3-Bromomethyl-1-phenylsulfonyl-1*H*-indole-2-carbonitrileK. Palani,^a M. N. Ponnuswamy,^{a*}
P. Jaisankar,^b P. C. Srinivasan^b
and M. Nethaji^c^aDepartment of Crystallography and Biophysics,
University of Madras, Guindy Campus, Chennai
600 025, India, ^bDepartment of Organic
Chemistry, University of Madras, Guindy
Campus, Chennai 600 025, India, and
^cDepartment of Inorganic and Physical
Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science,
Bangalore 560 012, IndiaCorrespondence e-mail:
mnpsy2004@yahoo.com

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study
T = 293 K
Mean $\sigma(\text{C}—\text{C})$ = 0.006 Å
R factor = 0.044
wR factor = 0.120
Data-to-parameter ratio = 15.9For details of how these key indicators were
automatically derived from the article, see
<http://journals.iucr.org/e>.

In the title molecule, $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{11}\text{BrN}_2\text{O}_2\text{S}$, the phenyl ring and mean plane of the indole ring system make a dihedral angle of $82.9(1)^\circ$. Intermolecular $\text{C}—\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ hydrogen bonds link the molecules into linear chains extended along the *a* axis. The crystal packing is further stabilized by van der Waals forces.

Comment

The indole ring system is present in a number of natural products and halogenated indole derivatives exhibit marked antibacterial activity against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria and against fungi (Piscopo *et al.*, 1990). Some of the indole alkaloids extracted from plants possess interesting cytotoxic, antitumor or antiparasitic properties (Mukhopadhyay *et al.*, 1981). Sulfur-containing compounds act as simple diuretics (Crawford & Kennedy, 1959). In view of this biological importance and as a part of our studies on pharmacologically active indole derivatives, the crystal structure of the title compound, (I), was determined in order to establish the conformation of the molecule.

The bond lengths and angles (Table 1) are comparable to those observed in other phenylsulfonylindoles (Ravishankar *et al.*, 2005*a,b*). As a result of the electron-withdrawing character of the phenylsulfonyl group, the $\text{N}—\text{Csp}^2$ bond lengths $\text{N1}—\text{C2}$ and $\text{N1}—\text{C5}$ (Table 1) are longer than the mean value of 1.355 (14) Å reported for N atoms with planar configurations (Allen *et al.*, 1987). Atom S1 has a distorted tetrahedral environment, with the $\text{O1}—\text{S1}—\text{O2}$ and $\text{N1}—\text{S1}—\text{C10}$ angles deviating significantly from ideal values, which may be attributed to the Thorpe–Ingold effect (Bassindale, 1984). The essential linearity of the carbonitrile group is evidenced from the bond angle $\text{C2}—\text{C16}—\text{N17}$. The orientation of the phenylsulfonyl group with respect to the planar indole ring system is influenced by the intramolecular $\text{C}—\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ interaction involving sulfonyl atom O1 (Table 2). The phenyl ring and mean plane of the indole ring system make a dihedral angle of $82.9(1)^\circ$.

In the crystal structure, intermolecular $\text{C}—\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ hydrogen bonds (Table 2) link the molecules into linear chains extended

Received 1 December 2005
Accepted 22 December 2005
Online 7 January 2006

Figure 1
The molecular structure of (I) with 30% probability displacement ellipsoids. The dashed line indicates the intramolecular hydrogen bond.

Figure 2
The molecular packing of (I). H atoms not involved in hydrogen bonding (dashed lines) have been omitted for clarity.

along the *a* axis. The crystal packing (Fig. 2) is further stabilized by van der Waals forces.

Experimental

To a solution of 1-phenylsulfonyl-2-cyano-3-methylindole (10 mmol) in carbon tetrachloride (100 ml) finely powdered *N*-bromo-

succinimide (2.13 g, 10.2 mmol) and dibenzoyl peroxide (20 mg) were added and the solution was refluxed for 4 h. The mixture was cooled to room temperature and the succinimide was filtered off. The filtrate was concentrated *in vacuo* to give 3-bromomethyl-2-carbonitrile-1-(phenylsulfonyl)indole as yellow crystals (yield 94%, m.p. 417 K). IR (KBr): 2213, 1372, 1179 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 4.66 (s, 2H, CH_2), 7.25–8.04 (*m*, 8H, Ar–H), 8.21–8.23 (*d*, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz, indole-7H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 19.80, 107.55, 110.94, 114.87, 121.10, 126.51, 127.29, 129.42, 129.87, 132.56, 135.06, 136.80, 137.31.

