

ON THE RELATIVE EXTREMA OF THE TURAN EXPRESSION FOR BESSEL FUNCTIONS

BY S. K. LAKSHMANA RAO

(Department of Applied Mathematics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore-12)

Received October 27, 1960

(Communicated by Professor B. S. Madhava Rao, F.A.Sc.)

SOME years ago Otto Szász¹ showed that the Bessel function $J_n(x)$ satisfies the inequality

$$\Delta_n(x) \equiv [J_n(x)]^2 - J_{n+1}(x)J_{n-1}(x) \geq \frac{1}{n+1} [J_n(x)]^2, \quad (1)$$

$$n \geq 0, \quad x \text{ real}$$

wherefrom he deduced the interesting inequality

$$\Delta_n(x) > 0 \quad \text{for } n > 0, \quad x \text{ real.} \quad (2)$$

The Turán inequality (2) for the function $J_n(x)$ is an immediate consequence of the identity²

$$\Delta_n(x) = \frac{4}{x^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (n+2k+1) (J_{n+2k+1}(x))^2 \quad (3)$$

which represents $\Delta_n(x)$ as a series of positive quantities whenever $n \geq -1$. V. R. Thiruvenkatachar and T. S. Nanjundiah³ obtained a new positive representation for $\Delta_n(x)$ by proving the identity

$$\Delta_n(x) = \frac{1}{n+1} (J_n(x))^2 + \frac{2}{n+2} (J_{n+2}(x))^2$$

$$+ 2n \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(n+k-1)(n+k+1)]^{-1} (J_{n+k}(x))^2 \quad (4)$$

and the above result (1) of Otto Szász follows from this immediately.

While the above representations for $\Delta_n(x)$ are quite interesting we may also proceed to deduce the inequality (2) by considering the behaviour of the function $\Delta_n(x)$ itself. This procedure leads to several questions concerning the sequences of relative maxima and minima of $\Delta_n(x)$ and the

* Present address: Regional Engineering College, Warangal (A.P.), India.

aim of the present note is the study of these sequences. We prove in particular (Theorem 4 below) that the r -th relative maximum of $\Delta_n(x)$ is larger than the r -th relative maximum of $\Delta_{n+1}(x)$, for any fixed value of r . This answers the question first raised by John Todd for the classical orthogonal polynomials and settled for the Hermite function $H_n(x)$ by Otto Szász⁴ and for the Turán expression for the Hermite function by the author.⁵

THEOREM 1: When $n > 0$, the relative maxima of $\Delta_n(x)$ occur at the zeros of $J_{n-1}(x)$ and the relative minima occur at the zeros of $J_{n+1}(x)$.

On differentiating the expression

$$\Delta_n(x) = (J_n(x))^2 - J_{n+1}(x)J_{n-1}(x) \quad (5)$$

twice, we get

$$\frac{d}{dx} \Delta_n(x) = \frac{2}{x} J_{n+1}(x) J_{n-1}(x) \quad (6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \Delta_n(x) &= \frac{2}{x} J_{n+1}(x) \frac{d}{dx} J_{n-1}(x) + \frac{2}{x} J_{n-1}(x) \frac{d}{dx} J_{n+1}(x) \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{x^2} J_{n+1}(x) J_{n-1}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Let $0 < x_{1,s} < x_{2,s} < \dots$ denote the zeros of $J_s(x)$ in the ascending order. From (7) we see that

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \Delta_n(x) \right)_{x=x_{r,n-1}} = -4n \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{x} J_n(x) \right)^2 \right\}_{x=x_{r,n-1}} < 0$$

and

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \Delta_n(x) \right)_{x=x_{r,n+1}} = 4n \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{x} J_n(x) \right)^2 \right\}_{x=x_{r,n+1}} > 0.$$

Hence the theorem.

The statement in the above theorem is reversed if $n < 0$. In what follows we assume that $n > 0$.

If we denote the successive relative maxima of $\Delta_n(x)$ by $M_{1,n}, M_{2,n}, \dots$ and the successive relative minima by $m_{1,n}, m_{2,n}, \dots$ respectively, we have

$$M_{r,n} = \Delta_n(x_{r,n-1}) = (J_n(x_{r,n-1}))^2, \quad (8)$$

and

$$m_{r,n} = \Delta_n(x_{r,n+1}) = (J_n(x_{r,n+1}))^2. \tag{9}$$

We may also observe incidentally that

$$m_{r,n-1} = (J_{n-1}(x_{r,n}))^2 = (J_{n+1}(x_{r,n}))^2 = M_{r,n+1}.$$

Since the quantities $M_{r,n}$ and $m_{r,n}$ are positive for all values of n , it follows that

$$\Delta_n(x) > 0 \tag{10}$$

which is Turán's inequality for the Bessel function $J_n(x)$.

THEOREM 2: The sequences of relative maxima and relative minima of $\Delta_n(x)$ are decreasing beyond a certain value of r . More specifically

$$M_{r,n} > M_{r+1,n} \text{ if } x_{r,n-1} > \xi = \sqrt{2n(n-2)}$$

and

$$m_{r,n} > m_{r+1,n} \text{ if } x_{r,n+1} > \eta = \sqrt{2n(n+2)}.$$

If $0 < n \leq 2$, we define $\xi = 0$.

