochondrial membrane as a means of coupling the oxidation and phosphorylation reactions (Mitchell, 1966). Substances which break down transmembrane H^+ or ion gradients (protonophores or ionophores) can serve to uncouple oxidative phosphorylation (Green, 1977). Channel forming peptides would therefore be expected to act as uncouplers and indeed one of the earliest characterized uncouplers was the peptide antibiotic, gramicidin A (Hotchkiss, 1944). The initial observation that the natural peptides alamethicin and hyphelcin uncouple oxidative phosphorylation in rat liver mitochondria (Takaishi *et al.*, 1980) was extended to demonstrate qualitatively similar activities for synthetic alamethicin and its shorter fragments (Mathew *et al.*, 1981a,b, 1982). Figure 6 shows representative experiments on the effect of various peptide

Figure 6. Effect of alamethicin and its fragments on state 4 respiration of rat liver mitochondria. Substrate used was succinate. Numbers shown on traces indicate O_2 consumption in ng atoms O /min/mg mitochondrial protein. Peptide nomenclature: P-1-n-c where P is the protecting group, n the number of residues in the alamethicin sequence and c the C-terminal group. Z = benzyloxycarbonyl, Ac = acetyl, OMe = methyl ester, OBz = benzyl ester (Mathew and Balaram, 1984).

fragments on oxygen consumption by mitochondria. Uncoupling efficiency depends on chain length, with the longer peptides acting at lower concentrations. An interesting feature is that the uncoupling activity of alamethicin is dependent on the concentration of phosphate ions (P_i) in the medium. Significant enhancement of respiratory rates is observed at P_i concentrations between 1 and 10 mM (Takaishi *et al.*, 1980). While P_i dependent uncoupling has been observed in the case of the cationic cyanine dye uncouplers (Terada, 1979) and peptide carrier ionophores like valinomycin (Moore and Pressman, 1964), the role of P_i in this process remains to be clearly understood (Terada, 1981).

We have therefore examined the influence of a wide range of P_i concentrations (2.5–100 mM) on the effects of alamethicin on oxidative phosphorylation. Figure 7 shows the effect of alamethicin on state 4 mitochondria at various peptide and P_i concentrations. Upto 25 mM P_i alamethicin behaves as an uncoupler, stimulating respiration, at peptide concentrations varying from 0.045–0.3 μ M. At a higher range of P_i concentrations (50–100 mM) there is an initial stimulation followed by a distinct reduction in the respiratory rate, suggestive of an inhibitory effect. This tendency is particularly evident in the results for alamethicin concentrations of 0.075–0.15 μ M, at 100 mM P_i . A further feature of interest is that at 2.5 mM P_i and low alamethicin concentration (0.075 μ M), there is an initial lag period before stimulation of O_2 consumption sets in (figure 7a, trace i).

Figure 8 compares the effect of alamethicin, with those of the bee venom peptide melittin (H_2N -Gly-Ile-Gly-Ala-Val-Leu-Lys-Val-Leu-Thr-Thr-Gly-Leu-Pro-Ala-

Figure 7. Effect of alamethicin on state 4 respiration in rat liver mitochondria suspended in media with P_i concentrations of (a) 2.5 mM, (b) 25 mM, (c) 50 mM and (d) 100 mM. Alamethicin concentrations are: (a) 0.075 μ M (i), 0.105 μ M (ii), 0.15 μ M (iii), 0.30 μ M (iv). (b) 0.045 μ M (i), 0.075 μ M (ii), 0.150 μ M (iii), 0.30 μ M (iv). (c) 0.03 μ M (i), 0.045 μ M (ii), 0.075 μ M (iii), 0.15 μ M (iv), 0.3 μ M (v). (d) 0.0075 μ M (i), 0.015 μ M (ii), 0.03 μ M (iii), 0.045 μ M (iv), 0.075 μ M (v), 0.15 μ M (vi). Mitochondrial protein concentration $\sim$ 0.48 mg/ml. Mitochondria were isolated from rat liver by the procedure of Pedersen *et al.* (1978) and suspended in 2 ml of assay medium, containing D(–) mannitol (220 mM), sucrose (70 mM), HEPES (2 mM), EGTA (0.5 mM), $MgCl_2$ (2.5 mM) and KH_2PO_4 at varying concentrations as indicated. pH was adjusted to 7.0. Oxygen consumption was monitored using a Hansatech oxygen electrode at 33°C. Points 1, 2, 3 indicate additions of succinate (7.5 mM), ADP and alamethicin, respectively, ADP was added at concentrations of (a) 72 μ M, (b) 90 μ M, (c) 110 μ M and (d) 77 μ M. Alamethicin stock solutions were prepared in ethanol and added volumes did not exceed 5 μ l.