Crystal data

$\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{11}\text{BrN}_2\text{O}_2\text{S}$
 M_r = 375.24
Triclinic, $P\bar{1}$
 a = 8.2689 (14) Å
 b = 8.9841 (15) Å
 c = 11.805 (2) Å
 α = 77.722 (3)°
 β = 86.703 (3)°
 γ = 64.795 (3)°
 V = 774.8 (2) Å³

Z = 2
 D_x = 1.608 Mg m^{-3}
Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 6233 reflections
 θ = 1.5–27.4°
 μ = 2.80 mm^{-1}
 T = 293 (2) K
Block, yellow
0.29 × 0.21 × 0.16 mm

Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX area-detector diffractometer
 ω scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)
 $T_{\min}$ = 0.499, $T_{\max}$ = 0.639
6233 measured reflections

3157 independent reflections
2493 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 R_{int} = 0.014
 $\theta_{\max}$ = 27.4°
 h = −9 → 10
 k = −11 → 11
 l = −15 → 14

Refinement

Refinement on F^2
 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)]$ = 0.044
 $wR(F^2)$ = 0.120
 S = 1.03
3157 reflections
199 parameters
H-atom parameters constrained

$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0728P)^2 + 0.1871P]$
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$
 $\Delta\rho_{\max}$ = 0.67 e Å^{-3}
 $\Delta\rho_{\min}$ = −0.19 e Å^{-3}

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Br1—C18	1.959 (3)	S1—C10	1.744 (3)
S1—O2	1.417 (3)	N1—C2	1.405 (4)
S1—O1	1.420 (3)	N1—C5	1.406 (4)
S1—N1	1.690 (3)		
O2—S1—O1	121.29 (19)	C6—C5—C4	121.3 (3)
N1—S1—C10	103.37 (13)	N17—C16—C2	172.9 (5)

Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D\cdots H\cdots A$	$D\cdots H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D\cdots H\cdots A$
C6—H6 $\cdots$ O1	0.93	2.37	2.949 (5)	120
C12—H12 $\cdots$ O2 ⁱ	0.93	2.53	3.385 (6)	153

Symmetry code: (i) $x + 1, y, z$.

H atoms were placed in idealized positions and allowed to ride on their parent atoms, with C—H = 0.93 or 0.97 Å and $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2001); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2001); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics:

ZORTEP (Zsolnai, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *PLATON* (Spek, 2003).

KP thanks the University Grants Commission (UGC) Herbal Science programme for financial support under the 'University with Potential for Excellence' scheme. The UGC and the Department of Science and Technology (DST) are gratefully acknowledged for financial support to the Department of Crystallography and Biophysics under the UGC-SAP and DST-FIST programmes.

References

- Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2*, pp. S1–19.
- Bassindale, A. (1984). *The Third Dimension of Organic Chemistry*, ch. 1, p. 11. New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Bruker (2001). *SMART* (Version 5.625) and *SAINT* (Version 6.28a). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Crawford, J. D. & Kennedy, G. C. (1959). *Nature (London)*, **183**, 891–892.
- Mukhopadhyay, S., Handy, G. A., Funayama, S. & Cordell, G. A. (1981). *J. Nat. Prod.* **44**, 696–700.
- Piscopo, E., Diurno, M. V., Mazzoni, O. & Ciaccio, A. M. (1990). *Boll. Soc. Ital. Biol. Sper.* **66**, 1181–1186. (In Italian.)
- Ravishankar, T., Chinnakali, K., Arumugam, N., Srinivasan, P. C., Usman, A. & Fun, H.-K. (2005a). *Acta Cryst.* **E61**, o998–o1000.
- Ravishankar, T., Chinnakali, K., Arumugam, N., Srinivasan, P. C., Usman, A. & Fun, H.-K. (2005b). *Acta Cryst.* **E61**, o1184–o1186.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). *SADABS*. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). *SHELXS97* and *SHELXL97*. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **36**, 7–13.
- Zsolnai, L. (1997). *ZORTEP*. University of Heidelberg, Germany.