Consider the function

$$f(x) = \Delta_n(x) + \frac{2n}{x^2} (J_{n-1}(x))^2.$$

Then, by differentiation we get

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x) &= \frac{2}{x} J_{n+1}(x) J_{n-1}(x) - \frac{4n}{x^3} (J_{n-1}(x))^2 + \frac{4n}{x^2} J_{n-1}(x) \frac{d}{dx} J_{n-1}(x) \\ &= \frac{2}{x^3} (2n(n-2) - x^2) (J_{n-1}(x))^2 \end{aligned}$$

so that $f(x)$ is increasing in $0 < x < \xi$ and decreasing in $x > \xi$. Also at the relative maxima of $\Delta_n(x)$, $f(x) = \Delta_n(x)$ and hence the assertion that $M_{r,n} > M_{r+1,n}$ if $x_{r+1,n-1} > x_{r,n-1} > \xi$. To prove the corresponding result for the relative minimum, consider the function

$$g(x) = \Delta_n(x) - \frac{2n}{x^2} (J_{n+1}(x))^2.$$

Differentiating this we have

$$g'(x) = \frac{2}{x^3} (2n(n+2) - x^2) (J_{n+1}(x))^2$$

so that $g(x)$ is decreasing in $x > \eta$. Since $g(x)$ coincides with $\Delta_n(x)$ at all the relative minima of $\Delta_n(x)$, it follows that $m_{r,n} > m_{r+1,n}$ if $x_{r+1,n+1} > x_{r,n+1} > \eta$.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

THEOREM 3: The r -th relative maximum of $\Delta_n(x)$ is greater than the r -th relative minimum, *i.e.*, $M_{r,n} > m_{r,n}$.

We have $\Delta_n(x_{r,n-1}) = M_{r,n}$ and $\Delta_n(x_{r,n+1}) = m_{r,n}$ and $(d/dx) \Delta_n(x) = (2/x) J_{n+1}(x) J_{n-1}(x)$. From the interlacing properties of the zeros of Bessel functions we know that^{6, 7}

$$x_{r-1,n+1} < x_{r,n-1} < x_{r,n} < x_{r,n+1} < x_{r+1,n-1}.$$

Hence in the interval $(x_{r,n-1}, x_{r,n+1})$, $\text{Sgn}(d/dx) \Delta_n(x) = (-1)^{2r-1} = -1$, so that $\Delta_n(x)$ is decreasing in the interval and $\Delta_n(x_{r,n-1}) > \Delta_n(x_{r,n+1})$ or $M_{r,n} > m_{r,n}$ which proves the theorem.

By employing the functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ already introduced, we can show further that

$$M_{r,n} > \left(1 + \frac{8n^3}{x_{r,n+1}^4}\right) m_{r,n} \quad \text{if } x_{r,n-1} > \xi$$

and

$$M_{r,n} > \left(1 - \frac{8n^3}{x_{r,n-1}^4}\right)^{-1} m_{r,n} \quad \text{if } x_{r,n-1} > \eta.$$

THEOREM 4: For a fixed value of r , the r -th relative maxima of $\Delta_n(x)$ form a sequence of decreasing functions of n , *i.e.*, $M_{r,n} > M_{r,n+1}$. We have already noticed that $\Delta_n(x_{r,n-1}) = M_{r,n}$. We may see easily that $M_{r,n+1} = \Delta_{n+1}(x_{r,n}) = \Delta_n(x_{r,n})$. Also from the relation $(d/dx) \Delta_n(x) = (2/x) J_{n+1}(x) J_{n-1}(x)$, it follows that in the interval $(x_{r,n-1}, x_{r,n})$, $\text{Sgn}(d/dx) \Delta_n(x) = -1$, so that $\Delta_n(x)$ is decreasing in the interval and $\Delta_n(x_{r,n-1}) > \Delta_n(x_{r,n})$. Whence the theorem.

Analogously for the relative minima, we have

THEOREM 5: For a fixed value of r , the r -th relative minima of $\Delta_n(x)$ form a sequence of decreasing functions of n , *i.e.*, $m_{r,n} > m_{r,n+1}$.

We have noticed earlier that $m_{r,n-1} = M_{r,n+1}$. Combining this with the result of the previous theorem, we see that $m_{r,n-1} > m_{r,n}$ which proves the assertion.

REFERENCES

1. Otto Szász .. "Inequalities concerning ultraspherical polynomials and Bessel functions," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 1950, **1**, 256-67.
2. Watson, G. N. .. *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions*, 2nd Edition, Cambridge, 1948, p. 152.
3. Thiruvengkatachar, V. R. and Nanjundiah, T. S. "Inequalities concerning Bessel functions and orthogonal polynomials," *Proc. Ind. Acad. Sci.*, 1951, **33 A**, 373-84.
4. Otto Szász .. "On the relative extrema of the Hermite orthogonal functions," *Jour. Ind. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 1951, **15**, 129-34.
5. Lakshmana Rao, S. K. .. "On the relative extrema of the Turán expression for the general Hermite function," *Indian Institute of Science Golden Jubilee Research Volume*, 1959, pp. 200-04.
6. Watson, G. N. .. "Ref. (2) above, p. 480.
7. Gray, A., Mathews, G. B. and MacRobert. T. M. *A Treatise on Bessel Functions*, 1952, p. 242.