Figure 8. A comparison of the effects of alamethicin (a), melittin (b) and FCCP (c) on state 4 respiration of rat liver mitochondria suspended in a medium containing 50 mM P_i . Alamethicin concentrations (i) 0.03 μ M, (ii) 0.045 μ M, (iii) 0.075 μ M, (iv) 0.15 μ M, (v) 0.3 μ M. Melittin (i) 0.30 μ M (ii) 0.5 μ M, (iii) 0.75 μ M (iv) 1.5 μ M (v) 2.25 μ M. FCCP (i) 0.003 μ M, (ii) 0.01 μ M (iii) 0.0145 μ M (iv) 0.018 μ M (v) 0.039 μ M (vi) 0.051 μ M (vii) 0.45 μ M. Mitochondrial protein concentrations (a) 0.49 mg/ml, (b) 0.59 mg/ml and (c) 0.64 mg/ml for i-v and 0.75 mg/ml for vi and vii. ADP concentrations (a) 110 μ M (b) 110 μ M and (c) 124 μ M. All other conditions as described in figure 7.

Leu-Ile-Ser-Trp-Ile-Lys-Arg-Lys-Arg-Gln-Gln-NH₂) and the classical protonophoric uncoupler, carbonylcyanide-*p*-trifluoromethoxyphenylhydrazone (FCCP), at a P_i concentration of 50 mM. Melittin is known to form channels in artificial lipid membranes and is therefore expected to uncouple mitochondria (Tosteson and Tosteson, 1981). Alamethicin at a concentration of 0.15 μ M shows a distinct reduction in O₂ consumption rate after an initial stimulation. In contrast, both melittin and FCCP do not show any evidence for a reduction in respiratory rate at the concentration studied.

A constant rate of O₂ consumption following peptide addition to state 4 mitochondria results in a linear fall in O₂ level with time, until the anaerobic limit is attained. This kind of behaviour is normally observed for classical uncouplers and is seen in figure 8 for melittin and FCCP. Changes in O₂ consumption rate as a function of time, after uncoupler addition result in non-linear, curved traces. It is useful to analyze this time dependence of O₂ consumption rate. The results of such an analysis are shown in figure 9, for various alamethicin concentrations at P_i concentrations of 2.5 and 50 mM. Respiration rates have been measured by taking slopes at different time intervals along the O₂ consumption curve. Values have been taken only at points which correspond to total O₂ concentrations of at least 200 nanogram atoms/ml. At these levels there is no inherent dependence of respiration rate on total O₂ concentration. In the case of $P_i = 2.5$ mM, there is a time dependent increase in O₂ consumption after peptide addition, at low alamethicin concentrations. For 0.18 μ M peptide there is an initial increase in respiration rate after addition, followed by a decreased rate of O₂ consumption which appears at shorter times after peptide addition and also at higher alamethicin concentrations. Figure 9b demonstrates a marked difference in the observed behaviour at $P_i = 50$ mM. For alamethicin concentrations below 0.75 μ M the

Figure 9. Time dependence of O₂ consumption rate following alamethicin addition to state 4 mitochondria. (a) Assay medium containing 2.5 mM KH₂PO₄. Alamethicin concentrations (●), 0.0075 μM; (○), 0.105 μM; (□), 0.18 μM; (△), 0.225 μM; (■), 0.49 μM; (▲), 1.05 μM. Mitochondrial protein 0.47 mg/ml. (—) indicates normal state 4 respiration rate. (b) Assay medium containing 50 mM KH₂PO₄. Alamethicin concentrations (△), 0.03 μM; (▽), 0.045 μM; (□), 0.06 μM; (○), 0.075 μM; (▲), 0.15 μM; (▼), 0.225 μM; (●), 0.30 μM; (□), 0.45 μM; (◇), 0.75 μM. Mitochondrial protein 0.49 mg/ml. --- indicates normal state 4 respiration rate. *Inset:* Dependence of O₂ consumption rate immediately after alamethicin addition (initial slope) on peptide concentration.

respiration rate shows little time dependence. However, at higher peptide concentrations there is a sharp initial enhancement of respiration following peptide addition, with a rapid subsequent drop in the rate. At an alamethicin level $\geq 0.3 \mu\text{M}$ a drop below the normal state 4 respiration rate is observed, suggestive of an inhibitory effect. Figure 9b (inset) shows the influence of alamethicin concentration on the initial O₂ consumption rate, measured immediately after peptide addition. The initial rate increases with alamethicin concentration up to $0.3 \mu\text{M}$, while at higher concentrations a decrease sets in.

Phosphate ion concentrations in the medium thus appear to modulate the effects of alamethicin on mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation. At low P_i levels the uncoupling effect presumably arises due to formation of transmembrane channels, which can dissipate the proton electrochemical gradient across the inner mitochondrial membrane. At high P_i concentrations $> 10 \text{ mM}$, mitochondria begin to swell (Hunter and Ford, 1955), with a steady increase in the swelling rate and extent of swelling up to 100 mM (unpublished). Even though lower RCI values are obtained at $100 \text{ mM } P_i$ (~ 4.4) as compared to $2.5 \text{ mM } P_i$ (~ 6.7), a significant degree of coupling is still obtained at high P_i levels. Since mitochondrial swelling follows changes in membrane

permeabilities, it is reasonable to expect that swelling may also be accompanied by changes in the mobilities and accessibilities of membrane bound components of the electron transport chain. We speculate that the inhibitory action of the peptide, at high P_i concentrations, may be due to direct binding to protein components of the electron transport system. Helix macrodipole interactions have been involved to rationalise formation of alamethicin aggregates in lipid bilayers (Mathew and Balaram, 1983b). It is conceivable that such helix dipole-dipole interactions **may** mediate the binding of helical peptides like alamethicin to transmembrane, helical segments of mitochondrial membrane proteins. Since uncouplers like FCCP did not reverse the inhibitory effect of alamethicin, the peptide does not appear to act by inhibiting **ATP** synthetase activity. It is pertinent to note that the related polypeptides, efrapeptin (Cross and Kohlbrenner, 1978) and elvapeptin (Bullogh *et al.*, 1982) have been shown to inhibit soluble F_1 -ATPase.

A working hypothesis to rationalize the effects of alamethicin on mitochondria is presented below, which may serve to stimulate the design of further experiments in this area. The initial increase in respiration rate on peptide addition (figure 9) could result from incorporation of alamethicin into the lipid phase followed by channel opening. The subsequent drop in O_2 consumption presumably arises due to inhibition of **a** fraction of respiratory chains, by direct interaction of peptide. The probability of such inhibitory interactions increases with alamethicin concentration, as evidenced by a shift of the peak in the O_2 consumption rate to shorter times after addition of peptide. At high P_i concentrations (≈ 50 mM) peptide incorporation is very rapid and no peak in respiration rate is observed. The time lag observed for the onset of inhibitory effects is probably determined by the diffusibility of alamethicin molecules in the membrane plane, which is necessary to reach the site of interaction from the site of insertion of the peptide.

Alamethicin and related natural polypeptides provide structurally well defined systems for investigating peptide lipid interactions. Further studies of alamethicin effects on mitochondria may clarify the molecular mechanism underlying the uncoupling and inhibitory effects manifested by this peptide.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Drs. Joseph E. Grady and Richard L. Keene, Upjohn Company, Kalamazoo, Michigan, for a gift of alamethicin. This research was supported by a grant from the Department of Science and Technology. M.K.D. is the recipient of an ICMR fellowship.

References

- Balaram, P. (1983) in *Peptides: Structure and Function. Proceedings of the Eighth American Peptide Symposium* (eds V. J. Hruby and D. H. Rich) (Rockford, Illinois: Pierce Chemical Company), p 477.
- Banerjee, U., Tsui, F. P., Balasubramanian, T. M., Marshall, G. R. and Chan, S. I. (1983) *J. Mol. Biol.*, **165**, 757.
- Besch, H. R. Jr., Jones, L. R., Fleming, J. W. and Watanabe, A. M. (1977) *J. Biol. Chem.*, **252**, 7905.
- Bessler, W. G., Ottenbreit, B., Irmscher, G. and Jung, G. (1979) *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, **87**, 99.

- Boheim, G., Hanke, W. and Jung, G. (1983) *Biophys. Struct. Mech.*, **9**, 181.
- Bonnafoos, J. C., Dornand, J. and Mani, J. C. (1979) *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, **86**, 536.
- Bruckner, H. and Przybylski, M. (1984) *J. Chromatogr.*, **296**, 263.
- Bullough, D. A., Jackson, C. G., Henderson, P. J. F., Beechey, R. B. and Linnet, P. E. (1982) *FEBS Lett.*, **245**, 248.
- Chapman, D., Cherry, R. J., Finer, E. G., Hauser, H., Phillips, M. C., Shipley, G. G. and McMullen, A. I. (1969) *Nature (London)*, **224**, 692.
- Cross, P. L. and Kohlbrenner, W. E. (1978) *J. Biol. Chem.*, **253**, 4865.
- Finer, E. G., Hauser, H. and Chapman, D. (1969) *Chem. Phys. Lipids*, **3**, 386.
- Fox, R. O. Jr. and Richards, F. M. (1982) *Nature (London)*, **300**, 325.
- Green, D. E. (1977) *Trends Biochem. Sci.*, **2**, 113.
- Hall, J. E. (1978) in *Membrane Transport in Biology* (eds G. Giebisch, D. C. Tosteson and H. H. Ussing) (Berlin: Springer-Verlag), Vol. 1, p. 475.
- Hauser, H., Finer, E. G. and Chapman, D. (1970) *J. Mol. Biol.*, **53**, 419.
- Herman, C. A., Zenser, T. V. and Davis, B. B. (1980) *Biochem. Pharmacol.*, **29**, 51.
- Hol, W. G. J., Halie, L. M. and Sander, C. (1981) *Nature (London)*, **294**, 532.
- Hotchkiss, R. D. (1944) *Advan. Enzymol.*, **4**, 153.
- Hunter, F. E. and Ford, L. (1955) *J. Biol. Chem.*, **216**, 357.
- Irmscher, G. and Jung, G. (1977) *Eur. J. Biochem.*, **80**, 165.
- Iqbal, M. and Balaram, P. (1981) *Biochemistry*, **20**, 7278.
- Iqbal, M. (1982) *Synthetic and spectroscopic studies on fragments of the membrane channel forming polypeptide, suzukacillin and chemotactic tripeptides*, Ph. D. Thesis, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore.
- Jones, L. R., Besch, H. R. Jr. and Watanabe, A. M. (1977) *J. Biol. Chem.*, **252**, 3315.
- Jones, L. R., Maddock, S. W. and Besch, H. R. Jr. (1980) *J. Biol. Chem.*, **255**, 9971.
- Jung, G., Bruckner, H. and Schmitt, H. (1981) in *Structure and Activity of Natural Peptides* (eds W. Voelter and G. Weitzel) (Berlin: Walter de Gruyter), p. 75.
- Jung, G., Becker, G., Schmitt, H., Voges, K. P., Boheim, G. and Griesbach, S. (1983) in *Peptides: Structure and Function. Proceedings of the Eighth American Peptide Symposium* (eds V. J. Hruby and D. H. Rich) (Rockford, Illinois: Pierce Chemical Company), p. 491.
- Lad, P. J. and White, A. A. (1979) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, **570**, 198.
- Ladbrooke, B. D. and Chapman, D. (1969) *Chem. Phys. Lipids*, **3**, 304.
- Lau, A. L. Y. and Chan, S. I. (1974) *Biochemistry*, **13**, 4942.
- Lau, A. L. Y. and Chan, S. I. (1975) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **72**, 2170.
- Lau, A. L. Y. and Chan, S. I. (1976) *Biochemistry*, **15**, 2551.
- Mathew, M. K. and Balaram, P. (1983a) *Mol. Cell. Biochem.*, **50**, 47.
- Mathew, M. K. and Balaram, P. (1983b) *FEBS Lett.*, **157**, 1.
- Mathew, M. K. and Balaram, P. (1984) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, (in press).
- Mathew, M. K., Nagaraj, R. and Balaram, P. (1981a) *Biochim. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, **98**, 548.
- Mathew, M. K., Nagaraj, R. and Balaram, P. (1981b) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, **649**, 336.
- Mathew, M. K., Nagaraj, R. and Balaram, P. (1982) *J. Biol. Chem.*, **257**, 2170.
- McIntosh, T. J., Ting-Beall, H. P. and Zampighi, G. (1982) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, **685**, 51.
- Misselwitz, H. J., Will, H., Schulze, W., Will-Shahab, L. and Wollenberger, A. (1979) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, **553**, 197.
- Mitchell, P. (1966) *Biol. Rev.*, **41**, 445.
- Monneron, A. and d'Alayer, J. (1980) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, **629**, 50.
- Moore, C. and Pressman, B. C. (1964) *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, **15**, 562.
- Mueller, P. and Rudin, D. O. (1968) *Nature (London)*, **217**, 713.
- Mueller, P. (1976) in *Horizons in Biochemistry and Biophysics* (eds E. Quagliariello, F. Palmieri and T. P. Singer) (Reading: Addison-Wesley), Vol. 2, p. 230.
- Nagaraj, R. and Balaram, P. (1981) *Acc. Chem. Res.*, **14**, 356.
- Nagaraj, R., Mathew, M. K. and Balaram, P. (1980) *FEBS Lett.*, **121**, 365.
- Pedersen, P. L., Greenawalt, J. W., Reynafarje, B., Hullihen, J., Decker, G. L., Soper, J. W. and Bustamente, E. (1978) *Meth. Cell. Biol.* (ed. D. M. Prescott) (New York: Academic Press), Vol. 20, p. 411.
- Prasad, B. V. V. and Balaram, P. (1984) *CRC. Crit. Revs. Biochem.*, (in press).
- Schmitt, H., Winter, W., Bosch, R. and Jung, G. (1982) *Liebigs Ann. Chem.*, **1304**.

- Schwarz, G. and Savko, P. (1982a) *Bioelectromagnetics*, **3**, 25.
- Schwarz, G. and Savko, P. (1982b) *Biophys. J.*, **39**, 211.
- Schwarz, G., Savko, P. and Jung, G. (1983) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, **728**, 419.
- Shinitzky, M. and Barenholz, Y. (1978) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, **515**, 362.
- Smith, G. D., Pletner, V. Z., Duax, W. L., Balasubramanian, T. M., Bosshard, H. E., Czerwinski, E. W., Kendrick, N. C. E., Mathews, F. S. and Marshall, G. R. (1981) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **103**, 1493.
- Takaishi, Y., Terada, H. and Fujita, T. (1980) *Experientia*, **36**, 550.
- Terada, H. (1979) in *Cation Flux across Biomembranes* (eds Y. Mukoheta and L. Packer) (New York: Academic Press), p. 365.
- Terada, H. (1981) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, **639**, 225.
- Toniolo, C., Bonora, G. M., Bavoso, A., Benedetti, E., DiBlasio, B., Pavone, V. and Pedone, C. (1983) *Biopolymers*, **22**, 205.
- Tosteson, M. T. and Tosteson, D. C. (1981) *Biophys. J.*, **36**, 109.
- Wada, A. (1976) *Adv. Biophys.*, **9**, 1.
- Yantorno, R., Takashima, S. and Mueller, P. (1982) *Biophys. J.*, **38**, 105.